#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TERESA HIPPLE : CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-1256 formerly known as : TERESA CONCEPCION, :

Plaintiff

SCIX, LLC, et al, : Philadelphia, Pennsylvania : July 28, 2015

Defendants : 9:32 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL - DAY TWO BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. RUETER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: GERALD S. BERKOWITZ, ESQUIRE

ROBERT A. KLEIN, ESQUIRE Berkowitz and Klein LLP

629 Swedesford Road

Swedesford Corporate Center

Malvern, PA 19355

For Defendant CLEMENT HIPPLE
C. Hippel, et al: 9206 Andover Road

Philadelphia, PA 19114

Pro Se

Transcribers Limited

17 Rickland Drive Sewell, NJ 08080 856 589-6100 • 856-589-9005

```
3
 1
               (The following was heard in open court at
 2
    9:32 a.m.)
 3
               THE COURT: All right, good morning,
 4
    everyone.
 5
               MR. HIPPLE: Good morning, Your Honor.
 6
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Good morning. Okay. Are you
 8
    ready to proceed? You can take your coats off. I know
 9
    it's very hot in here. I'm sorry about that. We
10
    turned it down to 60.
11
               (Pause in proceedings.)
12
               THE COURT: Yes, we have the fans on high.
13
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Understand.
14
              THE COURT: You can take your jacket off.
15
    Not a big deal.
16
              MR. BERKOWITZ: It will come off eventually I
17
    think.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Are we ready? Mr.
19
    Hipple, you have to resume the stand, okay?
20
               (Pause in proceedings.)
21
              THE COURT: He's still under oath.
22
    morning, Mr. Hipple.
23
              MR. HIPPLE: Good morning.
24
              THE COURT: Good morning.
25
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, there was a
```

```
4
 1
    question yesterday about some pages being out of order
 2
    in Exhibit 37 and I did put those pages in order --
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. BERKOWITZ: -- on the wit -- and I'd just
 4
 5
    like to go back and straighten out just so that there's
 6
    no confusion --
 7
               THE COURT: Fine.
 8
               MR. BERKOWITZ: -- and we get the pages in
 9
    order.
10
               THE COURT: Okay.
11
               CLEMENT HIPPLE, Defendant, Previously Sworn,
12
    Resumes.
13
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
15
        And, Mr. Hipple, the book on the ledge in front of
16
    you, you see Exhibit 458?
17
        Yes.
    А
18
        You remember that's the company that's listed as
19
    SCI, LLC?
20
        Yes, that is correct.
21
        Okay. And I think you told us that that was you
22
    thought a typographical error or some mistake?
23
        No, I thought -- no, that company was -- I'm not
    certain of -- because these companies go back to 1999.
24
    Alls I'm certain of is that there was a company called
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             5
 1
    S -- Scientific Chemical, Incorporated, which would be
 2
    SCI --
        Right.
         -- and another company called SCIX.
        Okay.
 6
        That I am certain of.
 7
        Okay. And now in front of you we have a document,
 8
    SS4, which is an application for employer
    identification number, That's Exhibit 458.
10
        That is correct.
11
        Okay. And you see that that is for a company
12
    that's called SCI, LLC?
13
    Α
        Yes.
14
        All right. And if you look down to line ten, it's
15
    got the date of establishment of February 8, 1999?
16
    Α
        Correct.
17
        Okay. And that was the same date that SCIX was
18
    formed?
19
    Α
        I'm not certain of that.
20
        Okay. Now, I would like you to turn to page --
21
               THE COURT: What is the number we're on
22
    again?
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: 458, which is the --
24
              THE COURT: What exhibit though?
25
              MR. BERKOWITZ: That -- oh, I'm sorry,
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             6
 1
     Exhibit 37.
 2
               THE COURT: All right, thanks.
 3
               MR. BERKOWITZ: And, again, it's Ex -- Hipple
 4
     458 and at the top it's application for an employer
 5
     identification number, okay, and that's SCI, LLC.
 6
               THE WITNESS: SCI, I guess comma, LLC,
 7
    February 8th, 1999.
 8
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
 9
        Okay. And let's go to page 459. Okay, if you look
10
    at the bottom, you'll see 459. I didn't -- there was a
11
    duplicate of the SS4 and I didn't --
12
        Still is --
13
    Q
        -- want to remove anything. They're the same.
14
    Α
        I have two 458s.
15
        Go to the next one, 459.
16
        458. Okay, 459.
    Α
17
        Okay. And we see that is the operating agreement
18
    for a company called SCI, LLC?
19
    Α
        That is correct?
20
    Q
        Correct?
21
    Α
        That's what it says.
22
        Okay. And if we go forward to page ten, Hipple
23
    468, but it's ten of the agreement.
24
    Α
        Okay.
25
        And that appears to be your signature on that page?
```

```
FORM 2034 (C) PENGAD • 1-000-181-5359 • www.pengad.bam
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                               7
 1
     Α
         Yes.
         And that appears to be Brian Hipple's signature on
 3
     that page?
         Yes.
     Α
 5
         Okay. And let's go forward now --
 6
         And also --
     A
         -- to Hipple --
 7
 8
         -- the signature of Robert Barks.
    Α
 9
    Q
         Yes.
10
    Α
         Okay.
         Let's go forward to page Hipple 471, which is page
11
12
    A2.
13
    Α
         Yes.
14
        Do you see that?
15
    Α
        Uh-huh.
16
    Q
        And look at paragraph 2.1.
17
    Α
        Okay.
        All right? And Scientific Chemicals, Inc., is the
18
    tax matters number.
19
20
               (Pause in proceedings.)
21
    Q
        Right?
        I'm sorry?
    Α
22
        Paragraph 2.1, it lists Scientific Chemicals, Inc.,
23
    Q
    as the tax matters --
24
25
    Α
        Yes, it says that on there. That is correct.
```

- Okay. So it appears there may be another company,
- 2 | SCI, LLC?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Okay. All right. Let's go back to where we
- 5 stopped yesterday. We were looking at Exhibit 14,
- 6 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 14. The matter is the licensing
- 7 | agreement, it's volume one.
- 8 A Uh-huh.
- 9 | Q Do you remember we were looking at this yesterday?
- 10 A Yes, I think so.
- 11 Q Okay. And this is the agreement where after you
- 12 had transferred all the assets that you repossessed
- 13 from SCIX, you transferred them to Complete Group, LLC?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q The Nevis company that you owned with Ms. Domices?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And it transferred -- under the background, it says
- 18 | "is the owner of certain assets including the
- intellectual property described on Exhibit A."
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 | Q Do you see that?
- 22 A Uh-huh.
- 23 Q And we have had some discussion about what is the
- 24 appropriate Exhibit A. Do you recall that?
- 25 A Yes.

```
FORM 2094 😩 PENGAD • 1-820-631-6989 • www.pengad.com
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                              9
 1
        And one of them is the one that says physical
    assets I have taken, correct?
        I'm not certain, but --
 4
        Well, we can go back and look at that just so we're
 5
    -- you're certain that we're talking about the same
 6
    thing.
 7
               (Pause in proceedings.)
 8
        And I will get for you Plaintiff's Exhibit 130.
    Q
 9
               (Pause in proceedings.)
10
        And I'm handing you Exhibit 130. And do you see at
11
    the top it says, "SCIX physical assets I have taken"?
12
    Α
        Yes.
13
        Okay, thank you. Now, the license agreement refers
14
    to intellectual property, and I think we agreed that
15
    there's no intellectual property on the physical assets
    I take it?
16
17
        Again, define intellectual property to me.
        It's not something you could pick up and put in a
18
    box, a logo --
19
    Α
        I have nothing.
20
        -- a trade name?
21
    Q
               (Pause in proceedings.)
22
        Yes, these seems that there are no physical assets.
    Α
23
        Okay.
    Q
24
25
    Α
        I mean no -- they are physical assets.
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             10
 1
        Okay. And the license agreements refers to
    Q
 2
    intangible assets, correct? Do you see right --
 3
         That says --
    Α
 4
    Q
        Including the intellectual property.
 5
        Of creating assets, including assets, so it's
 6
    creating assets.
 7
        Okay.
    Q
 8
        Okay?
    Α
 9
        I just want to --
10
    Α
        What --
11
        -- make sure we're on the same page here.
12
        What does that mean?
13
        And it says including the intellectual property,
14
    right?
15
        So it's two things, basically, right?
16
        Okay. Now I'm going to show you --
17
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, there is --
18
               THE WITNESS: So the creating assets could be
    the physical assets?
19
20
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
21
        We have an Exhibit A and I'm just trying to clarify
    which one --
22
        Well, that's not what my question is.
23
    Α
    Q
        Okay,
24
25
    Α
        My question is --
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             11
 1
         Well, you get to -- you get to do the questions
 2
     later. Let me -- let me go ahead with my testimony.
 3
     I'm going to show you Defendant, this is your Exhibit
    D, D-3.
 5
    Α
        Yeah.
     Q
        It's in the black binder.
 7
    Α
       Yep.
 8
        D-3.
    Q
 9
    Α
        Okay.
10
        And, Mr. Hipple, you'll see -- D-3, recall we
11
    looked at this before?
12
    Α
        Yes.
13
    Q
        Okay.
14
    Α
        UCC.
15
    Q
        This is the UCC 1 that was filed.
16
    Α
        Correct.
17
    Q
        And it has a big Exhibit A, correct?
18
    Α
        It says "List of assets."
19
        Yes. Okay?
    Q
20
    Α
        Uh-huh,
21
        And it lists the website and the 800 number --
    Q
22
    A
        Right.
23
        -- and it's got the Steel Seal logo --
    Q
    A
24
        Right.
25
        -- rights to the name, confidentiality agreement
    Q
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            12
    with Colonial Chemical --
         Right.
 3
         -- and the formula --
 4
        And they're all --
 5
         -- okay?
    Q
 6
    Α
        And --
 7
        And that's in Exhibit A?
 8
        Well, it has more written in there than that.
 9
        Yes, it does. Yes, it does. And we can look at
10
    them all, but I'm just looking at the intellectual
11
    property.
12
               So it seems to me -- wouldn't it seem to you
13
    that the Exhibit A that's referred to in the licensing
14
    agreement is this Exhibit A from the security interest?
15
         I'm not sure about that because I didn't draft the
16
    language --
17
        Okay.
18
         -- and I don't understand the language.
19
        Okay. But it does say what we -- what I
20
    represented that it said?
2†
        Well, it says what it says, but I -- like I said, I
22
    never drafted the language.
        Okay. Now, this license agreement is an exclusive
23
    license to Steel Seal Pro, your son's company, Brian's
24
25
    company?
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            13
 1
        Where does it say that?
    Α
 2
        Well, it says that if you look under terms and
 3
    conditions, paragraph one.
 4
               THE COURT: What number is that again?
 5
               MR. BERKOWITZ: This is -- I'm sorry --
 6
    Exhibit -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 --
 7
               THE COURT: Right. Okay.
 8
               MR. BERKOWITZ: -- in the white binder.
9
               THE COURT: Yes.
10
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
11
        And let me just read this paragraph one,
12
    "Licensor," that's Complete Group, LLC, "hereby grants
13
    the licensee," which is Steel Seal Pro, "an exclusive
14
    but conditionally revocable license to market and
15
    collect proceeds from customers with respect to a
16
    product known as Steel Seal," correct?
        That's what it says.
17
18
        Okay. And you understand what the word,
19
    "exclusive," means?
20
        I understand, "exclusive," but I don't understand
    "collect" and "revocable."
21
        Okay. That's okay. Now, under this agreement, if
22
    you look in paragraph three, what Steel Seal Pro got
23
    was the right to -- they were going to be paid $10,000
24
25
    a month, correct, for its services?
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             14
 1
         Yes, correct.
    Α
 2
         And no more?
 3
    Α
         No.
         And all the rest of the money would go to you?
 4
 5
    by you, I'm sorry, Complete Group?
 6
         Right.
 7
         And the way it got to Complete Group would be
 8
    payments made to A&C Building --
 9
        And Maintenance.
10
         -- and Maintenance?
11
         Yes, that's correct.
12
         Okay. Now, I'd like you to look at the second
13
    page. And do you see the signatures there?
14
    Α
         Yes.
15
        Now, that looks like Brian's signature, doesn't it?
16
        Yes.
    Α
17
        And a matter of fact, in your affidavit, which is
18
    Exhibit 25, at paragraph 58, and I'll read it to you if
19
    you don't want to look at it.
        Now, hold on, let me get there. Paragraph what?
20
        Paragraph 58 of Exhibit 25.
21
    Q
22
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Go ahead.
23
    Α
        Okay? And you look at the last sentence and it's
24
    referring to the license agreement, which we just
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             15
 1
    looked at.
 2
         58?
 3
         Yes, paragraph 58. Are you there?
 4
         I'm there now.
 5
        Okay. And you look at the last sentence, "I
    recognize the handwriting on the license agreement as
 6
 7
    belonging to Brian Hipple."
 8
         Oh, you want me to look at the last page?
 9
        No, paragraph 58, the last sentence in paragraph
10
     58. Do you see that?
11
    Α
         Yes.
12
         "I recognize the handwriting on the license
13
    agreement as belonging to Brian Hipple."
14
    Α
         Yes.
         Okay. Now, yesterday, there was a question about a
15
    letter and Brian Hipple's signature, and I'd like you
16
17
    to turn to Exhibit 18, page two.
18
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        And you had some concerns about the signature on
19
20
    that letter.
        No, I had no concerns about it. I can't comment on
21
    that letter because it's not --
22
        But that appears to be Brian's signature?
23
    Q
        Well --
24
    Α
25
        Isn't that correct?
```

16

- A If you look at that signature -- what was -- where
  were we just a minute ago?
  - Q We were at Exhibit 14 and we looked at paragraph 58 of Exhibit 25. We looked at the licensing agreement.
- 5 A They look a little different to me.
- Q Okay. So you can identify the signature on the licensing agreement, but you can't identify --
- A I can't really identify the signature, okay? I

  mean I never actually saw Brian's signature that much.
- Q Okay. You were able to identify it though in the license agreement?
- 12 A Well, I'm assuming it's Brian's signature, yes.
- Q Okay. But -- and you've seen it?
- 14 A Oh, yeah, I'm assuming it has to be on the
- 15 license -- on this, yes.
- 16 Q Okay.

- $^{17}$  A It has to be.
- 18 O Now --
- 19 A It's the license agreement.
- 20 Q -- back on Exhibit 14, the licensing agreement --
- 21 A Right.
- Q -- after October 18th, Steel Seal was sold
- exclusively by Steel Seal Pro, correct?
- 24 A As of October 18th.
- 25 Q Okay. So that's correct? And you did not own any

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            17
    interest in Steel Seal Pro?
 1
 2
        That is correct.
 3
               THE COURT: What year was that, October 18th
 4
    what year?
 5
               MR. BERKOWITZ: October 18th, 2010.
               THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS: No, 2012.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: 2010. If you would like to
 8
9
    look at --
10
               THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry, yeah, 2010.
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ: 2010.
12
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
13
               THE COURT: To current? To present?
14
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Well, Steel Seal Pro has,
15
    again, defaulted and disappeared from the face of the
    earth, but we will deal with that --
16
17
              THE COURT: All right.
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- soon.
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
20
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        So if we look at Exhibit 14, the license agreement,
21
22
    you're transferring assets, including intellectual
23
    property, right?
        That's what it --
24
    A
        That's what is says in the first thing.
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           18
    A
         What paragraph again?
 2
        The first one under background.
     Q
    Α
        Okay.
        And --
 5
         "Of certain assets, including intellectual
    property."
 7
        Okay, yes. And in Exhibit 18, the second page,
 8
    Complete Group is the successor in interest to the
 9
    confidentiality agreement concerning the formula of
10
    Steel Seal?
11
        What number?
12
        That's para -- Exhibit 18, page two.
13
    Α
        But what number?
14
        That's the letter we just looked at.
15
        Yeah, I6 or what?
    Α
16
        There's only -- on Exhibit 18 there's only two
17
    pages.
18
        Oh, I'm sorry, I'm back -- I'm still on the license
19
    agreement. Okay. Okay, Exhibit 18, what's your
20
    question?
21
    Q Okay. So you transferred -- the secret formula and
22
    the confidentiality agreement is now owned by Complete
    Group. That's what these things say, correct?
23
24
    A Okay. You're losing me. You're bouncing, okay?
25
    All right? Let's take it a little slower.
```

24

#### 19 Mr. Hipple - Direct Sure. Q 2 All right. 3 Let's look at -- we looked at the first paragraph 4 in the licensing agreement. A Again, what par --6 This is Exhibit 14, background. 7 Okay. 14 background, yes, it says that --8 It says, "Complete Group owns assets, including the 9 intellectual property described on Exhibit A." 10 Okay. Under background, it says, "Complete Group 11 owns?" 12 It says licensor, and if you look above --13 Α Okay. 14 -- Complete Group is the licensor. 15 Okay. So then okay. All right. Α 16 Q Okay? 17 I'm in agreement with that, yes. 18 Okay. And if we look at Exhibit 18, the second agreement, it says -- this is the letter with Brian's 19 signature that you -- here you dispute. 20 21 Α Right. "Clement Hipple's company, Complete Group, is the 22 successor in interest to the confidentiality agreement 23

executed between SCIX, LLC, and Colonial Chemical on

March 29, 1999, regarding the formula of a chemical

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            20
    sealant now known as Steel Seal, " correct?
 2
        That's --
    Α
 3
         That's what it says?
 4
         That's what the letter says.
 5
         Okay. And we looked at that confidentiality
 6
    agreement yesterday. Do you recall that?
 7
         But I can't verify the letter itself.
 8
         Understand. Understand that. Now, after you
 9
    transferred the exclusive rights to sell Steel Seal to
10
    Steel Seal Pro so that Steel Seal Pro would be the only
11
    company that sold Steel Seal, the product, Steel Seal,
12
    was sold in the same manner and for the same price as
13
    SCIX sold the product?
14
    Д
        Of course.
15
        And you said that as a result of selling that
16
    product, it obtained $110,000?
17
        Somewhere in that area, yes.
18
        Okay. Well, we could look at paragraph --
19
        Yeah, close enough, yes.
20
        I'm sorry. All right, well, let's make sure we get
21
    it right. Exhibit 25, paragraph 67.
22
               (Pause in proceedings.)
23
    Q
        Have you -- have you found that, Mr. Hipple?
        Yes, I'm reading it right now.
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            21
 1
         That is correct.
    Α
 2
         Okay. And it says -- the second sentence in
 3
    paragraph 67, "As a result, I estimate that all the
    SCIX assets that I repossessed were sold for a total of
 5
    $110,000." That's what we just --
 6
    Α
        Right, that's correct.
 7
    Q -- agreed to before? Okay.
 8
               Now, Mr. Hipple, let's get Exhibit 130, and
 9
    that is in book number four. Let me get that for you,
10
    sir.
11
        All right.
12
        Do you have book four there?
13
    A.
        Well, here's 130.
14
        Yes, I'm going to get you a better number.
15
               (Pause in proceedings.)
16
              Now, let's look at Exhibit 130. That's the
    Q
    physical assets you obtained. Now, I just want to make
17
    sure I understand. We saw that there seemed to be some
18
19
    intellectual property taken because we talked about
20
    that before, but if you look at the second page of
21
    Exhibit 130, physical assets I've taken, do you see
    there you took 353 cases of 16-ounce Steel Seal?
22
    Α
        That is correct.
23
    Q
        Okay. And there are 12 bottles per case?
24
25
    Ά
        That is correct.
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            22
        Okay. So I did the math. If you want to multiply
 1
    out 353 cases of 16-ounce bottles, you multiply 353 --
 2
    and I have a calculator if you want to do it, but I
 3
 4
    have done the math.
        Okay, continue.
 5
 6
        So I can do 353 times 12, that's 4,236 bottles.
    I'm going to write it down so it's easy to follow.
 8
        Yeah, why don't you write it on the board there so
    we can all see it?
               (Pause in proceedings.)
10
        Okay? And if you also look, it says you picked
11
    up -- or you had an additional 80 cases of 16-ounce
12
    bottles, correct?
13
14
    Α
        Right.
        Okay, so if we multiply 80 times 12, that gives us
15
    960?
16
        That's correct.
17
    Α
               (Pause in proceedings.)
18
        I don't want to embarrass myself with the math, but
19
    I'll try and do it right here. 5,196 bottles, that's
20
    the number of bottles that you picked up?
21
        That is correct.
    Α
22
        Okay. And you said you sold it for the same price
23
    that SCIX sold it?
24
        No, I said I sold --
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            23
 1
        Well, you didn't sell it? Steel Seal Pro sold it,
 2
    right?
 3
        Steel Seal Pro sold it, okay?
 4
        Okay.
 5
        But, the point that you're missing, and if you
 6
    would have looked at the inventory, like more than half
    of that was England, UK bottles.
 8
        These are 16 -- we'll -- that's part of your case.
 9
    Let's carry the math out for --
10
        Well --
11
        -- us here.
12
        Okay. Well, let's not --
    Α
13
        It says for the same prices as SCIX. Now, what was
14
    SCIX selling --
15
        Well, I --
    Α
        -- 16-ounce -- excuse me -- 16-ounce bottles on the
16
17
    internet for? Do you know?
        I'd like to change my previous statement that it's
18
    all sold for the same price on the internet. That is
19
    not correct. It was sold for -- some were sold for the
20
    same price on the internet, and others were sold at $12
21
    per bottle.
22
        Mr. Hipple, I'm going to ask you to look at Exhibit
23
24
    D -- this is Defense Exhibit 50.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

```
FORM 2094 (C) PENGAD - 1-800-631-6383 - www.pengad.com
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                              24
 1
         And, Mr. Hipple, are you familiar with that
 2
     screenshot?
 3
         Not really, no --
 4
         Okay.
     Q
 5
    Α
         -- but I understand.
 6
         If you look here at the top --
 7
    Α
        Uh-huh.
 8
        -- it says on May 22nd, 2010 --
 9
        Right.
10
         -- this is a screenshot of the SCIX.
11
        That is correct.
12
    Q
        See that at the bottom?
13
    Α
        That is correct.
14
        Now, what size bottle was the four cylinder?
15
        16-ounce bottles.
16
        Okay. So it has a price listed there of 44.95.
17
               (Pause in proceedings.)
18
        Okay? So if I multiply 44.95 times 5,196 bottles,
19
    I did the math and I'm going to represent to you that
20
    that comes out to $233,000. Okay?
21
        No.
    Ã
22
    Q
        You --
23
        I don't agree with --
    Q
        You don't agree with the math?
24
    Α
        I don't agree with it, no.
25
```

25

- Q I'll let you do the calculation yourself then.
- 2 A No, I don't agree with --
- 3 Q 5,000 --

- 4 A -- the price of 5,196 at 44.95.
- 5 | Q I hear you and you'll get to put on your case.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q But I'm showing you the Steel Seal price --
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q -- sold by SCIX and it was 44.95, correct? That's
- what is shows right in that exhibit, right? So if I do
- 11 the math, when you sell those bottles that you picked
- 12 | up --
- 13 A Right.
- 14 Q -- you didn't pay for them, they didn't cost you
- anything, you sold it and you got \$233,560. Steel Seal
- 16 | Pro got that.
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q Correct?
- 19 A No, not correct.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, in addition, if you look at the assets
- 21 I've taken, you picked up 22 cases of eight-ounce
- 22 | bottles, am I correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So that comes out, if you do the math, 528
- 25 | bottles. Does that sound right or would you like to do

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             26
 1
    the math?
 2
        No, that's incorrect.
 3
        Do you mean 22 cases times 24 bottles per case --
 4
        Oh, you're doing 24 bottles. That's what I wanted
5
    to tell you, yeah.
 6
        Well, that's -- these are the eight ounce cases.
7
        Right, yeah. I wanted to remind you there's 24 to
8
    a case.
        Right.
    Õ
10
        Okay.
    A
11
    Q
        So it's 528 bottles.
12
    A
        Okay.
13
        And would you agree with me that the internet price
14
    is $25 a bottle for an eight-ounce bottle?
15
               (Pause in proceedings.)
16
    Α
        Yes.
17
        Okay.
        Because they're sold as a six cylinder.
18
        Okay. So if we multiply 528 bottles times $25 a
19
20
    bottle, we get $13,200.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
21
        And if I did the math correctly, and I think I did,
22
    that comes out to $246,760 --
23
    Α
        Again, I --
24
25
        -- correct?
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            27
 1
         No, again, I object to the format that you are
    using.
 3
    Q
         Okay.
 4
               THE COURT: You disagree with the price,
 5
    right?
 6
               THE WITNESS: I disagree with the --
 7
               THE COURT: The price?
 8
               THE WITNESS: -- price, yes, Your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: Right.
10
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
11
               MR. BERKOWITZ: All right.
12
               THE COURT: We'll talk about that later.
13
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
14
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
15
        Mr. Hipple, if you could look at Exhibit D-51,
16
    Defendant's Exhibit D-51? It's the next page. It's
17
    right here. I'm going to turn it for you. It's
18
    another screenshot. Do you see that? And at the
19
    bottom on Exhibit D51, your exhibit, again, it's got
20
    SCIX?
21
    Α
        Right.
22
        Okay? And this screenshot is December 7th, 2010?
23
    Α
        Right.
24
    Q.
        And do you see the prices are the same?
25
    À
        Yes.
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            28
 1
    Q
        Okay.
 2
               (Pause in proceedings.)
 3
        Now, in addition, you've got other assets, physical
 4
    assets, that you picked up, correct?
 5
    A
        Yes.
 6
        And one of them was a car?
 7
        That is correct.
    Α
 8
        And you sold the car?
        No, I did not sell the car. Brian had to sell the
    Α
10
    car.
11
    Q
        Okay. And do you know how much he got for the car?
12
        8,000.
    Α
13
        Okay. So if I add 8,000 to this, now I got
14
    254,000, correct?
15
        That's what the number says, but I'm in
16
    disagreement with it.
17
        Okay. Understand. And this was part of the
18
    security for the $210,000 note in your security
19
    agreement, correct?
20
        Yes, it was part -- the material was part of it,
    yes, but the numbers aren't correct.
21
        I hear that and you will get your opportunity to
22
    establish that. Now, I'd like you to look at
23
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 137.
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

```
FORM 2034 (Ф) РЕМСКО - 1-803-631-6989 - www.pengad.com
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            29
 1
        Mine is blank.
 2
        I'm sorry?
 3
        Mine is blank.
 4
        No, that's the --
 5
               THE COURT: Mine is blank also.
 6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I got one.
7
               (Pause in proceedings.)
8
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Okay. I'm going to stand and share with you, Mr.
10
    Hipple.
11
        Well, I would like to see a copy of it.
12
        Absolutely, here you go.
13
        I think the Judge should have a copy of it also.
14
        You get the --
15
               (Pause in proceedings.)
16
        So take a look at that. Do you recall doing that
17
    Exhibit D-52? Oh, D-52. That's where it will be.
18
              THE COURT: D-52?
              MR. BERKOWITZ: D. Defense Exhibit 52.
19
20
              THE WITNESS: Here, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: I have a copy of it.
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: That's where it is.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you. I have D-52.
24
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
25
```

```
30
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        So let's look at D52.
 1
    Q
        Well, I'm looking at this.
 2
    Α
 3
        Yeah, but you can't have my notes.
    Q
 4
        Okay.
    A
 5
    Q
        Thank you.
6
    Α
        Okay.
        You can look at D-52. Mr. Hipple, do you --
7
8
    Α
        Here?
        Can you find D-52?
    Q
10
        Yes.
    Α
        Okay. And you see that you list $8,000 for the
11
12
    sale of the car?
13
        That is correct.
        And you list 16-ounce bottles. They have a price.
14
15
    You see that USA?
        Hold on, what are we talking -- okay, go ahead.
16
    \mathbf{A}
17
        The second --
    Q
        Finished prod --
18
    Α
        -- to last line.
19
    Q
20
        Finished product or --
    Α
        Second to last line, it says, "16" --
21
    Q
         "Ounce bottles."
22
    Α
         -- "ounce bottles of Chemical USA" Do you --
23
    Q
    Α
        That is correct.
24
        -- see that?
25
    0
```

```
FORM 2094 ( PENGAD - 1-803-6331-6359 • www.pengad.com
```

```
31
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
 1
         Yes, I see that.
    Α
 2
         And you have a price of 59.95 there.
 3
    Α
         Right.
 4
         What is that price from?
    Q
 5
         That's what it was being sold for.
    Α
 6
    Q
        By SCIX?
 7
        By Steel Seal Pro.
    Α
 8
         Okay. So Steel Seal Pro then sold 5,196 bottles at
 9
    59.95?
10
    Α
        Right.
11
        Okay. So if I do the math, 59.95, it comes out to
12
    $311,500. And, Mr. Hipple, on Exhibit 130 --
13
        Of yours, P?
    Α
14
    Q Plaintiff's Exhibit 130, yes, the second page.
15
               THE COURT: D-130 or P-130?
16
               MR. BERKOWITZ: P, Plaintiff's --
17
               THE COURT: All right.
18
               MR. BERKOWITZ: -- 130. The one that has --
19
               THE COURT: Right.
20
               MR. BERKOWITZ: -- the 353 cases and the 80
21
    cases.
22
               THE WITNESS: 130?
23
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
24
    Q.
        Yes, 130.
25
        130 is (inaudible) on mine.
```

```
32
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
      Oh, you've looked at it already?
1
    Q
2
              THE COURT: This is the physical assets that
3
    I have taken?
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes.
4
               THE WITNESS: Hold on one second.
5
6
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
7
        Next page, "This is the physical assets I've
8
    taken."
9
        Okay.
    A
        And if you look at that, "Picked up in Colonial
10
11
    Chemical 353 cases" --
12
        Right.
    Α
13
       -- "of 16-ounce" --
14
    A Correct.
        -- "and 80 cases of 16-ounce."
15
    Q
16
    Α
        Correct.
        And you agree with me there's no designation of UK
17
    product or USA product on this?
19
    Α
        No.
        There's no designation, right?
20
    Q
21
    A
        Right, that is correct.
        Okay. Now, on Exhibit D-2 --
22
    Q
        Hold on, I need to be writing this stuff down.
23
    Α
        Defendant Exhibit 52. It's in the black binder.
24
    Q
        Well, my tablet --
25
    Α
```

```
33
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        The one we just looked at.
1
    Q
               (Pause in proceedings.)
2
        I'm sorry, do you have it, Mr. Hipple?
3
    Q
        Well, I need to start writing these down. Okay.
4
    Α
5
        Right here.
    0
        I got to write all this stuff down because I
6
    (inaudible) come back to it for this. Okay.
7
8
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Now, you would agree with me that you placed no
9
    value on the assets -- on the assets that you took for
10
    patents, the secret formula, the trade name, the
11
    websites, the goodwill? There's no value on that, on
12
13
    your document?
        I think we have to address each thing one thing at
14
15
    a time.
        All right. Just if you could follow me on my
16
17
    questions, Mr. Hipple.
18
        Okay.
    Α
        On the value that you ascribe to the assets that
19
    you took of SCIX, you say in your affidavit, Exhibit
20
    25, paragraph 67, that the assets that I repossessed
21
    were sold for a total of $110,000, correct?
22
         That is correct.
23
        And your exhibit there has a total number of
24
    122,000, right, your Exhibit D?
25
```

- 1 A All right. Within dollars.
- 2 Q Okay. Okay. And that does not include any value
- 3 for patents, correct?
- 4 A I never received any patents.
- 5 Q Secret formula?
- 6 A That belonged to me.
- 7 Q Well, that's not what the other documents say, but
- 8 we can argue with that and you can put on your case.
- 9 But you didn't put a value on it, did you?
- 10 A No, because it belonged to me.
- 11 Q Okay. Trade names?
- 12 A Excuse me, it belonged to Scientific Chemical,
- 13 Incorporated.
- 14 Q Well, we'll get there.
- 15 A Sure.
- 16 Q But you didn't put any value on these?
- 17 A It belonged to Scientific Chemical, Incorporated.
- 18 Q All right. All right, I hear you. So there's no
- 19 | value on these?
- 20 A It belonged to Scientific Chemical, Incorporated.
- 21 Q And you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that the
- 22 name, Steel Seal, has a value, doesn't it?
- 23 A It belonged to Scientific Chemical, Incorporated.
- 24 Q The name, Steel Seal, has a value?
- 25 A It belongs to Scientific Chemical.

```
FORM 2094 😵 PENGAD • 1.800-631-6380 • www.pengab.com
```

```
35
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        That's not the question though. There's a value --
1
    Q
        I don't know --
2
    Α
3
        -- to that name?
    Q
        -- what value it has.
4
    Α
        It has a value though, right?
5
              THE COURT: Well, the question is does it
6
    have any value? We will talk about what the value is
7
    later, but does it -- it has some value?
8
              THE WITNESS: I'm sure -- I don't -- I'm sure
9
10
    it --
               THE COURT: That's all he's trying to elicit.
11
               THE WITNESS: -- has value, but I don't know
12
13
    what the value is.
               THE COURT: Yes, right.
14
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
15
        And, in fact, you registered the trademark, didn't
16
    you?
17
        I actually did not register the trademark.
    I believe Brian registered the trademark.
19
        Well, someone registered --
20
    Q
    Α
        Or maybe --
21
        -- a trademark, right?
22
    0
        Maybe Teresa registered the trademark.
23
    Α
        Okay, maybe Teresa registered it. But you would
24
    agree with me that there's value if there's a
25
```

```
FORM 2034 😥 PENGAD • 1-800-631-6359 • www.pengadicom
```

```
36
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    trademark?
2
        Yes.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Now, Your Honor, this was not
3
    produced as an exhibit. I'm using this for purposes of
4
5
    impeachment.
6
              THE COURT: Okay.
7
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Mr. Hipple, I'm going to show you --
8
              THE COURT: Why don't you mark it anyway, for
9
10
    identification purposes.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yeah. Let me go to my last
11
    exhibit so I can distinguish it. 137.
12
13
              THE COURT: Yes, P-137.
14
              THE WITNESS: P-130?
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry?
15
               (Pause in proceedings.)
16
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Oh, I'm sorry.
17
              THE COURT: This is a new exhibit.
                                                   It's
18
19
    marked --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: This is a new exhibit.
20
              THE COURT: -- 138. It's not in your book.
21
    This is the trademark registration?
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: This is the trademark
23
    registration.
24
               THE COURT: Right.
25
```

```
37
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
               THE WITNESS: So --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm going to mark it 200 so I
2
3
    don't overlap with any other numbers.
               THE WITNESS: Okay, P-200.
4
5
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        And I'm going to show this document to you, Mr.
6
7
    Hipple, and just take your time and look at it.
8
               (Pause in proceedings.)
9
        Okay, I'm looking at it. I don't understand it,
10
    but I'm looking at it.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I do have some
11
12
    copies.
13
               THE COURT: Okay.
14
               MR. BERKOWITZ: I could provide one, this is
15
    P-200.
16
               THE COURT: Right.
               MR. BERKOWITZ: P-200.
17
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
18
        Do you see on the front page in big letters, "STEEL
19
20
    SEAL?"
21
    Α
        Yes.
        And that's the product you told us just a couple of
22
    minutes ago is owned by --
23
        Scientific Chemical, Incorporated.
24
    Α
       -- Scientific Chemical, Inc?
25
```

## 38 Mr. Hipple - Direct 1 Uh-huh. Α 2 Now, let's turn to page two. 3 Α Okay. And let's look at the filing date, May 24, 2013. 4 5 Do you see that? 6 Yes. Α 7 Sir, you would agree with me Brian Hipple didn't 8 file this? I would agree with you that Brian Hipple didn't 9 10 file it, but neither did I. 11 Okay. Let's look down at the owner. 12 Α Okay. Do you see that if you go down the left side? 13 owner of the name, Steel Seal, B.B.B. Management Group, 14 LLC? 15 16 Right. $\mathbf{A}$ 17 Correct? Q 18 Α Yep. And that's your company? 19 Q 20 That is correct. Α Okay. And there is an attorney, John Gibbons? 21 22 Α Right.

- 23 Q Do you know Mr. Gibbons?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q So, Mr. Gibbons filed this out of the blue --

Okay.

```
39
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
        I think it's --
    Α
2
        -- for B.B.B. Management?
        I think they're filed automatically when a company
3
4
    changes.
5
    0
        Well --
        Same as the trademarks. I think all that stuff
6
    is -- when one thing changes everything changes.
7
    filed automatically.
8
        You will agree with me that on this document filed
9
    with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the
10
    owner of the Steel Seal logo --
11
12
        Right.
    Α
        -- the name is B.B.B. Management Group, LLC?
13
        No, I believe that the own -- I believe, okay, that
14
    when B.B. -- well, first of all, that's not the date it
15
    was opened. I believe how it works is that the
16
    registration follows the company. Now, this was
17
    originally probably SCIX -- I mean Scientific Chemical.
18
    Do you have the previous one?
19
        I'm showing you this document, Mr. Hipple. I got
20
    this off the internet --
21
22
    Α
        Okay.
        -- from the United States Patent and Trademark
23
    Office.
24
```

```
40
                        Mr. Hipple - Direct
 1
        Okay?
    Q
2
    A.
        Right.
        Now, if you look -- let's go to page one.
    Q
4
        Go ahead.
    Α
        And let's look at if you see under the big word,
5
    "Steel Seal," under goods and services --
6
7
    A
        Yeah.
8
         -- do you see, "First use?" Do you see that?
9
    A.
        Yes.
10
        Correct? Do you see that?
        Under goods and services. Go ahead.
11
    A
        And you see, "First use?"
12
    0
13
    Α
        Right.
14
        "1999."
    Q
        Right.
15
    Α
16
         "02-28."
    Q
17
    A
        Right.
         Okay. So that is 2-28 --
18
    Q
         February 28th, 1999.
19
    Α
20
         Okay.
    0
               (Pause in proceedings.)
21
         And if you look on Exhibit 37, Hipple --
22
    Q
        P or defendant?
    Α
23
        -- 00441.
24
    Q
         P or defendant?
25
    Α
```

```
41
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
 1
        Plaintiff.
    Q
2
    Α
        Plaintiff? 37?
        Exhibit 37, page Hipple 441. We've looked at this
3
4
    before.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
5
6
    Α
        Okay.
7
        SCIX was formed on February 8th, 1999.
8
               (Pause in proceedings.)
9
        Okay.
    Α
        And you'll agree with me that B.B.B. Management
10
11
    didn't exist then?
12
        Yes, I agree with that.
13
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Okay. I'm going to have to slow down here.
14
    Α
               THE WITNESS: I have a question for Your
15
16
    Honor, okay?
17
               THE COURT: Sure.
               THE WITNESS: All right. And all the stuff
18
    that he's saying I have to rebuttal is basically what
19
    has to take place, is that correct, because he's
20
    mentioned that it's SCIX, but he's not saying that
21
    Scientific Chemical was also opened that date.
22
               THE COURT: As I told you yesterday, you
23
    don't have to rebut everything he says. You may decide
24
25
    to --
```

## Mr. Hipple - Direct

THE WITNESS: I only have to rebut what he tries to get into evidence?

THE COURT: Well, you have to rebut things that you dispute. There may be things that he's bringing out that may be not be in dispute.

THE WITNESS: Well, when do I --

THE COURT: For example --

THE WITNESS: This is in dispute.

THE COURT: Well, okay. I don't know what facts you're talking about, but, for example, the fact that Steel Seal is subject to a trademark. That may not be in dispute.

THE WITNESS: Right.

THE COURT: For example, so that fact you wouldn't have to dispute. But if there's other facts that you dispute, yes, you should bring them out, and you can bring them out two ways.

As I told you yesterday, if your attorney was not discharged and your attorney was here, your attorney would ask you questions, right, to bring out facts that would rebut the things that Mr. Berkowitz is bringing out now. And I told you yesterday because you fired your attorney, after he's finished, you can bring out whatever you want to bring out to rebut. For example, if you have evidence to rebut a particular

25

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    fact, you can state that and give the basis for your
    statement or -- and bring out documents to support it.
2
3
              Let me just finish and then I'll -- and then
4
    you can follow up, okay? The other way you can rebut
5
    it is after he's finished his case, you could call your
6
    own witnesses, including yourself again, okay?
7
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
8
              THE COURT: So after he's finished, and I
    don't know when he's going to finish. Let's say it's,
9
    you know, tomorrow or Thursday. I'm not sure when he's
10
11
    finished. That's your chance to present your own case.
    So, for example, you could call yourself back to the
12
13
    stand --
14
              THE WITNESS: Right.
              THE COURT: -- okay, and bring out whatever
15
    points you want to bring out. You could call another
16
    witness, but, you know --
17
18
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- I'm not saying who. You can
19
    call an attorney or you can call whoever you want to
20
    rebut those facts. So there's two ways you can do it.
21
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
22
              THE COURT: Either you could do it in the
23
    next hour or two through your own testimony or call
24
```

your own -- you know, you can call your other

```
44
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    witnesses.
2
              THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I have not been
    keeping track of the exhibits he's been showing, all
3
4
    right?
5
              THE COURT: Right.
              THE WITNESS: So that's been my mistake so
6
    far, all right? So, basically, I would have to go
7
    through every book and try to remember whether or not
8
9
    he spoke about that exhibit.
              THE COURT: Yes. Or --
10
11
              THE WITNESS: But as of right now --
12
              THE COURT: Or --
              THE WITNESS: -- I'm going to start keeping
13
14
    track of them.
              THE COURT: Right. Or you can rely on your
15
    recollection of the facts that Mr. Berkowitz is trying
16
    to bring out and then try to rebut each one of those
17
18
    facts.
              But I told you this. I told you this that
19
    you were not making a wise move in discharging your
20
    attorney, but that's water over the bridge, but I told
21
22
    you that.
              THE WITNESS: Right.
23
              THE COURT: It's very difficult, but you
24
```

decided to do this. So yes, you should keep notes and

```
45
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
    try to keep notes so you can remember the things that
1
    you want to bring up either on your -- what we call
2
3
    your cross-examination of yourself --
              THE WITNESS: Self, okay.
4
              THE COURT: -- or later on in your case in
5
    chief, your own case. You can call yourself again --
6
7
              THE WITNESS: Right.
              THE COURT: -- or you can call other
8
    witnesses to rebut some of these facts, okay?
9
              THE WITNESS: So, basically, I'll just start
10
    keeping track of every exhibit he talks about?
11
              THE COURT: I think you should.
12
13
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
              THE COURT: But I -- you know, I'm the Judge
14
    here. I'm not your lawyer --
15
              THE WITNESS: Right, right, okay.
16
              THE COURT: -- so you do whatever you want.
17
18
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
              THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.
20
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
21
        Mr. Hipple, if you could turn in volume one to
22
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 10.
23
      P-10.
    Α
24
    Q And that at the top says, "Security Agreement."
25
```

```
FOFM 2034 

PEkisab • 1-500-531-6933 • www pengad cem
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             46
 1
               (Pause in proceedings.)
 2
        Now, have you gotten to the exhibit?
    Q
 3
        Yes, I'm there.
    Α
        Now, we talked about this document I believe
 4
5
    yesterday. This was what secured the $210,000 note.
6
    And I don't recall whether we did it yesterday, but if
    you look at page 13, which is Hipple 033.
7
8
               (Pause in proceedings.)
9
        P-10, page 13. Go ahead.
10
        Do you see a signature there?
11
        Yes.
    Α
12
        That appears to be your signature?
    0
13
        Yes.
    Α
14
        And the other signature?
    Q
        It appears to be Brian's.
15
    Α
        Okay. And, Mr. Hipple, I'd like you to turn to
16
17
    page eight of this document.
18
    Α
        P-8?
19
    Q
        Eight --
20
        Oh, page eight --
    Α
        -- of this document.
21
        -- on the same document.
22
    Α
        Actually, we're going to go -- we'll start at page
23
    eight.
24
25
        Okay, eight.
    Α
```

```
47
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
               (Pause in proceedings.)
2
    Α
        Go ahead.
3
        Now, do you see paragraph seven?
4
        Right.
    Α
        It says "proceeds." Do you see that?
5
    Q
6
        "Proceeds."
    А
7
        Okay, and it says, "Application of proceeds."
8
    Α
        Yes.
        And it says, "The proceeds of the collateral shall
9
10
    be applied by the creditor in the following order
11
    unless a court of competent jurisdiction shall
    otherwise direct." Do you see that?
12
        I see it, but I don't understand it.
13
        Okay. All right. No court of competent
14
15
    jurisdiction gave you any instructions with respect to
16
    this exhibit, did they?
        I don't understand it.
17
        Okay. Let's go -- I'm going to tell you A, B, and
18
    C don't apply for purposes of this discussion.
19
        Okay. Where are we going now?
20
    Α
21
        We're going to go to the next page, page nine,
22
    paragraph D. And I'm going to read this.
23
    Α
        Page?
    Q Fourth. Do you see that?
24
25
       Paragraph four?
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            48
 1
        It's at the top of the page.
 2
        Okay. All right, right.
 3
        Fourth, "The balance, if any, after all of the
    obligations," which I'm going to tell you is the note
 4
5
    that we've looked at, the $210,000 note, "had been paid
    and satisfied in full shall be remitted to the account
 6
 7
    of the debtor," and I'm going to represent to you on
8
    this agreement the debtor is SCIX, "as the debtor shall
    direct." Do you understand what that means?
9
10
        No particularly.
11
        What that means is that if the collateral that you
12
    foreclose on has a value in excess of the promissory
13
    note --
        Right.
14
    A
        -- the $210,000 note --
15
        Right.
16
    Α
17
        -- that money is supposed to be paid back to SCIX.
18
    Α
        Okay.
        And you're supposed to return all the collateral
19
    Q
    because you've been paid in full.
20
    Α
        Right, okay.
21
              THE COURT: Not all the collateral. You mean
22
    the remaining --
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                               The remaining collateral --
24
              THE COURT: Right.
25
```

```
FORM 2094 S PENGAD - 1-800-631-6383 - www.pengad.com
```

```
49
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- that has not been sold.
2
              THE COURT: Right.
3
              THE WITNESS: The money or the collateral?
4
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Collateral.
6
        So once I reach the limit of the 210, the
7
    remaining --
8
        It all goes back to SCIX.
9
    Α
        Okay.
10
        And you did not return anything to SCIX, did you?
11
        Well, I never got above 210.
12
        Okay. I hear your testimony.
    0
13
    A But --
14
        We can disagree on that.
        -- I didn't write down, in reference to paragraph
15
16
    eight --
        We're talking about paragraph seven.
17
        No, I missed one thing. Hold on for one moment,
18
    okay? I wrote down 13. Under P-10, 13 you talked
19
    about, page 13. What did we talk about on page eight?
20
21
    Oh, 7.1?
       We talked about --
22
23
    Α
       7.1?
       -- paragraph seven.
24
       7.1? Okay. And on page 13 it was the signature,
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            50
    right? Okay. And then the other one was 90 -- okay,
1
2
    I'm up to date.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
4
        Mr. Hipple, I'm going to ask you to look at volume
5
    two, plaintiff's volume two.
6
        Go ahead.
    Α
7
       Exhibit 39.
    Q
8
               (Pause in proceedings.)
9
        Have you found that, Mr. Hipple?
10
        Plaintiff's 39, yes.
11
        Okay. It's volume two, Exhibit 39. Now, do you
12
    recall we talked about the license agreement between
13
    Complete Group and Steel Seal Pro? Do you recall
14
    discussions about that?
15
        Yes, correct.
    Α
16
        And we talked about the fact that Brian Hipple was
17
    supposed to be paid $10,000, correct?
18
    Α
        Correct.
        Okay. Now, let's look at Exhibit 39. And I'd like
19
20
    you to -- do you see the first page, the -- it's --
21
        Yeah.
    Α
22
        Do you see Steel Seal Pro, LLC?
23
    Α
        Yes.
        And do you see at the top of the page, "The First
24
25
    National Bank?"
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            51
1
        Yes.
    Α
2
        Okay. And that's where Steel Seal Pro had its
3
    account, correct?
4
        Yes.
    Α
5
        Okay. Now, let's look down at this exhibit.
    Q
               (Pause in proceedings.)
6
        I want you to go first to page 21, and we're going
7
    Q
8
    to start there.
        Okay, 39, page 21. Okay. 000021, go ahead.
9
10
        And if you look at check number 123 --
11
        Right.
12
        -- it has a payment to the U.S. Treasury.
13
    А
        Right.
14
        Now, it doesn't say that that's Brian's tax
15
    payment, but do you know he paid his taxes out of the
16
    Steel Seal Pro account?
17
        I can't determine --
18
    Q
        Okay.
        -- what that payment is for.
19
        Okay. Let's look across the page to check number
20
    137. Do you see that?
21
22
    Α
        Yes.
        And it's made payable to Brian Hipple?
23
    Α
        Yes.
24
```

And the date of the check is February 1, 2011.

```
52
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    you see that?
2
        Yes.
3
        And the amount is $3,500?
4
    Α
        Yes.
        Okay. And let's look at the next check, check 138.
5
    Does that look like Brian's signature on that check?
6
7
        I'm assuming it is.
        Okay. And you see that's payable to Melissa
8
9
    Moreno?
10
        Uh-huh.
        And you know who Melissa is?
11
    Q
      Of course.
12
        Okay. And that's for $2,500?
13
14
    A
        Yes.
        And do you see the next payment? Brian drove a
15
16
    Volvo, right?
17
    Α
        No.
18
    Q
      He didn't? Okay
19
    Α
      He --
        I'll tell you that Melissa said that he drove a
20
    Volvo, but that's okay.
21
        Or wait a minute.
22
    Α
        When I get to her --
23
        I guess --
24
        -- I'll do that.
25
```

```
53
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        I guess maybe I'm not sure what he drove because he
1
   Α
   had an Infiniti. That was always in my mind, Infiniti.
3
        Okay. Let's look at the next check, 141.
    Q
        Right.
4
    Ά
5
    0
        And that's for $9,000 to Melissa?
    Α
        Yes.
6
        Do you see that?
7
    O
    Α
        Uh-huh.
8
        And do you see the next check is to Keystone Volvo
9
    for 2,498? But you're not sure that Brian had a Volvo.
10
        Well, I think maybe he did, yes.
11
        Okay. Okay. Okay. Let's turn to the next page,
12
    00022. Do you see there's a payment for Brian, $5,000?
13
        Can I object to this line of questioning because I
14
    don't -- I don't know what -- you know, these
15
    documents, I've never seen these documents.
16
              THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Berkowitz, you have to
17
    lay a foundation that he has some familiarity with
18
    these documents, he prepared them, he reviewed them.
19
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I've asked him to identify
20
    the signature of Brian on the check. I will use these
21
    with Melissa Moreno.
22
              THE COURT: All right.
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: She will be able to --
24
```

THE COURT: So I'll sustain the objection.

```
54
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
2
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
3
        Mr. Hipple --
              THE COURT: By the way, Mr. Hipple, you can
4
    -- again, you can object, okay? I mean, you know,
5
    you're acting your client of the attorney here. You
6
    can object. When you just said to me can I object, you
7
    can object to all, you know --
8
9
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- reasonable --
10
              THE WITNESS: I would --
11
              THE COURT: -- based on the law and the
12
    evidence objections. But, I want to make it clear, you
13
    can object when you think you have to object.
14
              THE WITNESS: Right, I'm going to object
15
    because, again --
16
              THE COURT: You did and I --
17
              THE WITNESS: -- I'm not familiar --
18
              THE COURT: -- sustained your objection,
19
20
    which means that you --
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
21
              THE COURT: -- I agree with you.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
23
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
24
        Mr. Hipple, let's turn to page 00028.
25
```

```
55
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
 1
               (Pause in proceedings.)
2
        And then -- have you gotten to that?
3
        Yes.
    Α
        Okay. Now let's look in the bottom left column.
4
    Do you see it dated -- a check from Steel Seal Pro? Do
5
6
    you see that?
7
        What location?
    Α
        It's the bottom column -- the bottom of the page on
8
9
    the left column.
               THE COURT: And what is your question?
10
11
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Check number 158, do you see that? And that's a
12
    check made to A&C Building and Industrial Maintenance,
13
14
    correct?
15
    Α
        Yes.
        Okay. And those are payments that were made to
16
    Complete Group through your company, A&C Building?
17
18
    Α
        Yes.
        And you got these checks?
19
                I'm sure I got the check because it's in the
20
    Α
        Yeah.
    -- well, I don't know the verification of this
21
    document, but, again, I know I got money from them.
22
        Okay. And you see that signature looks like
23
    Brian's?
24
               THE WITNESS: I'm going to have to object
25
```

```
56
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
    again because, again, these are -- these are not my
1
2
    documents, okay?
              THE COURT: No, they're not, but you did
3
    testify your company is AC Building and Industrial
4
5
    Maintenance, right?
              THE WITNESS: Yes, all right. Well, then --
6
              THE COURT: So I think that's a legitimate --
7
              THE WITNESS: -- that one I can --
8
              THE COURT: -- question to ask you whether
9
    you received that.
10
              THE WITNESS: All right, yes.
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
12
              THE WITNESS: I don't remember receiving it,
13
    but yes, it's got my company name on it.
14
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
15
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
16
        Mr. Hipple, I'm going to represent to you that in
17
    February of 2011, Brian Hipple and Melissa Moreno took
18
    $24,788 out of Steel Seal Pro. Were you aware of that
19
    fact?
20
        No, I was not.
21
        Okay. And under the agreement with you, they were
22
    only supposed to take $10,000, correct?
23
        That is correct.
24
        Okay. And in March of the same year I'm going to
25
```

```
57
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    represent to you that they took out a total of $28,870.
2
    And, again, they were only allowed to take 10,000,
3
    correct, under the licensing agreement?
        Under the license agreement was 10,000.
4
        And, as a matter of fact, I see that there were
5
6
    some additional payments. They actually took $39,140
7
    out of Steel Seal Pro in the month of March 2011? And,
8
    again, that's above what the agreement provides for?
9
        Yes.
    A
        Okay. And you are also aware, aren't you, that
10
    there were American Express bills paid out of Steel
11
12
    Seal Pro?
13
    Α
        Yes.
        Okay. And I'm going to ask you --
14
        When you say "aware"--
15
    Α
16
        I'm going to ask you --
        -- what do you mean "aware"?
17
18
        -- to look at Exhibit 61.
              THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. He's asking
19
    for a clarification, Mr. Berkowitz.
20
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry.
              THE COURT: He wants to know when you say the
22
    word "aware," what do you mean?
23
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
24
        I'm asking did you know it before I told you today?
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            58
    Α
        That what?
1
        That Brian and Melissa had taken out almost $50,000
2
    in two months from Steel Seal Pro?
        No, I did not know.
4
5
    Q
        Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you to look at Exhibit
    61 through 110.
6
7
        61?
    Α
8
              THE COURT: That's a new volume.
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                              Yes.
9
10
              THE WITNESS: Where are we at?
11
              THE COURT: That's volume three. Does he
    have that, Mr. Berkowitz? Does Mr. Hipple have that
12
    volume?
             Okay.
13
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
14
        Have you got volume three yet, Mr. Hipple?
15
        What page?
16
    Α
        Let's start with Exhibit 61. Here we go. I'm
17
    going to put those aside because we're going to be
18
    using this one for awhile. Do you want to pick those
19
    up so you have some room to work?
20
               (Pause in proceedings.)
21
        Mr. Hipple, I would like you to look -- turn to
22
    Exhibit 61.
23
        Right.
    Α
24
        And do you see that -- do you know what this
25
```

```
59
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    document is?
2
        No.
        Well, if you look at the top -- and let me make
3
    sure we're looking at the same page.
4
5
               (Pause in proceedings.)
              MR. BERKOWITZ: There are copies of this in
6
7
    here, Your Honor.
8
              THE COURT: Okay.
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
9
        Now, look -- I'm showing you the first page of
10
    Exhibit 61, do you see that, of an American Express
11
12
    account?
13
        Yes, I see it.
    Α
        And would you read the name at the top of the
14
15
    account?
        Clement R. Hipple.
16
    Α
        Okay. And under that?
17
      Scientific Chemicals.
    Α
18
    Q Okay. And do you recall --
19
               THE COURT: You said chemicals. You meant
20
    chemical, right?
21
               THE WITNESS: Chemical, yeah. Take off the
22
    πs."
23
               THE COURT: Right.
24
               THE WITNESS: Scientific Chemical.
25
```

```
60
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        And let's look at the address on this document.
2
3
        Right.
    Α
4
        Do you see that?
    Q
5
    Α
        Uh-huh.
    Q And 73 Old Dublin Pike, was that the SCIX address
6
7
    at the time?
8
    Α
        Yes.
        Okay. So this bill is dated 1-20-09. That's when
9
    SCIX was in business?
10
        1-20-09? 1-20-09?
11
        That's what the date of this bill is if you look at
12
13
    the top.
        I can't comment on anything about SCIX.
14
    Α
        Okay. Because you don't know anything about it?
15
16
        Right.
    Α
        Okay. But let's look at this document, all right?
17
               THE WITNESS: I object.
18
19
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Let's look at this document.
20
               THE WITNESS: I object.
21
               THE COURT: All right.
22
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
23
               THE COURT: What do you object?
24
               THE WITNESS: I object that I have no
25
```

```
61
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
    knowledge and this has nothing to do with me.
                                                    I never
1
2
    seen this document.
              THE COURT: You mean the American Express
3
4
    bill?
5
              THE WITNESS: Right.
              THE COURT: It is --
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your --
7
              THE COURT: It is addressed to you thought.
8
              THE WITNESS: It's not addressed to me, Your
9
10
    Honor.
              THE COURT: You're not Clement R. Hipple?
11
              THE WITNESS: Well, that's been since 1999,
12
    Your Honor. This American Express card has been
13
    ongoing since the business opened up in 1999.
14
15
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
16
        And let's look there. It says, "Activity for
17
    Clement Hipple." Do you see that?
18
        Well --
19
    Α
    Q Do you see that?
20
               THE COURT: Where are you -- where are you
21
22
    pointing?
               MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm on the first page --
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               MR. BERKOWITZ: -- Your Honor.
25
```

```
62
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
              THE COURT: You have to show me what --
1
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Do you have the first page of the American Express
3
4
    bill that says --
              THE COURT: It says, "Business card, Gold
5
6
    card" --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Correct.
7
              THE COURT: -- "open" --
8
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And then it's got -- yes.
9
               THE COURT: -- "card member snapshot of
10
    Clement, " is that where you are?
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: Okay, I'm there.
13
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
14
        And you see there there's activity for Clement
15
16
    Hipple?
        Hold on a minute.
17
               (Pause in proceedings.)
18
        That is not my card.
19
        I'm just asking you to look at the document first,
20
    Mr. Hipple.
21
        I can't comment on it.
22
    Α
        Well, it has your name on it. It's --
23
    Q
        Well, it has --
    A
24
    Q -- addressed to your --
25
```

```
63
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        -- my name on it --
1
   Α
        -- company, Scientific Chemical.
        -- but I cannot comment on the use of this
3
4
    document.
        But you'll agree with me that your name is there?
5
        Yes, of course I agree to that. It says it.
6
        Okay. And it shows charges under your name?
7
              THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the
8
    objection. There was an objection. I think the fact
9
    that it's addressed to you, I'll allow the question --
10
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: -- just so the record is clear.
12
13
    Go ahead.
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
14
      Okay. And you see that there's charges under your
15
16
    name, right?
              THE COURT: What page are you going to?
17
              THE WITNESS: Where's my name?
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm -- first --
19
               THE COURT: Why don't you show him, Mr.
20
    Berk --
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm on page one.
22
               THE COURT: All right, Mr. Berkowitz, why
23
    don't you just show him?
24
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Yeah.
25
```

```
FORM 2094 (4) PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com
```

```
64
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
              THE COURT: Because I'm not sure what --
1
2
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
3
        If you look --
        No, it shows that there's charges here.
4
        Due in full for activity. This is for Clement
5
6
    Hipple.
7
    Α
        Yeah, but --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Do you see that, Your Honor.
8
              THE COURT: Right. So he got --
9
10
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And then --
11
               THE WITNESS: Let's look --
12
              THE COURT: So he got --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- he's got a charge there.
13
              THE WITNESS: Let's look above that.
14
15
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        No, no, we're going to -- we're going to -- you can
16
17
    look at whatever you want to --
18
        I'll --
19
        -- when you get your chance.
    Q
20
        Afterwards. Okay.
    Α
21
        Okay?
    Q
22
        All right.
    Α
        Do you see that? It's got some activity.
23
    Q
        61, right?
24
    Α
25
    Q
        Yep.
```

```
65
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
        60, 61. Hold on, slow it down.
2
        Yep.
3
        All right, first page. Go ahead.
        And if you go two pages further, it's got -- again,
4
5
    it starts "Clement Hipple," okay?
        That's Clement Hipple as the cardholder.
6
    Α
        No, this is activity for Clement Hipple. We'll go
7
8
    further in this.
9
        Well --
    Α
10
    Q
        Okay?
        -- that's because the card was in my name.
11
        Okay. So you're saying you didn't use this card?
12
13
    Is that your testimony?
14
        Of course not.
    Α
        Okay. So you didn't use the card?
15
    Q
16
    Α
        No.
        Okay. Let's go down -- if you're looking, it says,
17
    "Due in full activity for Brian Hipple." Do you see
18
19
    that?
20
        Where is that?
    Α
        It's on the second -- there's a big page of small
21
```

print, and it says at the top, "2 of 7." If you go to page 3 of 7, in small print on the top at the right it says, "3 of 7."

Okay. Α

22

23

24

25

```
66
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Do you see that?
1
    Q
        No, I have 2 of 7, 4 of 7.
2
              THE COURT: The next page. It's out of
3
4
    order.
              THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, 3 of 7. Okay.
5
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
6
        Do you see that? There's a charge for you at
7
    Sunoco in Hamilton Township, New Jersey. Do you see
8
    that?
9
    A Okay. I guess I have to wait until my turn. All
    right.
11
    Q But you see that?
12
    A I see what's going on here now.
13
              THE COURT: Well, he's just asking a
14
15
    question.
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- yes, I see that.
16
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
17
        Okay. And now you see Brian Hipple is using the
18
    card?
19
        Hold on a minute. Page three? Now, where's it say
20
    Brian Hipple?
21
        Do you see, "Due in full activity for Brian
22
    Hipple"?
23
        It says, "Due in full activity for Clement Hipple,
24
    $108.47."
25
```

```
67
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Correct. And let's go down the next line.
1
    Q
    Α
        Okay.
        Okay? "Due in full activity for Brian Hipple."
3
4
        Okay. All right.
        Now, I haven't heard that Brian Hipple was involved
5
    with Scientific Chemical, was he?
        No, he wasn't involved with Scientific Chemical.
7
        So he had no involvement with Scientific Chemical?
8
    Q
9
        That is correct.
    Α
10
    Q
        None?
        So you're talking page 3 of 7.
11
    Α
        Okay. I just want to make sure I understand this.
12
    0
    Brian -- Brian had no involvement with Scientific
13
    Chemical?
14
15
        Uh-huh.
    Α
        But his -- there's charge activity under his name?
16
    A That's what it say.
17
18
        Okay.
    Q
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I could have a
19
20
    minute?
               THE COURT: Sure.
21
               MR. BERKOWITZ: I'd like to --
22
               THE COURT: Yes.
23
               (Pause in proceedings.)
24
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I just could
25
```

```
68
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
    have a second? I have a lot of pieces of paper and I
1
    think it's important to get to this one.
2
              THE COURT: Let's take a ten-minute break.
3
              MR. BERKOWITZ: All right.
4
5
              THE COURT: Okay? I'll see you in ten
6
    minutes.
              THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.
7
               (Recess, 10:42 a.m. to 10:53 a.m.)
8
              THE COURT: Mr. Hipple?
9
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I broke down and took off my
10
11
    jacket.
              THE COURT: I don't blame you.
12
13
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
14
      Mr. Hipple --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Are we ready?
15
              THE COURT: Go ahead. Yes.
16
17
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Mr. Hipple, before the break I asked you if Brian
18
    Hipple had anything to do with Scientific Chemical,
19
    Inc., and I think you told me no, he didn't?
20
        That is correct.
21
    Α
        Okay. He had nothing to do with it?
22
    Q
        Right.
    Α
23
        Now --
    Q
24
    A Scientific Chemical, Inc., didn't --
25
```

## 69 Mr. Hipple - Direct Sci --Q 2 -- do anything. Α He had nothing to do with it? 3 Right, because the corporation didn't do anything. 4 5 Okay. Now, I would like you to turn in volume one 6 to Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 22. 7 22? Okay. А And I would like you to turn to page -- on the 8 bottom it's marked 33. And let me see --10 33. -- if these are in order. I hope they are. 11 12 they're not. 13 There's 20. Α 14 No, they're not. 15 Α Start at 58. 16 Now, let me help you find that. No, I can find 33. I got it. Okay. 17 A 18 Black letters on the bottom. And I would like you 19 to look at that. 20 Yes. Α Now, do you see it says "Steel Seal"?

- 21
- Α Yes. 22
- And I want you to look down at -- it says 23
- "Important." Do you see that? 24
- "Important?" No. 25 Α

```
FORM 2094 🚷 PENGAD - 1-880-631-8989 • www.pengad.com
```

```
70
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
 1
        Do you see where it says -- under the label it says
2
    "Important." Do you see those words?
 3
        Oh, down the bottom the page --
 4
        In block print --
5
    Α
        At the bottom --
6
       -- at the bottom?
7
        -- of the page.
        And it says "Customer," do you see that on the
    left?
        Wait, no. It says, "Important. Please check."
10
        Let's go down a few lines. You'll see "Ticket
11
12
    number."
13
        "Ticket number." Go ahead.
    A
14
        And then it says "Customer"?
15
        "Customer."
    Α
        And then you see it says "Scientific Chemicals,
16
17
    Inc."?
18
    Α
        Yes.
        And if you look to the right, "Authorized
19
20
    signature." Do you recognize that signature as Brian
21
    Hipple?
        That one's a little funny, but go ahead.
22
        Does that look --
23
    A I don't recognize it.
24
25
    Q -- like Brian's --
```

```
71
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        I'm sorry, that one don't --
1
    Α
2
        Okay. You --
    Q
3
        -- doesn't quite look like his.
        Okay. Let's look at page 34, the next page.
4
    should be the next page. And, again, under the
5
    "Important" banner, "Scientific Chemicals, Inc.," do
6
7
    you see that?
8
    Α
        I'm sorry? Go again.
        Do you see it says "Scientific Chemicals, Inc.?"
9
10
    Α
        Under?
        Under the "Important" banner --
11
        Right, Scientific --
12
        -- on the left side?
13
    O
14
        Yeah, "Customer," right.
    Α
        And there's a signature?
15
    Q
        Right.
16
    Α
        It's not your signature?
17
    Q
18
    Α
        Right.
        Okay. And I think you just told us you're the only
19
    one that had anything to do with Scientific Chemicals,
20
    Inc.?
21
        That is correct.
22
    А
        Okay. But that's not your signature?
23
        No, and I can't
    Α
24
25
    Q
        Okay.
```

```
НОНМ 2034 😂 PENGAD • 1-800-631-6983 • www.pengad ccm
```

```
72
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        -- verify this document because it's not my --
1
    Α
2
    Q
        Okay.
3
        -- signature.
    A
4
        Thank you. Mr. Hipple --
5
        Hold on for a minute, please.
    A
6
    0
        Yep.
7
        22, page 33 and 20. 22, page 33.
    Α
               (Pause in proceedings.)
8
9
    Α
        Okay.
        Mr. Hipple, we looked at Exhibit 61 and you said
10
    you had nothing to do with that, those charges?
11
        I had the -- was that the American Express charges?
12
    Α
13
        The American Express charges.
    Q.
14
        What was my statement in reference to that?
    Α
        I think you said you didn't recognize the account
15
    and you don't recognize making --
16
        No, I --
17
    Α
        -- any charges?
18
    Q.
        I didn't say that. I said it's the American
19
    Express bill. I said I didn't recognize the charges.
20
        Okay. You don't recognize the charges under your
21
22
    name?
23
    Α
        Right.
        Okay. Would it be fair to say that you didn't pay
24
25
    the bill then?
```

#### Mr. Hipple - Direct 73 1 I got to watch how I answer certain questions. 2 Yes, it would be fair to say I did not pay the bill. 3 Okay. Now, this entire binder --4 Α Right. 5 Q -- is filled with American Express bills. 6 Yeah, a lot of work. Α 7 And if you would like to look through them and 8 satisfy yourself that they're the same, American 9 Express bills, just like the first one, has Clement 10 Hipple and Brian Hipple charges, please do that, and I 11 would like you to tell me whether you made any of these 12 charges or not. 13 I'm sorry? What was the last -- just the last part 14 of your question. 15 You just -- you said you didn't make any of the 16 charges on the first bill? 17 Well, wait a minute. Let me -- let me just take a 18 look at that because I don't want to have a problem 19 later on when I question myself. Let me see something 20 here for one minute. Give me one minute, please. 21 (Pause in proceedings.) 22 I don't know what happened to it. Α (Pause in proceedings.) 23 24 Are these out of order? Oh, yeah, you're out of 25 order again. Okay. Hold on for one minute. Let me

```
74
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
    put the pages in order.
1
        Sure, put them in order.
        Okay. That's why I was getting confused, 3 of 7, 4
    of 7, 5 of 7, okay. Okay.
4
               (Pause in proceedings.)
5
        2 of 7 and 1 of 7. Okay. Yes, if you go to page
6
    Α
7
    -- the beginning page --
8
        Exhibit 61?
    Q
        Yeah, of the American Express bill, if you go to
9
    the beginning page of the American Express bill, okay,
10
    and if you read down under "Card member snapshot" --
11
        I'm sorry, where is that?
12
    Q
        It's about quarter the way of the page, "Card
13
    member" -- "Card member snapshot."
14
15
    Q
        Yep.
        Okay? And then you'll see "Clement R. Hipple" and
16
    then you'll see "Brian Hipple."
17
18
    Q
        Right.
        And then if you go across, you'll see card number
19
    51009 ending --
20
    Q Okay.
21
        -- which is my card, okay? Then you'll see 56016
22
    is the card Brian used.
23
        Okay.
24
    Q
        And if you follow it over a little further, you'll
    A
25
```

```
75
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
                 I have to answer yes to your question.
                                                           Ι
1
    see -- yes.
2
    charged $145.18.
3
        Okay.
4
    Α
        Yes.
        And do you recall paying this bill or would this
5
    come as a bill to Brian and his business?
6
        I could have -- I could have paid it with Brian.
7
    Brian was -- I did pay a lot of my own American Express
8
9
    bills.
10
        Okay.
    Q
11
    Α
        Yes.
12
    Q
        Okay.
        No, I didn't pay a lot. I paid them all.
13
14
        Oh, okay.
    Q
        All my charges that were on American Express I
15
16
    paid.
        Okay. So when we go through the bank records we
17
    won't see any records of these charges being paid from
18
19
    you?
        No, I paid them back to Brian or something. I
20
    don't remember how.
21
        Oh, so you paid them back to Brian?
22
    Q
        Right.
23
        So we won't see your checks? We'll see you paid it
24
    to Brian separately?
```

24

25

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
        I think so, yes.
1
    Α
        Okay. And how did you pay him?
3
        I don't -- I -- I'm not sure.
        Did you write a check?
4
5
        You're going back to what, 2009?
    Α
        Yeah, I'm asking did you -- did you write a check
6
    to him?
7
        I don't know. I'm not certain.
8
        Well, you told us --
9
        I may have let them gang up and then write him a
10
    check for them --
11
        Okay, well --
12
    Q
        -- because they were minimal charges.
13
        Okay. And you told us you didn't have a personal
14
    checking account, so who would you write the check
15
    from?
16
17
        Probably A&C.
        A&C, okay. Mr. Hipple, let's -- so there are
18
    charges for you?
19
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And we can go through them
20
    all, Your Honor?
21
              THE WITNESS: That's not necessary.
22
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
23
        I'm going to represent to you then, Mr. Hipple,
```

that there are charges for you, and I'm going to

```
77
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
    represent to you paid by SCIX, for $25,733.52 for the
1
    period of January 20th, 2009, through 9-21-2010.
2
3
        For one-year?
4
        Yes, 25,000.
    Q
        Okay. Then maybe we need to go through it.
5
    Α
        Okay, sure. Let's go to 62.
6
    Q
7
        Okay, 62, 877.
    Α
8
        Okay. Let's go to 63.
    Q
9
        1,178.44.
    Α
10
        And 64.
    Q
        2,125.
11
    Α
12
    Q
        Okay.
13
        859.
    Α
14
    Q
        Yep.
15
    Α
        Right. 510.30. 1,639.
16
        Exhibit 68?
    Q
17
        77,27.
    Α
18
        Exhibit 69?
    Q
        1,339.
19
    Α
       Exhibit 70?
20
    0
        Okay, that's far enough. Are we good here yet?
21
        I'm going to -- I'm going to go through them all if
22
    Q
    you want.
23
        No, that's far enough.
24
    A
        Okay.
25
    Q
```

Brian?

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                             78
        I'm -- and I'm telling you I paid them.
1
    Α
2
    Q
        Okay.
3
        I always paid my American Express charges.
4
        You didn't pay it to American Express though, you
    paid it to Brian?
6
        No, because Brian paid the whole bill.
7
        Okay. So, Brian paid the whole bill out of the
8
    business?
9
        Out of SCIX?
10
        Yeah.
11
        Yes.
12
        Okay. Then it's your testimony that you paid Brian
13
    back?
14
    Α
        Yes.
15
        Okay. I'm going to represent to you, Mr. Hipple,
    that between -- from January 1 -- January 20th, 2009,
16
17
    to November 21st, 2012 --
18
        What was the second date?
        November 21, 2012.
19
    Q
20
        All right.
    Α
        SCIX paid a total of 32 -- of $96,137.05 of
21
    American Express bills for you?
22
23
    А
        Okay.
        Okay? And you're telling us you repaid those to
24
```

```
79
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        I always paid my American Express bills.
1
    Α
        Okay. So -- but you repaid it to Brian, not back
    to the company?
3
        Right.
4
    Α
        Okay. And so that's a period of about three years?
5
    Q
        Three years.
6
    Α
        2009. So about $32,000 a year of American Express
7
    payments?
8
               During that time period, yeah, about.
9
        Yeah.
10
        Okay.
    Q
        Probably Teresa and I expenses.
11
        Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hipple. That made it much
12
    Q
    faster. Now, we can put this exhibit away --
13
14
        Okay.
    Α
        -- Mr. Hipple because this is all the American
15
    Express bills. Do you need some room there?
16
        No, I'm good.
17
        Do you want me to move some of those for you?
18
    0
    A
        I'm good.
19
               (Pause in proceedings.)
20
        I'm going to ask you to look at Exhibit 39 now, and
21
    that is in volume number two.
22
        P-39?
    Α
23
               (Pause in proceedings.)
24
        Mr. Hipple, I just want to clarify something.
```

- talked about the American Express bills, 2009, 2010,
- 2 2011?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q You signed your --
- 5 A 2012.
- 6 Q 2009, '10, and '11.
- 7 | A Oh, '11, okay.
- 8 | Q Yeah. So that couldn't be Teresa Hipple, all of
- 9 those, because you were separated by then, weren't you?
- 10 A No, no, no, I didn't say -- I said some of them
- 11 | could have been.
- 12 Q Okay. Some of them.
- 13 A Me and Teresa, not just Teresa.
- 14 Q Okay. Now, Mr. Hipple, I would like you to look --
- 15 let's look at Exhibit 39 and page 28, and I hope these
- 16 are in order.
- 17 A All right, I'm there.
- 18 Q You see page 28?
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- 20 | Q And we see the 3-2-11, payment to A&C Building
- 21 | Industrial Maintenance?
- 22 | A I thought we just went over that.
- 23 | Q Yeah. And, again, I'm going to represent to you
- 24 | that there are a lot of these checks. And you'll agree
- 25 there are a lot of payments made to A&C?

Q

-- correct?

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            81
        Well, it depends on your interpretation of a lot.
1
    Α
2
    Q
        Okay. We can go through them if you want.
3
        No, I don't need to.
    Α
4
        Okay. Well, let me tell you I can -- I'll read off
5
    the page numbers for you and we can just skip through
    the pages and show the A&C payments. But I just want
6
7
    to make sure I understand this. Those were really
    payments intended for Complete Group?
8
        Paid to A&C.
9
10
        Correct. So the Complete Group payments were made
11
    to A&C?
12
    Α
        Right.
        Okay. And that came out of Steel Seal Pro?
13
    Q
14
        At what date are you talking?
    A
15
        Well, we are looking at --
    Q
        Okay, yeah.
16
    Α
17
    0
        -- on --
18
    Α
        Yeah.
        -- 3-2-11.
19
    Q
20
        Right.
    Α
        Okay?
21
    Q
        That's correct.
22
    A
        And we got a check on that page for $9,000 --
23
    Q
        Uh-huh.
    Α
24
```

```
82
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Yes.
1
   Α
        Correct? Okay. Let's go to page 37.
2
3
        All right.
    Α
               (Pause in proceedings.)
4
        And you see the second check from the top on the
5
6
    left?
        Hold on. Hold on. What was the first page again?
7
        The first page was 28. This is page 37.
8
        And this is Exhibit 39.
9
        Exhibit 39. These are all in Exhibit 39.
10
    Q
             37? And now we're at what?
11
    A
        Page 37. Do you see the second check from the top
12
    Q
    on the left?
13
14
        Yes.
    A
        To A&C?
15
    Q
        Right.
16
    Α
        And do you recognize that signature?
17
        Well, it's on his bank account, so I guess it's his
18
    signature.
19
        Brian?
    0
20
        Yes.
    Α
21
        Okay.
22
    Q
        But what was the first page?
    Α
23
        The first page was 28.
24
        28. Okay.
25
    Α
```

- 1 | Q And you can -- they're all in this exhibit. Page
- 2 37, we just looked at that. If you go to page 38 --
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q -- you'll see there's a check above that to Brian.
- 5 | There's three -- there's four checks listed on this
- 6 page, correct?
- 7 A Okay, yeah.
- 8 Q Okay. And you see Brian's?
- 9 A Uh-huh.
- 10 Q And that signature, do you see that? It looks like
- Brian's signature on his check?
- 12 A Yep.
- 13 Q And the Steel Seal Pro bank account, do you see the
- 14 name there?
- 15 A Yep.
- 16 Q And at the top of the page, First National Bank?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 O Okay. And that's where they did their banking,
- 19 | right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Because that's where you garnished the money,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 | Q Okay. And then we see A&C, a check for \$9,000?
- 25 A Right.

Uh-huh.

Page 71, a check for 5,000.

Α

24

```
84
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Do you see that?
1
    Q
    Α
        Yes, correct.
        Now, Mr. Hipple, let me read off some page numbers,
3
    if we could save some time. Let me give you the page
4
    numbers and write these down.
5
        Go ahead.
6
    A
7
        Okay. Page 44.
    Q
8
    A
        In the same exhibit?
        All Exhibit 39.
9
    0
10
    A
        Page 44.
        Check for 5,000. Page 44, another check for --
11
    Q
        Just give me the page numbers.
12
    Α
        -- 9,000.
13
    Q
        Page what?
14
    Α
        These are still page 40 -- two checks on page 44.
15
    Q
        Go ahead.
16
    Α
        5,000 and 9,000.
17
    Q
        All right.
18
    Α
        Page 55, check for 9,000.
19
    Q
        Uh-huh.
20
    Α
        Page 61, check for 7,000.
21
        Uh-huh.
    Α
22
        Page 69, a check for 9,000.
23
```

Uh-huh.

1

Α

Mr. Hipple - Direct

85

```
Page 77, a check for 9,000.
        Uh-huh.
        And let's go to page 77.
5
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Okay. Do you see there are actually two checks on
6
7
    that page?
8
        What, paid to A&C?
        77, A&C, there's a check for 7,000 and actually a
9
10
    check for 7,500.
        Well, no, the top one is for 9,000.
11
        Correct, the top one is for 9,000 and the second
12
    Q.
    one is for 7,500.
13
14
        Okay.
    Α
15
    Q
        Okay?
16
    Α
        Yes.
        Sir, that's how you got paid by Complete Group --
17
18
    for Complete Group?
        That's how Complete Group got paid, not me, yes.
19
        Okay. Now, Mr. Hipple, I would like you to go to
20
21
    page 25.
        You mean Exhibit 25 or page 25?
22
        No, page 25 in this exhibit.
23
               (Pause in proceedings.)
24
25
    Α
        Okay.
```

FORM 2094 S PENGAD • 1-600-531-6933 • www.pongad.com

Α

```
86
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        And I would like you -- do you see -- do you
1
    O
    recognize what this paper is?
2
        Well, I understand it's a bank statement.
3
4
        Okay.
5
        I'm not --
    Ά
        I would like you to look down to the bottom of the
6
7
    second paragraph.
        Okay. To the bottom of the second paragraph.
                                                         GO
8
    ahead.
        Do you see that? It says a wire transfer from
10
11
    Clement Hipple.
12
    Α
        Okay.
        Do you see that?
13
        Wait one minute.
14
    Α
15
              (Pause in proceedings.)
        Can you show me?
16
    Α
        I'm sorry. Are you on page 26?
17
        No, you said 25.
18
    Α
        Do you see that right there?
19
    Q
        To Clement Hipple or from?
20
        Well, it says, "Wire transfer from Clement Hipple."
21
        Okay. And the amount -- the request was 7,945.
22
    that the amount on there?
23
        Yes, that's the amount there.
24
        Okay, it's a credit. So go ahead.
```

```
87
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
        Okay.
    Q
        Yes.
    Α
        So you're familiar with the bank account? It looks
3
    like you wired money into it, correct?
4
5
    Α
        Well, apparently there, I did.
        Yeah, okay.
6
    Q
        Well, that's what it's saying, yes.
7
8
        Now --
    Q
        I'm not familiar with this, but the paper says it,
9
    but I don't recognize it.
10
        Now, let's --
11
        Or I don't remember it.
12
    A,
        Okay. Let's go -
13
    Q
    A Hold on. Hold on.
14
        -- to page 19.
15
    Q
    Α
        Hold on.
16
        Take your time.
17
    Q
               (Pause in proceedings.)
18
    Q
        Page 19.
19
               (Pause in proceedings.)
20
        Go ahead.
    Α
21
        And I would like you to look at the bottom of the
22
    first paragraph.
23
        Wire transfers?
    А
24
        Debit plus fee pay royalties.
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           88
        Right.
    Α
        So that would have been paid to you, right?
    got paid the royalties? You told us that --
    Α
        Yes.
5
        -- yesterday.
        I guess that's what it is, yes.
6
7
        Okay. So it looks like you got a debit card for
8
    that?
        Wire transfer -- I'm sorry, I don't understand
    the -- and this is not my bank account, so I can't -- I
10
    can't verify anything.
11
12
    Q Okay.
      You're asking me to verify a bank account that is
13
14
    not mine.
15
        I'm just asking you a question.
        Therefore, I object.
16
    A
              THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
17
    He's just asking you if you --
18
              THE WITNESS: If I what?
19
              THE COURT: Well, he's asking you did you
20
    receive this wire transfer --
21
              THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
22
              THE COURT: -- through a debit card?
23
              THE WITNESS: I don't know.
24
              THE COURT: And you answered you don't know,
25
```

```
FORM 2034 

PENGAD - 1.500-631 6369 - www pengad cem
```

```
89
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
    right?
              THE WITNESS: I don't know.
2
              THE COURT: All right.
3
4
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
5
        Now, you did tell us yesterday though that you got
    the royalties?
6
7
        Of course.
        Okay. So if we turn to page --
8
        This was page what, 19?
        -- 87.
10
    Q
        Hold on.
11
    A
              (Pause in proceedings.)
12
        87?
13
    Α
14
    Q
        Yep.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
15
        Go ahead.
16
        Okay. And you see there's another -- it says "pay
17
    royalties?"
18
        Again, same thing, I don't understand, I don't know
19
    it.
20
      Okay. But I -- just -- I understand you're limited
21
    with these accounts, but you did tell us you got the
22
    royalties, you're the one who would receive the
23
    royalties?
24
    A Yes, I told you that and that is true.
25
```

Okay.

Page 87?

Q

2

Mr. Hipple - Direct

```
3
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        And do you recall during your deposition I asked
4
5
    you a question? And I'm going to let you -- do you
    have your deposition transcript up there, sir?
6
        No, I don't think so.
7
    Α
8
        Let me get that for you.
9
    A
        Okay.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
10
        Oh, wait a minute. There it is.
11
        Oh, there it is. Okay, good.
12
    Q
        Are we done with this big one, the other one, or
13
14
    no?
        No, let's just hold on.
15
    Q
        I thought maybe we were done with this one.
16
    Α
               (Pause in proceedings.)
17
18
    Α
        Okay.
        Now, I want you to turn to page 83 --
19
    Q
        One moment.
20
    Α
        -- of your deposition.
21
    Q
               (Pause in proceedings.)
22
        Page what?
23
    Α
        83.
24
    0
    Α
        83.
25
```

```
91
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Okay, do you see that?
1
    Q
        Nope, not yet. Okay, I'm at 83.
        All right, I want you to go to line seven. I'm
3
    going to ask you a question.
4
5
    Α
        Okay.
        And it says -- "Okay. Now, I may have asked this.
6
    Are there any employees of Complete Group?" Answer,
7
8
    ^{\rm n}No. ^{\rm n}
        No.
9
    Α
        Question, "Okay." Answer, "A, me," and I think
10
    you're referring to you?
11
        Well, I wasn't an employee. I was a member.
12
        Well, it says "me" when I asked if there --
13
        Okay, well --
14
        -- were employees.
15
    Q
        -- me, meaning me as a member --
16
    Α
        Okay.
17
    Q
        -- of Complete Group. I wasn't an employee.
18
        Okay. Line 12, "Okay. You're the sole employee of
19
    Complete Group?" Answer, "Yes." Next question, line
20
    15 --
21
        No, hold on, that's not correct. There was two
22
    members of Complete Group, myself and Emily Domices.
23
        Okay. Well, you answered -- when I asked you the
24
```

question you said --

- 1 A Well, I must have not --
- 2 Q -- you were the only one.
- 3 A -- understand the question. I'm saying right now
- 4 that there were two members that you are aware of of
- 5 | Complete Group, myself and Emily Domices.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A So I made a mistake there.
- 8 Q All right. Now, line 15, "Okay. How do you get
- 9 paid by Complete Group?" Answer, "Debit card."
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Correct? Question, "So you buy things on a debit
- 12 | card?" Answer, "No, excuse me. You asked how I got
- 13 paid." Answer, "Yep." Question, "Yes." Answer, "No,
- 14 I buy -- I pay cash on the debit card."
- 15 A What line are you again?
- 16 Q I'm at line 20 now.
- A All right. "No, I buy -- I take cash on the debit
- 18 card."
- 19 Q So you --
- 20 A Of Complete Group, right, we're talking about?
- 21 | Q Right. Right. So that's how you get your money
- 22 out of Complete Group?
- 23 A Well, it wasn't really Complete Group because
- 24 | Complete Group didn't have money.
- 25 | Q Well, I asked you these questions and --

93

- A Yeah, but, again --
- $^{2}\mid$  Q -- I'm just reading what we --
- 3 A We -- I thought we had an understanding that the
- 4 | money went to A&C.

- 5 Q So you took the money out of A&C on a debit card?
- 6 A Debit card, yeah, because Complete Group didn't
- 7 have a bank account.
- 8 Q Okay. Right, it didn't --
- 9 A You knew that.
- 10 0 -- have a bank account until October 2012?
- 11 A Oh, I think 2000 -- early part of 2013.
- 12 Q Well, it doesn't look like Complete Group really
- 13 | needed a bank account, did it?
- 14 A Well, it was unable to get it because it was not a
- 15 U.S. corporation and they have laws here in the U.S.
- 16 | Q You're familiar with the fact that Brian's company,
- 17 | Steel Seal Pro, was a Nevis company?
- 18 A I'm not certain about that.
- 19 Q Okay. All right. Mr. Hipple, I hate to go here,
- 20 | but I would like to deal with your son's death on
- 21 | September 30th, 2012.
- 22 A What -- where are you at? What question?
- 23 | Q I'm not on an exhibit now.
- 24 A You're what?
- 25 | Q I am not on an exhibit right now.

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           94
        Okay, hold on. Let me do this. Let me -- give me
1
    Ά
2
    one --
3
    Q
        Sure.
        Give me one minute. In reference to the
4
    Α
5
    deposition, you were talking about line -- can you give
    them to me quick because I'm answering them and I keep
6
    forgetting to write down the information? What were we
7
    talking about under my deposition, what lines?
8
        It was all from page 83 of your deposition.
9
10
    can --
        On about --
11
    Α
        -- cite the whole page.
12
    Q
        -- 14 down or 15 down?
13
    Α
        I believe I started at line seven.
14
15
        83. Okay. Line seven.
    Α
        And Brian died on September 30th, 2012?
16
    Q
        I'm sorry? Give me the -- I was doing something.
17
    Α
        I'm sorry, did you --
18
    Q
        Go ahead.
    Α
19
        Okay. Brian died on September 30, 2012?
20
        That is correct.
    Α
21
        Okay. Now, Mr. Hipple, do you have Exhibit book 2?
22
    Q
        Yep, I got all four.
    A
23
        Okay. Let's -- let's see if we can find it.
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

```
95
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Okay. And I would like you to turn to Exhibit 49.
    0
2
    Α
        49.
             Okay.
3
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Do you see that?
4
    Q
5
        Yes, I see it.
    Α
        And you're familiar with that check? It's Steel
6
7
    Seal Pro made payable to A&C Building and --
8
        Yes, I'm --
    Α
        -- Industrial Maintenance?
9
        -- very, very familiar with this check.
10
        Okay. And you wrote the check out?
11
    Q
        That is correct.
12
    Α
        That's your handwriting?
13
        That is correct.
14
    Α
        Okay. And you affixed Brian's signature stamp --
15
        No, I did not. I had an agreement with Brian
16
    because Brian was a little problem child, that he would
17
    leave a check signed for me in case something happened
18
    to him, okay?
19
              So after his death, I wrote this check.
20
    if I was trying not to forge the check or whatever, I
21
    would have typed it in and not put it in my
22
    handwriting. So there's no forgery here. There's
23
    no -- nothing illegal, okay?
24
              And I know where you're going with this, but,
25
```

- 1 again, I had an agreement with Brian, okay? I had
- 2 | power of attorney with Brian, by the way, and we both
- 3 | had power of attorney with each other, okay? But I
- 4 | didn't find out until Saturday that power of attorney
- 5 | is no longer when somebody dies. Power of attorney
- 6 stops. I thought power of attorney continued on, okay?
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A So Brian was to leave me one -- well, actually, a
- 9 couple signed checks, okay? If anything happened, if
- 10 he became incapacitated or whatever, I could go in and
- 11 | write in the check.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A So that's --
- 14 Q You said Brian --
- 15 A -- what I did.
- 16 Q -- stamped --
- 17 A Because if I was trying to hide something, I would
- 18 have typed this.
- 19 | Q Right, because that's your handwriting?
- 20 | A That's my handwriting, sure.
- 21 Q Right. And you said Brian stamped this, not you?
- 22 A Brian stamped it, yeah. It was in his house.
- 23 Q Let's turn to page 143 in your deposition.
- 24 | A Okay. What's the date of my deposition?
- 25 | Q Your deposition was on May 1st, 2013.

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           97
        Okay, hold on. Let me catch up, okay? You got to
1
    Α
    remember I'm playing two roles here, all right? You're
2
3
    flying along. 49. P-49.
              THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz, I don't need that,
4
    but do I have a copy -- did you give me a copy of the
5
6
    deposition?
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I don't know, but --
7
              THE COURT: No, it's okay. I don't really
8
    need it, but yes.
9
              MR. BERKOWITZ: It is in the defendant's
10
11
    exhibit book.
              THE COURT: Oh, it is?
12
              MR. BERKOWITZ: It's listed as a defendant
13
    exhibit.
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: But we saw yesterday that
†6
    some of those exhibits might be missing.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. It's in the def --
18
              THE WITNESS: Okay, what page are we having?
19
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
20
        I want you to look starting with page 142.
21
              (Pause in proceedings.)
22
        All right.
23
    Α
    Q
        Okay?
24
        No, no. Hold on. Page 142. Okay, go ahead, I'm
25
    Α
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                            98
1
    there.
2
        Okay. And I'm going to start on line 17.
3
    Α
        17.
4
        And I'm going to read it to you, and just let me
5
    know if I go too fast.
        Okay.
6
    Α
7
        Question, "Did you have a signature stamp for
8
    Brian's signature?"
9
        I didn't have it. There was a Brian -- yes, I did.
10
    Okay, yeah.
11
        All right, did I read it correctly?
12
        Yes, you read it correctly.
    Α
13
    Q
        Okay.
        And I answered, "Yes, I did," but there was a
14
15
    signature stamp that Brian had, yes.
16
        Okay. I'm just reading your deposition testimony,
    Mr. Hipple.
17
18
        Okay.
    Α
19
        I just want to --
    Q
20
    Α
        Go ahead.
       -- make sure I --
21
    Α
        All right.
22
        -- read it into the record correctly. Answer,
23
    "Yes, I did." Question, "Did you ever use it?"
24
        What's the date of this deposition?
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           99
        Answer -- you asked that before. It was May 1st,
1
    2013.
        Okay, go ahead.
3
    Α
        Answer, "Yes, I did."
4
        Uh-huh.
5
    Α
        Line 22, "Okay. And did you use it on this check?"
6
    And I think we were referring there to check 0872.
7
        Right. Okay.
8
    Q
        Oh, maybe we're not. Let me read on.
9
10
        Well, apparently, we are.
        No, we're talking about this Lucy Cosgrove check,
11
    but I'll get to your check. "Did you use it on this
12
    check?" "I do not recall using it on this check," and
13
    in this deposition we were referring to a check to Lucy
14
    Cosgrove for 7,695, and that appears at line 23 --
15
        Okay.
16
    Q
17
        -- on page 141.
        I said -- well, I don't know that that -- I don't
18
    know if that's the check you showed me.
19
20
    Α
        Okay.
        There's no identification. I object to this.
21
              THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
22
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
23
    BY MR, BERKOWITZ:
24
        Page 142, line 24, "Okay. Is there any reason why
25
```

- 1 | you would use it in particular?" Answer, "Yes, I had
- 2 | an authorization from Brian. If I need to use this
- 3 | stamp, I could use it."
- 4 A That is correct.
- 5 Q Question, "And how often did you use it?" "I used
- 6 it once."
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 Q "Okay. And is this it?" And that refers to the
- 9 Lucy Cosqrove check. "No," that's your answer.
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q Do you see that?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q "Okay. When did you use it?" "After he passed
- 14 away."
- 15 A Right.
- 16 Q Question, "You -- okay. What did you do?" "I took
- 17 my -- some of my money and stamp -- used this stamp on
- 18 it."
- 19 | A Okay.
- 20 | Q Question, "Okay. And that was after Brian's
- 21 | death?"
- 22 A Right.
- 23 | Q Okay?
- 24 A Go ahead.
- 25 | Q Did I read that correctly?

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           101
1
        Yes.
    Α
2
        And that's referring to this $40,000 check?
3
    Α
        Yes.
4
        Okay, thank you.
5
        But that check was stamped, but go ahead.
6
               THE COURT: But you backdated the check two
7
    days before he died?
8
               THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Now, Mr. Hipple, after your son passed away,
11
12
    Complete Group filed a lawsuit against Steel Seal Pro,
13
    correct?
14
        Yes.
    A
15
        And, Mr. Hipple, I'm going to ask you to turn to
16
    Exhibit 51.
17
               (Pause in proceedings.)
18
        Go ahead.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
19
20
        Do you see Exhibit 51?
    Q
        Yes, I'm right there.
21
        And that is a copy of the lawsuit that Complete
22
    Group filed against Steel Seal Pro, your son's company?
23
        Okay.
24
    Α
25
    Q
        Correct?
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           102
        I don't know the document, but --
1
    Α
        Well, take your time and look at it. It says --
2
        Well, I've never seen the document, all right?
3
        Well, Mr. Hipple, let's go to the back of the
4
5
    exhibit.
6
        All right.
    Α
7
        And it should be the --
8
       Yeah.
    Α
    Q
       -- the last page.
10
    Α
        All right.
        Do you see that?
11
    Q
12
    Α
        Yeah.
       Verification?
13
    O
14
       Yeah.
    Α
15
        Okay. And you recall we looked at this yesterday.
    It says you're the managing member of Complete Group?
16
17
        Correct.
        And it says -- and you signed it?
18
19
    Α
        Right.
        And you said everything in the lawsuit is true and
20
    correct?
21
22
    Α
        Right.
        Okay.
23
    Q
        Because I was e-mailed this, okay, in Colombia,
24
```

okay, just the signature page. I signed it and sent it

```
FORM 1894 🏵 PENGAD • 1-8E0-631-6999 • www.pengad.com
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           103
 1
    back to Ms. Hawthorne.
 2
        Okay.
 3
        So I never saw the document, but go ahead.
 4
        Okay. You verified the document?
 5
        Yes, by signing it, I verified it, correct.
    A
 6
        Okay. Now, Mr. Hipple, let's go to -- if you go a
 7
    couple pages, there are some state cover sheets that
8
    you have and there's a notice to defend. But I want to
    get passed that. I want to get to the page where it
10
    says "complaint."
11
        Okay. What page? Oh, "complaint." Go --
12
        It says "complaint" on the front.
    Q
13
        Go ahead.
14
               (Pause in proceedings.)
15
    Q
        And you see the page where it says "complaint?"
16
        Yes, I'm right there.
    Α
17
    Q
        Okay.
18
    A.
        Okay.
19
        I want you to go to the second page of the
20
    complaint, paragraph three.
21
    Α
        Hold on.
22
               (Pause in proceedings.)
23
        Three. Go ahead.
24
        Do you see that?
25
    Α
        Yep.
```

- 1 Q Now, it says "plaintiff," and that's Complete
- 2 | Group, correct?
- 3 A Right, plaintiff. All right.
- 4 | Q -- "is the owner of certain assets including, but
- 5 | not limited to a chemical formulation which is used to
- 6 seal leaks in the engines of older automobiles" --
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q -- "(the product), " correct?
- 9 A Uh-huh, it says that, yes.
- 10 Q That's the Steel Seal product? That's the
- 11 formulation --
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q -- for the Steel Seal product?
- 14 A That's what it's saying, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And it says in paragraph seven, "The URL and
- 16 | intellectual property on the website are not owned by
- 17 | Steel Seal Pro." Do you see that?
- 18 A Okay. "Defendant market" -- number seven?
- 19 | Q Number seven. "Defendant marketed the product,
- 20 | Steel Seal, through the use of an internet website
- 21 | which advertised the product."
- 22 | A Okay.
- 23 Q And it says, "The URL and" --
- 24 A What is URL?
- 25 | Q I don't know. I'm just reading the complaint.

- 1 | A Okay.
- 2 Q "The URL and the intellectual property on the
- 3 | website are not owned by the defendant, correct?
- 4 A Not owned by Complete Group.
- 5 Q No, that's not what that says, "by the defendant."
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q Number eight says, "Customers purchase the
- 8 product" --
- 9 A Okay, yes, that's correct.
- 10 | Q -- "on the website and used credit cards."
- 11 A Okay. What are you -- where -- you got a paragraph
- 12 | again?
- 13 Q Yeah, number eight.
- 14 A Number eight? Go ahead.
- 15 O And number nine --
- 16 A "Customers to purchase" -- all right, number nine?
- 17 O Number nine, it says, "The merchant account which
- 18 received the credit card payments for the sale of the
- 19 product deposited the proceeds of the sale," that's
- 20 from the sale of Steel Seal?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 | Q -- "in a deposit account nominally in the name of
- 23 | the defendant maintained at the First National Bank
- 24 and --
- 25 A Okay.

# Mr. Hipple - Direct

- 1 Q -- Trust Company of Newtown."
- 2 A Who is the defendant in this one?
- 3 Q Steel Seal Pro, your son's company.
- 4 A Okay. All right. Okay, go ahead.
- 5 Q So you told us before you couldn't identify the
- 6 | First National Bank --
- 7 A No, I never said --
- 8 Q -- trust company checks that we were looking at
- 9 before, but you knew that that's where the defendant's
- 10 bank was?
- 11 A I didn't say that. I said I knew the First
- 12 | National Bank was his, okay?
- 13 | Q Now --
- 14 A I received checks from it.
- 15 | Q -- paragraph ten, it says, "The license agreement
- 16 clearly provides that the proceeds are owned by the
- plaintiff and not the defendant." Do you see that?
- 18 A Uh-huh, yeah.
- 19 | Q So you're saying there, if I understand that
- 20 correctly, that the money deposited in the Steel Seal
- 21 | Pro account actually belonged to Complete Group?
- 22 A That's absolutely correct.
- 23 | Q Okay. Now, let's go to paragraph 14.
- 24 A All right.
- 25 | Q It says, "Upon information and belief, the account

25

Q

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          107
    balance -- the account balance of the proceeds in the
1
2
    trust account is $161,000." That's a pretty specific
    number. You had to get that from somebody that had
3
4
    access to the account?
        No, I thought that the attorneys had got that
5
    information.
6
        Okay. So you don't -- you didn't get it?
7
8
        I was in Colombia.
    Α
        Did you get it from Melissa?
10
        Huh?
    Α
       You didn't get it from Melissa?
11
    Q
12
       No, definitely not.
    A
        Okay. And it says, "Despite repeated demands,
13
    defendant has failed to turn over the proceeds in the
14
15
    account."
16
       Well, again, I --
17
        Do you see that?
    0
        Yes, I see it, but I don't know what demands, but
18
19
    go ahead.
        I would like you -- if you could just look at
20
    Exhibit 39 for a second.
21
22
    Α
       Exhibit 39?
        Yeah.
    Q
23
```

(Pause in proceedings.)

Are you on page 189 of Exhibit 39?

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                         108
        No, I'll go there. Hold on.
1
    Α
2
              (Pause in proceedings.)
3
        Okay. I'm losing my spot. 189?
    А
4
    Q
        Yeah.
5
              (Pause in proceedings.)
6
        I'm at 189.
    Α
7
        Okay. And you see at the top it's the First
8
    National Bank?
9
        Right, correct.
10
        And you've identified that account in the
11
    complaint?
12
      Yes. Yes.
    Α
    Q Okay. And I would like you down to -- it says
13
    under date -- under "Business First Account," it's got
14
    "Date." Do you see that?
15
       Hold on. 189. Okay, where?
16
17
    Q It says "Date." Do you see that?
18
    A 11-30-2012?
    Q No, it just says "Date." Let's look at that first.
19
    I want you to be in the right column. Do you see
20
    this --
21
22
        Okay, "Date," yes.
        -- balance where it's got numbers?
23
    0
    A Go ahead. I got it.
24
       "Date."
25
    Q
```

- A Go ahead.
- Q And I want you to go down to 11-7.
- 3 A Okay, 11-7. Go ahead.
- 4 | Q Let's start at 11-6. There's \$160,878 in the
- 5 account.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q And the next date, 11-7, there's \$161,958 in the
- 8 account.
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q Okay. In your complaint, you say there's about
- 11 \$161,000 in the account.
- 12 A Right.
- 13 | Q So, it's pretty close to the numbers, correct?
- 14 A Yeah, but I think the attorneys got that number
- 15 from the bank.
- 16 Q Okay. It appears that after Brian's death, money
- 17 continued to come into the account.
- 18 A For almost three months.
- 19 | Q It continued to sell Steel Seal.
- 20 A No, it didn't continue to sell Steel Seal. The
- 21 processing, the merchant processing was still in Steel
- 22 Seal.
- 23 | Q Okay. Now, let's go back to --
- 24 And we'll get to that later on.
- 25 Q Let's go to the complaint.

```
110
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Okay. Let me finish here. Page 39, 189.
1
    Α
2
        Yeah, write that down.
        11-7 -- 11-6 and 11-7. 11-6 and 11-7.
3
              THE COURT: What's the number of the
4
5
    complaint again?
              MR. BERKOWITZ: The complaint is Exhibit 50.
6
              THE COURT: Thank you.
7
              (Pause in proceedings.)
8
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
9
              THE COURT: You said 50?
10
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Exhibit 50.
11
              THE WITNESS: 50.
12
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Plaintiff's Exhibit -- I'm
13
    sorry, Plaintiff's Exhibit 51.
14
15
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: It goes from 50 to the top of
16
17
    the page.
              THE COURT: Right.
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I lost that one.
19
              THE WITNESS: 51 now?
20
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Exhibit 51 is --
21
              THE WITNESS: Go ahead.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- your complaint.
23
              THE WITNESS: Okay, we're back at the
24
    complaint, yes?
25
```

- 1 BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
- 2 Q Yes, and we're at paragraph 14.
- 3 A Paragraph 14.
- 4 Q And it says --
- 5 A Hold on. Hold on.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Hold on. Paragraph 14. Okay.
- 8 Q "Upon information and belief, the account balance
- 9 of the proceeds in the trust account is \$161,000."
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 Q Right? "And despite repeated demands, defendant
- 12 has failed to turn the proceeds in the trust account to
- 13 the plaintiff, " right? That's what it says?
- 14 A That's what it says.
- 15 | Q So who were the repeated demands made upon?
- 16 A I guess you'll have to talk to the attorneys about
- 17 that.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you know who was the administrator of
- 19 | Brian Hipple's estate?
- 20 A Of course I know.
- 21 | Q Okay. And that was Melissa, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 O Correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And this complaint, in fact, was served upon

23

24

25

#### Mr. Hipple - Direct 112 her? Okay. I'm not certain about that, but go ahead. 3 Okay. Well, let's turn to the next page, Exhibit 52, Plaintiff's Exhibit 52. 4 5 All right. And I'm going to represent to you -- and I have 6 here a certified copy of the docket entry. You have a 7 8 copy there. 9 (Pause in proceedings.) And if you look --10 Fleet versus Steel Seal Pro, okay. 11 Okay. This is the lawsuit, correct? 12 Q I'm assuming this is the law firm trying to 13 retrieve the money that was belonging to Complete 14 15 Group. And this is the docket I'm representing to you. 16 17 Α Okay. This is an official court paper. 18 19 Α Right, yes. And if you look at the second page of this --20 Right. 21 Α I'm going to represent to you the first line --22

Wait a minute. Give me a minute here.

forgetting -- you are confusing me. Exhibit 52. Okay,

52 and we're -- what are we at? 52? Go ahead, 52.

Right? You see that?

Mr. Hipple - Direct

113

```
52, the second page.
        Second page, right at the top. The complaint was
    served on Melissa Moreno.
4
5
        Okay.
    Α
6
        Do you see that?
    0
7
       Hold on. Hold on.
    Α
8
               (Pause in proceedings.)
9
        The very top?
    Α
10
        Yes, the very top line.
11
       The top line?
    A
        Dated 12-5-2012.
12
    0
    A -- "Deputy marshal serves the defendant pursuant to
13
    Steel Seal Pro. 4520, that's your address." I don't
14
    see -- oh, okay, yeah. Okay, good.
15
        Okay? Had to hand in the copy to Melissa Moreno?
16
    Q.
17
    A Yes.
18
        Okay.
    Q
        I guess because she was in charge of the estate.
19
        Okay. Now, I would like you to turn the page to
20
    Exhibit 53.
21
22
        Okay.
    \mathbf{A}
               (Pause in proceedings.)
23
24
    Α
        Okay.
        And I'm going to represent to you that this is a
25
    Q
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          114
    Pennsylvania form that's headed "Important Notice,"
1
    and you see it's delivered to Steel Seal Pro, care of
3
    Melissa Moreno?
        I see. Okay, yeah, right underneath there, yes.
4
5
        Okay. And it says you were in default because you
6
    have failed to enter a written appearance personally or
7
    by an attorney?
        Okay.
8
    Α
        Okay? Do you see that?
9
10
        Where's it say in default?
        You can -- right under "Important Notice."
11
        Okay. "You are in default because" --
12
    Α
        Okay? And I'm going to represent to you that this
13
    comes right out of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil
14
    Procedure, right out of the book.
15
              (Pause in proceedings.)
16
        Again, I don't understand, you know, what's --
17
    Α
        Okay.
18
    Q
        -- the purpose.
19
    Α
        All right. I'm just --
20
    Q
        All right.
    Α
21
        -- asking you to take a look at these things.
22
        These are things that the attorneys have done.
23
        All right. Well, that's why your attorney is
24
    coming in to testify.
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          115
        Okay.
1
    A
        So let's look at Exhibit 54.
        Okay. Nothing on page -- oh, yes, there is. Go
3
    ahead, 54.
4
5
              (Pause in proceedings.)
        And you see it says "Precipe to enter default
6
    judgment?"
7
        Well, what's precipe?
8
        I'm just -- do you see that? I'll tell --
9
10
    Α
        Yes.
        -- you what it means. I just want to make sure
11
    we're looking at the same page.
12
        Yes, we are.
13
        All right. And this is your attorney, Mr.
14
    Chevelle.
15
        Mike Chevelle.
16
    Α
        He has been subpoenaed to testify, "To enter
17
    judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the
18
    defendant in the amount of $198,377.88." Do you see
19
    that?
20
        Yep.
    A
21
        Okay. That's a pretty specific number, isn't it?
22
        Well, apparently they got the information from
23
    somewhere.
24
        Okay. You don't know where it came from?
25
```

```
116
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
             I'm in Colombia, okay?
    Α
        ŇΟ.
        Mr. Hipple, let's look at your deposition
2
    testimony. Do you have that there in front of you?
3
4
        Yes, certainly. Go ahead.
    Α
              (Pause in proceedings.)
5
6
        What page? I don't know --
    Α
7
        It's page 155.
    Q
        Hold on. Hold on.
8
    Α
              (Pause in proceedings.)
9
10
        What was the date of that complaint?
    Α
        The date of the entry of judgment?
11
    Q
12
    Α
        Yeah, whatever the --
13
    Q
        1-21-13.
              THE COURT: What exhibit are you on, Mr.
14
15
   Berkowitz, please?
                              I'm sorry?
16
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
              THE COURT: What exhibit? I'm sorry.
17
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, that's Exhibit 54.
18
    That's the precipe to enter judgment.
19
              THE COURT: Right, I have that. What -- do
20
    you have a page number you want to give him?
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: There's only one page --
22
              THE COURT: Oh.
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- in that exhibit.
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                         117
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: It's only the first page.
2
    The rest is just a --
3
              THE COURT: Right, okay.
4
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- an affidavit of
5
    non-military service.
6
              THE COURT: Right, I'm here. Okay.
7
              MR. BERKOWITZ: These are state forms.
8
              THE COURT: Right.
9
              THE WITNESS: Okay, go ahead. I have my
    deposition in front of me.
10
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
11
12
    Q Okay. Page 155.
13
        155, hold on. Again, what was the date of my
14
    deposition?
15
        I think it was May 1, 2013.
16
    A
        I got to write that down.
        Well, it's right in the front of your deposition,
17
    so you don't have to worry about that.
18
        Okay. Well, because I'm trying to compare numbers
19
    and time limits.
20
        And, Mr. Hipple, I'm going to read to you --
21
       Hold on. Hold on. 155, go ahead.
22
       Line two, "And what you wrote in the complaint
23
   based on your information and belief was that $161,000,
24
    that was owed to you, right?"
25
```

118

1 A Yes.

- 2 Q Do you see that? Answer, "Yes, 161,000."
- 3 A Because that's what they put in the complaint.
- 4 O Ouestion, "Do you know how your attorney was able
- 5 | to enter judgment for 198,377.88 based on that
- 6 | complaint?"
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q Answer, "Yes, this is my knowledge of what took
- 9 place, okay, is that this -- the credit charges, okay,
- were continually being put into this account. It took
- me approximately two months for which I had to send the
- 12 chemical out and not receive any money, okay? And I
- think that's where that -- why that figure changed."
- 14 Okay? Did I read that correctly?
- 15 A Yeah. Yeah, because the credit card processing
- 16 kept pushing the money into that account.
- MR. BERKOWITZ: And, Your Honor, you will see
- again, when Mr. Chevelle testified, we'll go through
- 19 the Rules of Civil Procedure and point out why you
- 20 can't do that. But that's for another day.
- 21 BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
- 22 O Mr. Hipple, so judgment was entered for the
- 23 | 198,000, and you can look back at the docket and see
- 24 | that if you would like.
- 25 A No. I know --

```
FORM 2034 (4) PENGAÜ • 1-800-631-6389 • www.pengad com
```

```
119
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
        Okay.
    Q
2
        -- what's been in there.
3
        Now, let's turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 55.
        Plaintiff's Exhibit 55.
4
    Α
5
               (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT: What's the number again? I'm
6
7
    sorry?
8
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Plaintiff's 55.
9
              THE COURT: Thank you.
10
               (Pause in proceedings.)
11
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        And do you see that it says, "Garnishee's answers
12
    to interrogatories and attachment"?
13
14
        Uh-huh.
    A
        And you will recall yesterday, that's the type of
15
    document I served on Wachovia Bank to execute on the
16
17
    SCIX doc --
18
        No, I --
19
        -- bank account?
20
        No, I don't recall that.
        Okay. Now, do you see in paragraph two, the bank
21
    is saying that it has a -- it has $197,823.34 that
22
    belongs to Steel Seal Pro? That's the answer in
23
    paragraph two?
24
        That is correct.
25
    А
```

24

25

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          120
        Okay. And they're telling you that they're going
1
2
    to deduct $425 as a service charge --
3
        Big service charge.
        Yeah, the bank is charging for their getting
4
5
    involved.
6
        Yeah, qo ahead.
    Α
7
        Which leaves $197,398.34 for you?
8
        Correct.
    Α
        For -- I'm sorry, for Complete Group?
        Complete Group, yes.
10
        Okay? And that's the amount of money that the
11
    First National Bank sent to Complete Group, correct?
12
        And that would have been on February 13, 2013.
13
    Α
        So that's the date -- if you look at the docket on
14
15
    page --
16
        No, that's all right.
17
        -- 52 --
               MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, there are trigger
18
    dates in the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure that
19
    prohibit you from entering a judgment before 30 days
20
    after the interrogatories are served and the like, so
21
    there's a time lag. It's built into the system so that
22
    the bank and everybody has a chance to challenge this
23
```

THE COURT: Right.

in case there's a problem.

```
121
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
              THE WITNESS: But, I thought you did
1
2
    challenge it.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: On -- and if you look at the
3
    docket, Your Honor, that we looked at before, which is
4
5
    Exhibit 52, the judgment --
              THE WITNESS: Okay, could we just -- could we
6
    just back up a minute?
7
8
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I --
              THE WITNESS: The statement you made has --
9
    in reference to this document that we're -- last
10
11
    document we're looking at?
12
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        I'm just going to refer you to the -- to the
14
    docket.
        No, but I mean the statement that you just
15
    explained to the Judge, are you referring to this
16
17
    document?
        When you say this document, tell me which one
18
19
    you're looking at.
        The one -- the last one we looked at, 55, where
20
    they took the $450 --
21
        Oh, yeah, that's the -- that's the bank's answers
22
    to the interrogatories. And you can see there is a
23
    little block, that is the Bucks County filing system
24
25
    block.
```

```
122
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Okay. But --
1
    Α
        You can see it was recorded. What happens is the
    bank files this with the Court. Do you see that, Mr.
3
    Hipple, there's a block up there?
4
5
        Right, okay. But my question to you --
        Well, you don't get to do that now. You get to do
6
7
    that --
              THE COURT: Well, I think he -- I think he's
8
9
    trying to prepare so --
10
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                              Okay.
              THE COURT: Okay? Go ahead.
11
              THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to -- I'm
12
13
    trying to --
              THE COURT: Right.
14
              THE WITNESS: The question that he had --
15
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
              THE WITNESS: -- approached or gave to you --
17
18
              THE COURT: Yes.
              THE WITNESS: -- about the law is in
19
    reference to this document?
20
               THE COURT: I think he's trying to explain
21
    that the reason there's a delay in the entry of
22
    judgment is because the system gives notice and
23
    opportunity for everybody to be heard. The bank -- the
24
    bank needs time to object or not object, to answer the
25
```

```
FORM 2094 (8) PENBAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengsticam
```

```
123
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
    interrogatories. Is that -- that's your point?
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yeah, that's my point, Your
2
3
    Honor.
              THE COURT: Yes.
4
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
5
              THE COURT: Yes.
6
              THE WITNESS: Fine.
7
              MR. BERKOWITZ: That's all. It was -- it
8
    just shows that it was on February 13th that the
9
    interrogatories were filed with the Court.
10
11
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        And if you look at the docket, which is 52 --
12
       Where we going, 52?
13
    Α
        Exhibit 52. And if you look at 220 --
14
    Q
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I will get to a
15
    good breaking point soon if --
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
              MR. BERKOWITZ: So I can replenish my fluids.
18
              THE COURT: We'll go to about 12:15.
19
              MR. BERKOWITZ: That's fine.
20
              THE COURT: All right. It's about ten of
21
22
    now.
              THE WITNESS: Okay, 52.
23
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
24
        You see 52? And I want you to look at the docket
25
```

```
FCRM 2034 
    PENGAD - 1-800-631-6359 • www.pengad.com
```

```
124
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
    entry on 2-20-2013. It's about two-thirds or
1
    three-quarters of the way down the page, February 20th,
2
3
    2013.
4
               (Pause in proceedings.)
5
        Do you see that? Do you have that in --
6
        Not yet.
    Α
7
        -- front of you?
8
        Not yet.
    Α
9
        Then let me help you.
10
               (Pause in proceedings.)
11
        You're on the wrong --
    Q
        You said 52.
12
    Α
        Yeah. Yeah, yeah, but you're --
13
14
    A
        Oh.
        -- looking for the date down here.
15
16
        Oh.
    Α
        Do you see that? First, you look at 2-13. Do you
17
    see that? That's the bank's answers to the
18
19
    interrogatories.
20
    А
        Okay.
21
        Do you see that?
22
    Α
        All right.
        And then on 2-20, that's when the judgment is
23
    entered against the bank, the garnishee. Do you see
24
25
    that?
```

between --

No.

24

25

Α

```
125
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Okay.
1
   Α
        Okay? And that --
        Now, this is the timeline, right?
3
        This -- well, this is -- this is the bank's -- this
4
5
    is the court docket --
        Yeah, okay.
6
        -- just showing when things happen.
7
        The timeline. All right.
8
    Ą
        So we have certainty there.
10
    Α
        Okay.
        And if you see 2-20 is the date the judgment's
11
    entered. And what takes place then is the bank is then
12
    going to send the check that you got to your attorney.
13
        That is correct.
14
    Α
        Okay. And you'll look down a couple lines to March
15
    15th. Do you see that, 3-15 --
16
17
    Α
        Yes
        -- 2013?
    0
18
    A
        Yes.
19
        That's your attorney filing that to not -- to
20
    notify the Court that the judgment has been satisfied.
21
        Okay.
22
    Α
        The bank has paid the money for the judgment.
23
```

24

25

#### Mr. Hipple - Direct 126 1 -- February 20 and April 15th, the check was sent 2 to you? 3 Between February and April -- oh, about three 4 months. 5 Okay? Q 6 Α Yeah. 7 Now, after the check was delivered to your attorney --Right. A 10 -- I think you called Melissa Moreno --11 Yes, I did. 12 -- and asked her to pick up the check for you? 13 That is correct. 14 Okay. And she went to your attorney's office and 15 picked up the check made payable to Complete Group for 16 about the \$197,000? 17 That is correct. 18 Okay. And she deposited that into the Complete 19 Group bank account with Bank of America? 20 Right, because we had a bank account at that point. Α 21 Right. 22 Okay? And then after she did that, you sent her \$5,000, correct? 23

Okay. Well, let's go to Exhibit 44. Do you see

I'm not sure, but go ahead.

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                           127
    Exhibit 44, Mr. Hipple?
        Yep, go ahead.
        Okay. And I want you to go to page 52 at the
    bottom.
5
        All right.
    Α
6
        In mine it's the third page in.
7
        All right, they might be out of order. Okay, 50
8
    what, 2?
9
        It says Hipple 00052.
10
    Α
        Okay, go ahead.
11
        Okay? And do you see on 3-29, there's an entry?
12
    Α
        3-20 -- hold on, 3-29, yep, 5,000. Yep.
13
        Okay. And you sent that to Melissa?
    Q
14
        No, I sent that to my grandchildren.
    A
15
    Q
        Well, it says "To Melissa Moreno."
16
    Α
        For my grandchildren.
17
        Okay. All right.
    Q
18
               (Pause in proceedings.)
19
    A
        You think I paid her 5,000 to pick up the check?
        No. After Brian's death --
20
    0
              THE COURT: What was Melissa Moreno's
21
    relationship to Brian?
22
              THE WITNESS: She was not his wife, she was
23
    his fiance, okay, for I don't know, six, seven years,
24
    eight years.
25
```

```
128
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
1
        She is the mother of the grandchildren --
        His -- my two children --
3
   A
        -- that you have --
4
        -- grandchildren.
5
   Α
        -- correct?
6
7
   Α
       Yes.
              THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
8
              THE WITNESS: My fatherless two
9
   grandchildren.
10
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
11
        After Brian Hipple died, you transferred --
12
    Q
        After Brian Hipple committed suicide.
13
   A
14
        I'm sorry.
   Q
        Let's use the right terminology.
15
        I'm sorry. I'm sorry to hear that.
16
    Q
   A
        Yeah.
17
        And I'm sorry if I used --
18
        Because he couldn't handle the pressure.
19
    Α
        He transferred -- you transferred the right to
20
    manufacture, market, and sell Steel Seal from Steel
21
    Seal Pro to your new company, B.B.B. Management Group,
22
    LLC?
23
        Right, Brian, Baylon, and Braydon, yes.
24
        Right.
25
    Q
```

- 1 A Uh-huh.
- 2 Q And that's the company that sells it now?
- 3 A That is correct.
- 4 Q Okay. And in the beginning, it together with
- 5 Complete Group were the companies that sold Steel Seal
- 6 | through the internet?
- 7 A At the same time?
- 8 Q That's what --
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q -- you told me in your deposition.
- 11 A Well, no. Well, after Brian's death, I opened up
- 12 the new company because if it's an operating -- or
- 13 the -- not operating, the license agreement was voided.
- 14 Q Okay. And I'll represent to you that in your
- deposition, unless you would like to go over it, you --
- 16 A No.
- 17 0 -- told me that Complete Group and B.B.B.
- 18 Management sold -- they were the companies that sold
- 19 | Steel Seal.
- 20 A Yeah, but I may refer to that as -- I'm not sure
- 21 | how your question was, but yes, they both sold Steel
- 22 | Seal, but not at the same time.
- 23 Q Okay. If you would like, let's --
- 24 | A Well, it can say whatever it wants in the
- 25 deposition, but that's what it is.

```
130
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
       Okay. Let's --
1
   Q
        I didn't have two companies selling at the same
2
3
   time.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
4
        Okay. We're back to the deposition or --
5
   Α
       Let's see. I just want to make this easy.
6
              (Pause in proceedings.)
7
        Let me start on page 64.
8
   Q
        Start on 64.
   Α
       Line 21.
10
              (Pause in proceedings.)
11
        I'll start on line 17. "Did" --
12
   Q
   A Wait a minute. What are we doing, 17 or 21?
13
       Let's start on 17.
14
        I'm writing this down. You got to remember that,
15
   Α
   okay?
16
        Okay. And it's page 64.
17
        All right. Hold on. It's line 17, page 64. All
18
   right, I got that. Go ahead.
19
        Question, "Did SCIX have any websites?" Answer,
20
    "Yes, I believe they do."
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        "Do you know how many they had?" "No, I do not,"
23
   answer. Question, "Okay. Do you know where those
24
   websites are now or who controls those websites?" You
25
```

```
FORM 2094 ( FENGAD • 1-800-631-0963 • www.pengad.ccm
```

```
131
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
   respond, "Of SCIX's?" Question, "Yes." Answer, "I
   have the websites."
        Right.
3
       Next page, 65, "When you say 'I' are you saying you
4
   personally?" Answer, "No." Question, "Complete
5
   Group?" Answer, "Complete Group has the websites."
6
   Okay? Did I read that correctly?
7
   A Yes.
8
9
    Q Okay.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
10
        And when you asked me that question you meant who
11
    owned the website? Is that what you were trying to get
12
13
   at?
        Well, let me -- let me go on.
14
   Q
15
   Α
       All right.
        We saw on Exhibit -- Defense Exhibit 2, the UCC 1,
16
    0
    do you recall we looked at that Exhibit A and it listed
17
18
    the websites?
19
   Α
        No.
        You want -- do you want to look at it?
20
    Α
       Yeah.
21
        It's Defense Exhibit 2.
    Q
22
              (Pause in proceedings.)
23
        I'm sorry, look at 3, it's easier.
24
        What page are we at?
25
```

```
132
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        We are on Exhibit 3.
   Q
   Α
       Oh, mine.
       Defense Exhibit 3.
3
   Q
   \mathbf{A}
       Oh.
5
   Q List of assets.
   A Okay, Defense Exhibit 3. All right.
6
       Do you see that? And it lists websites, correct?
7
   Q
       Yes.
8
   Α
        Okay. Now, I think you testified that Scientific
   Chemical owned the website.
10
        Scientific Chemical, yes. If you would go back and
11
   do a search, Scientific Chemical owned the website.
12
13
   Q
       Okay.
        And then I think what happened -- all right, I'm
14
   probably talking --
15
       Well, let's --
16
   A -- too much.
17
   Q No, that's okay.
18
       No, I want to answer -- I want to answer the
19
   question.
20
   Q Go ahead.
21
              THE WITNESS: Can I answer the question, Your
22
    Honor?
23
              THE COURT: Sure.
24
              THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. Because see,
25
```

he's going down this little road here, okay? All right, my job with Complete Group, B.B.B. Management, and all is to take care of the books, okay? I hire people, which are called SEO companies. My nephew worked for me for awhile, advertising companies, website companies, okay, e-mail companies that handle everything, all right?

My involvement is to take care of ordering the supplies, paying the bills, and keeping the bank account, and answering some e-mails, okay? That's my total involvement.

So, when you say the websites, the people that work for me control the websites, okay. I don't control the websites. If they do something with the websites, that's something they do, all right? I have no -- I don't control what has to be done with the website. So if the website has to be changed from Scientific Chemical to the new company name, they need to make those changes.

20 BY MR. BERKOWITZ:

- 21 Q Okay. I just want to --
- 22 A All right.

- 23 | Q I'm just trying to find --
- 24 A I mean you --
- 25 Q -- out who owns the website.

don't have the answer or I don't even control.

Mr. Hipple - Direct

Well, again, but you're asking me questions that I

134

```
Okay. I would like you to look, if you could look
3
4
    at volume one, Exhibit 38.
5
        Your volume one?
        Yes, plaintiff's volume one.
6
7
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Okay. D what? Or P what?
8
    Α
        It's Plaintiff's Exhibit 38.
9
10
               (Pause in proceedings.)
11
        Okay.
    Ά
        And I would like you to look at page Hipple 636.
12
    Q
        Well, they're not numbered.
13
    Ά
        Yes, they are, at the bottom right of the page.
14
    Q
15
        No, it's page one of four.
    Α
        On Plaintiff's Exhibit 38?
16
    Q
        Okay. Now, they're numbered, fourth page in.
17
    Α
18
    Q
        Okay.
19
        Page what?
    Α
20
        636.
    Q
        6 -- that's where I'm at, right? Okay.
21
        Okay. And if you look at the top of the page --
22
    Q
        Right.
23
    Α
        -- it says the registrant --
24
25
    Α
        Okay.
```

1

Α

```
135
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        -- Scientific Chemicals, Inc.?
1
   Q
   Α
        Yeah.
3
               That's what you told us before?
        Okay.
   Q
4
        Right.
   Α
5
        Right. Okay. And that date above that is October
   19th, 2001?
6
        That it was still under Scientific Chemicals, Inc.
7
       Right.
8
   Q
        2001, yes.
9
   Α
        Okay. Now let's go to -- and these are out of
10
   order pages in my book, so I assume they're out of
11
   order in yours.
12
   A A little bit.
13
14
   Q Hipple 530.
15
   A
       530?
       530.
16
   Q
17
   A
        530.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
18
   A
        Okay, go ahead.
19
        If you look at the top of the page, the date,
20
   December 24th, 2010.
21
        Right, okay.
   Α
22
        Okay. Now it lists the registrant as Complete
23
   Group?
24
        Correct. Yes, it does.
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                         136
       Okay. And you look under "Administrative contact,"
1
   Brian Hipple, and then under that it says Complete
3
   Group, LLC.
       All right. Because Brian Hipple was involved in
4
   network solutions.
       Okay. Okay.
6
   Q
       Okay. When he had SCIX I'm assuming.
7
       Okay. Now --
   Q
       Well, it certainly did -- oh, yeah, 2010?
   Α
       Okay. Now let's go to page 483. I don't where
10
   that is in the back.
11
       483? I got it. Just keep going backwards.
12
   Α
              (Pause in proceedings.)
13
       Okay. Now we're at steelseal.com.
14
   A
15
              (Pause in proceedings.)
       Network solutions again.
16
   Α
       Okav. The reg -- I'm sorry, which page are you on,
17
   sir?
18
       You said 483.
19
   Α
        483. Okay. Do you see the registrant now is
20
   B.B.B. Management Group?
21
22
   Α
       Right.
       Okay. And that's your company?
23
    Q
       That's because it's 2013.
24
   Α
       Okay. And you are listed as the administrative
25
   Q
```

- 1 | contact?
- 2 A Who is it listing?
- 3 Q You are.
- 4 A Oh, yeah. All right.
- 5 Q Now, let's go to --
- 6 A I guess we finally got around to taking Brian off.
- 7 Q Okay. Just going through the records and making
- 8 | sure we're on the same page with these things, Mr.
- 9 | Hipple.
- 10 A Yeah, but you're going through the records that I
- 11 had no control over. I shouldn't even be talking about
- 12 these records because I don't -- I never seen these
- 13 records. Okay, that's where I'm going to start. Okay.
- 14 Q Okay. Now ---
- 15 A Go to whatever page you want now. Okay.
- 16 | Q Page --
- 17 A I'm going to do it the right way.
- 18 | Q Page 479.
- 19 A 479? Yes.
- 20 | Q Okay. Do you see that, the owner is B.B.B.M?
- 21 A I'm sorry, I don't recognize the page.
- 22 | Q Page 479?
- 23 | A I don't recognize --
- 24 | O It's not there?
- 25 A I've never seen the page.

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          138
        I'm asking you is it there?
1
    Q
2
        Is what there?
3
        The page.
    0
        There's a page 479. Yes, I see that.
4
        Okay. And who does it list as the registered
5
    owner?
        The registered owner, Network solutions.
7
   Α
        Go to the line where it says B.B.B.M, do you see
    that?
              THE COURT: You mean the top -- the B.B.B.
10
    Management Group, Inc.?
11
12
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes.
              THE WITNESS: Where? At the top? Oh, right,
13
    registered owner.
14
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
15
        Do you see where it says --
16
17
    Α
        Yeah.
        Registered owner, B.B.B. Management Group?
18
    Q
        Right.
19
   Α
        Right?
20
    Q
        But I have no knowledge of this.
21
   Α
        That's fine.
22
    Q
        I've never seen this page and I have no knowledge
   Α
23
   of it.
24
        Okay. And it also says on there that it owns --
25
```

```
139
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
       Okay?
1
   A
        -- four other domains, correct?
        I don't know. I have no knowledge of it.
3
       But that's what the page said without regard?
4
        Yes, that's what the page says.
5
   Α
6
       Okay.
   Q
       But I have no knowledge of it. I've never seen the
7
8
   page.
        Okay. So you -- but you are the sole owner of
9
   B.B.B. Management Group?
10
        Yes, I believe I am.
11
   Α
12
   Q
        Okay.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
13
        Mr. Hipple, volume one, Exhibit 33.
14
   Q
              (Pause in proceedings.)
15
        Do you see that? Yes, Plaintiff's Exhibit 33.
16
    Q
17
   Α
        Yes.
        Okay. And do you recognize --
18
        Hold on.
19
    A
        Turn to page 00086.
20
        All right, 0086, go ahead.
21
        Okay? And do you see that? It looks like a
22
    Quickbooks record of the profit and loss of B.B.B.
23
    Management Group, LLC from October 2012 to May 2013?
24
    Do you see that?
25
```

- 1 A They were the good years, yes.
- 2 Q Okay. And let's not -- I'm not going to go through
- 3 any detail with you. Did you work on the detail of
- 4 this? Did you put the Quickbooks entry in?
- 5 A I just explained it to you. Yes, I take care of
- 6 the books.
- 7 Q Okay. So the commissions there, are those
- 8 royalties paid to you?
- 9 A Where's --
- 10 Q If you look --
- 11 A -- the commission?
- 12 Q -- under cost of goods sold, it's got commission.
- 13 A Commissions paid? No.
- 14 Q So who do you pay commissions to?
- 15 A To Web -- okay, let me think of the name. It's our
- 16 | Cadillac division.
- 17 Q Okay. Okay. And you've got under expense, you got
- 18 | law office, \$56,000.
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q That's --
- 21 A That's a nice sweet number, huh?
- 22 | Q That's for this litigation, right?
- 23 A No. It's for my -- yes, of course it's for this
- 24 | litigation.
- 25 Q Okay. Well, I'm just saying if that's a

- non-recurring expense, we could treat it different, but
- 2 let's --
- 3 A Yeah, a non-recurring expense, yeah. Sure.
- 4 Q Let's look at the net income for this eight months.
- 5 A Right, this was --
- 6 Q It looks like almost \$56,000 for the eight-month
- 7 period, correct?
- 8 A That's correct. That's what it says at the bottom.
- 9 O All right. Now, let's go --
- 10 A And also, let's look at something else that you're
- missing, okay? Do you see any officer salary or member
- 12 | salary taken out?
- 13 Q Mr. Hipple, I'm just looking at your records.
- 14 A Oh, okay. I got to do that on my side. Okay,
- 15 sorry.
- 16 Q That's right.
- 17 A Hold on. Hold on. 33, 086, my salary.
- 18 Q And --
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 | Q -- I would like you to look at Plaintiff's Exhibit
- 21 34.
- 22 | A Okay, 34. Okay.
- 23 Q And this is Complete Group, LLC, your company?
- 24 A Right.
- 25 | Q And that's the profit and loss from October 2012 to

```
FORM 2094 🕙 PENGAD • 1-800-691-6989 • www.pengad.com
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                         142
   May 2013?
2
   A Right.
3
              THE COURT: What's the number again? I'm
4
   sorry.
5
              THE WITNESS: 34.
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, 34.
7
              THE COURT: Exhibit 34.
8
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Exhibit 34.
9
              THE COURT: All right, I have it. Thank you.
10
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        And you see that profit is $350,192?
11
12
   Α
       Yes.
      Okay. And these are all from the sale of Steel
13
14
   Seal?
15
      Oka. So, I have to -- I have to deal with this one
16
   also, P-34.
              THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't deal with it
17
   now, right, Your Honor? Just when I come back on it,
18
19
   right?
20
              THE COURT: Right.
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
21
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
22
        Okay. So between those, for the eight-month period
23
   it shows profits of over $406,000?
24
        If that's the calculation, yes.
25
```

```
FORM 2094 🏵 FENGAD+1-600-631-6380+www.pangad.com
```

```
143
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
   Q Okay. Now, Mr. Hipple --
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, it's 12:10. I
2
   can -- I think I can finish up with Mr. Hipple in just
3
4.
   a few minutes.
              THE COURT: Yes, sure, let's do that.
5
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Let's just move it along.
6
7
              THE COURT: Yes.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
8
              THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to introduce
9
    any document now, right, like Quickbook --
10
              THE COURT: Pardon me?
11
              THE WITNESS: I'm not allowed to introduce
12
   any Quickbook documents now?
13
              THE COURT: No, you can -- when Mr. Berkowitz
14
    is finished.
15
              THE WITNESS: No, I mean as part of evidence
16
    in this case.
17
              THE COURT: Yes, you can introduce --
18
              THE WITNESS: I can bring my Quickbook
19
    information now?
20
              THE COURT: Yes, sure you can.
21
              THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
22
              THE COURT: As long as you --
23
              MR, BERKOWITZ: As long as it was produced
24
   before.
25
```

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          144
1
              THE COURT: Yes, well, as long as you
2
   produced it in the litigation before and you can
3
    establish that it's admissible. We will talk about
4
    that later, okay?
5
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
6
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
7
        Mr. Hipple, if you could turn to Exhibit 42.
       Different book?
8
    Α
9
        It's -- this should be book two.
10
              (Pause in proceedings.)
11
        Okay, 42.
   Α
12
        Do you see -- and I would like you to look at -- it
    says on the top, "Total Merchant Services."
13
14
    A
        Okay.
15
        Do you see that?
16
    Α
        Yep.
        Okay. And you're familiar, this is how you get the
17
18
    credit cards --
19
        No, I am --
    Α
        -- processed through the bank?
20
    O
        -- not familiar.
21
        You're not familiar with this?
22
        Nope. I don't even know the company. I'm not
23
    familiar with it and I know nothing about it.
24
        You know -- okay. Okay. Well, let's just look at
25
```

```
145
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
   this for a second. Do you see at the bottom of this
2
   page --
3
       Right.
        -- there's Brian -- it looks like there are
4
5
   initials there. They look like BMH to me.
        I don't -- at the bottom of the page where?
6
7
   Q
       Yeah.
        I'm not familiar with the document so I'm not even
8
   Α
   going to answer the question.
        Okay. Let's go two pages forward.
10
        Two what?
11
   Α
    Q Two pages forward. It says page three of 12.
12
              THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz, if he's not
13
    aware -- he's not familiar with it --
14
              THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with --
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I understand.
16
              THE WITNESS: -- the document.
17
              THE COURT: -- we then generate -- I don't
18
    think it's proper to ask him.
19
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I just want to ask him to --
20
              THE WITNESS: I object.
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- to identify the signature
22
23
    on it, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right.
24
25
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
```

```
146
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
        Go two pages forward under "required signatures."
1
   I'm not going to verify Brian's signature anymore.
2
              THE COURT: Well --
3
4
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
              THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. You can't
5
    just refuse to do that.
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Right.
7
              THE WITNESS: Oh.
8
              THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz can ask you a
9
   question if you can identify a signature. And if you
10
    say I can't, you can't.
11
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
12
              THE COURT: Wait, wait.
13
              THE WITNESS: I'm --
14
15
              THE COURT: You have to --
              THE WITNESS: Sorry.
16
              THE COURT: -- answer the questions.
17
    just can't refuse to. So, Mr. Berkowitz, ask it again,
18
   please.
19
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
20
       Mr. Hipple, on page three of 12, there are two
21
    signatures that appear on the page. And do you see it
22
    says "Print name," and it says Brian Hipple?
23
   A I see where it says "Print name," Brian Hipple,
24
25
   yes.
```

```
147
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
       And it says the date is 12-28-10?
1
   Q
2
   Α
       Yes.
       Okay. And there's a signature there?
3
   Q
4
   Α
       Yes.
       And that appears to be the signature of Brian
5
6
   Hipple?
       Maybe it appears to be, yes.
7
   A
       Okay. Now, I would like you to go several pages
8
              You'll just see a void check.
   forward.
9
              (Pause in proceedings.)
10
       And do you see it says Steel Seal Pro?
11
12
   Α
        Yes.
        Okay. And the date of this document where Brian
13
    signed is 12-28-10?
14
        The date of what, this document?
15
    Α
        When you look on the third page where Brian signed.
16
    Q
        Okay. 12-28-10.
17
    Α
        Right?
18
    Q
        All right.
19
    Α
        Okay. So it looks like he had a checking account
20
    by then?
21
        You would have to ask Brian that question, okay?
22
        Well, I don't think I can do that.
23
              THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection, all
24
    right? He doesn't -- he didn't prepare this document.
25
```

```
FORM 2034 😥 PENGAD • 1-800-631-6933 • www.pengad.com
```

```
148
                      Mr. Hipple - Direct
   He's not familiar with it.
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
2
              THE COURT: It's not proper, Mr. Berkowitz.
3
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
4
   BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
5
       Now, you had a company, we've talked about it
6
   before, called Steel Seal, LLC, and that's your
7
   company?
8
       100 percent.
9
       Okay. And that's a company that, before this case,
10
   defaulted, correct?
11
        We -- oh, yeah, before the start of this case we
12
    defaulted it.
13
        Okay.
14
    Q
       Yes, that's correct.
15
    Α
        And I think you told me in your affidavit on
16
    Exhibit 25, paragraph 70, Steel Seal never had any
17
18
    assets --
        Steel Seal, LLC --
    Α
19
      Yes, Steel --
    Q
20
        -- Pennsylvania corporation, never had any assets.
21
        Never conducted any business?
    0
22
        Well, maybe $25.
    Α
23
        Okay. Never conducted any business?
    Q
24
        That is correct, never --
    Α
25
```

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A -- conducted any business.
- 3 Q Would it be fair to say you're the only one who
- 4 would know anything about Steel Seal, LLC?
- 5 A Yes, it would be very fair to say that.
- 6 Q Okay. Mr. Hipple, I would like you to turn to
- 7 Exhibit 47.
- 8 A Okay. All right.
- 9 Q Do you recognize that writing?
- 10 A Sure, that's my writing.
- 11 Q That's your writing. And you see it says "Merchant
- 12 | Services" on the top?
- 13 A Right.
- Q Okay. And that's -- again, that's the credit card
- 15 processing company?
- 16 A That's right.
- 17 Q You see you got a name up there, Mike Zedzick (ph)?
- 18 A Yes, I spoke with --
- 19 Q And you got --
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You got there it says "New Steal Seal, LLC," and
- you got a federal ID number. Do you see that?
- 23 A Yes, of course.
- 24 Q Okay. So you had that? Nobody else would have
- 25 that information?

```
150
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
        This is all my information, yes, correct.
1
   Α
        Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit 36 and that would be
2
    in volume one.
3
        Volume one?
4
   Α
              (Pause in proceedings.)
5
        And this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 36.
6
   Q
        Right.
7
   Α
              (Pause in proceedings.)
8
        Now, at the top of Exhibit 47, you had a name,
10
   Michael Zedzick?
        Yes.
11
   Α
        Do you see that? And let's look at this
12
    application for merchant card processing. Do you see
13
    that name, sales rep, Michael Zedzick?
14
15
        Okay.
    Α
        And do you see up there it says "Association
16
17
    Number"?
18
        Right.
    Α
        Do you see that? You've got 105922?
19
    Q
        Right.
20
    Α
        And if you turn to Exhibit 46, I'll represent to
21
    you that that's the same association number.
22
        46?
    Α
23
        Well, let's start with 42.
24
    Q
    Α
        Okay.
25
```

151

#### (Pause in proceedings.)

- 2 Q We looked at the merchant services agreement for
- 3 | Steel Seal Pro, right, that was the one we looked at
- 4 before?
- 5 A The merchants agreement for who? Steel Seal Pro?
- 6 Q Steel Seal Pro.
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q And then if you go to Exhibit 46 --
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q -- do you see that it says "Merchant Statement" and
- it's got an associate, number 46?
- 12 A I never seen this statement. I don't --
- 13 Q Okay. Well, I'm just asking you -- we talked -- I
- 14 just want you to look at the association number at the
- 15 top of that.
- 16 A 105922.
- 17 Q Okay. Thank you. And that's the one that appears
- 18 right at the top of this Exhibit 36, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, let's look down. Do you see it says
- 21 "Contact Name"?
- 22 A Which one was it that we were looking at? 37?
- 23 Q We were looking at 36.
- 24 | A Where's it say "Contact Name"?
- 25 Q If you look down the --

- 2 Q -- left-hand corner under number one, Brian Hipple.
- <sup>3</sup> A Okay.
- 4 Q Okay. And if you look just about a line or so
- 5 above that on the right side it says "Length owned, 15
- 6 years?" Do you see that? It's on the right.
- 7 A I've never seen this document.
- 8 Q I'm just -- I'm just going through it with you.
- 9 A Okay. Length owned what?
- 10 Q 15 years.
- 11 A Where?
- 12 Q It's halfway down the first block on the right
- 13 side.
- 14 A What does length own --
- 15 Q Right there.
- 16 A -- length own mean?
- 17 Q Okay, it says -- do you see that it says 15 years?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, let's look at -- do you see that W-9
- 20 | information?
- 21 A Where at?
- 22 Q It's the next big block. It says "Two. W-9
- 23 | Information."
- 24 | A W-2 you mean?
- 25 Q It's -- well, it's number two. It says "W-9

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          153
1
    Information."
2
        No, I don't see it.
              THE COURT: Why don't you point it out to
3
4
    him.
5
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        I know you haven't seen this, but let's -- right
6
7
    there.
8
        Okay.
    Α
    Q
      ₩-9.
      All right, W-9 form. Okay. Information holding.
10
11
    Go ahead.
        Okay. And you see an EIN number, and could you
12
    read that off?
13
14
       753099885.
    Α
15
        Okay. Now, I would like you to look at your
16
    Exhibit 47 that you said was your writing.
17
        Right. Okay.
        And do you see under where it says "New Steel Seal,
18
    LLC?"
19
      Hold on.
20
    Α
              (Pause in proceedings.)
21
        In my handwriting, "New Steel Seal, LLC?"
22
    A
        Yes. And you'll agree with me that's the same EIN
23
    Q
    number?
24
25
      Yes, it is the same number.
```

- 1 Q Okay. And I think you testified you're the only
- 2 one with this information, correct?
- 3 A No. Oh, you mean with Steel Seal?
- 4 Q Yeah, about Steel Seal.
- 5 A Yeah, I'm the only one that has Steel Seal
- 6 | information, yeah.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, it says "Owner and Officer
- 8 | Information." Do you see that?
- 9 THE COURT: We're back to -- we're back to
- 10 | 36.
- 11 BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
- 12 | O We are back to 36.
- 13 | A Okay.
- 14 Q And do you see it says "Owner and Officer
- 15 Information?"
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you see it says Brian Hipple?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you see it's a social security number?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, let's go forward. Let me get the page
- 22 for you. It has a --
- 23 A Now --
- 24 | Q It says "Guarantors."
- 25 A Now I got this.

```
155
                       Mr. Hipple - Direct
1
        You see "Guarantors?"
    Q
2
    Α
        What's this?
3
        If you go forward several pages, it's some fine
4
    print at the top of the page, and then it's got "12.
5
    Merchant Signatures." Do you see that?
        "Merchant Signature," yeah.
6
    Α
7
        Yeah, do you see that?
    Q
8
    Α
        Right.
9
        And you see there's a block?
10
        Right.
        And it looks like it was typed in, the name, Brian
11
12
    Hipple?
13
        Right.
    Α
14
        Okay?
    Q
15
    Α
        Okay.
16
        Now let's look at the next page.
    Q
17
    Α
        Okay.
        Do you see that? Now, let's look at the bottom of
18
```

- 20 A Right, Steel Seal, LLC.
- 21 Q Steel Seal, LLC. And that's the address?
- 22 A Right.

the page.

- 23 Q Do you see it's typed in, "Brian Hipple?"
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 | Q Okay. And you see "Printed Name of Owner/Officer,

156

# Brian Hipple?" A Right.

- 3 Q And you see "Owner?"
- 4 A Right.
- 5 Q Now look at the bottom of the page on the right.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q 10-10-2012.
- 8 A Yeah, well, Brian very well couldn't have signed
- 9 that back then.
- 10 Q Well, that's right. You submitted this with Brian
- as the guarantor, isn't that correct?
- 12 A I never submitted this form. I never seen this
- 13 form, okay?
- 14 Q You testified, sir, that you're the only one with
- this information, with the EIN number.
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q And you own --
- 18 A Mike had --
- 19 Q -- Steel Seal, LLC.
- 20 A No, Mike -- I'll cross -- I'll do that
- 21 cross-examine when I come up, okay?
- 22 Q Okay. But you'll agree with me --
- 23 A I agree with you that --
- Q -- that whoever filled this out --
- 25 A No. What am I agreeing with you?

```
Mr. Hipple - Direct
                                                          157
1
        That whoever filled this out --
2
       Right.
   Α
3
       -- on 10-10-2012 --
4
       Right.
    Α
5
        -- that had the information, the EIN number of
6
    Steel Seal --
7
   Α
       Right.
      -- whoever that was --
8
    Q
9
    Α
      Right.
      -- just like on your handwritten page, wasn't Brian
10
11
    Hipple?
12
       No, because he wasn't around.
13
    Q Because Brian Hipple had -- was passed away by
14
    then?
15
        Right. Yeah, right, correct. Okay, I will take
16
    this back when --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: This would be a good place to
17
18
    break.
19
              THE COURT: Are you finished with him or --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I would like to just review
20
21
    my notes --
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- before I say yes, I think
23
24
    I am.
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right.
25
```

```
158
   We'll take a lunch break. Let's come back at 1:00.
1
   It's a little bit after 12:20.
2
              THE WITNESS: Come back at 1:00?
3
              THE COURT: No, I'm sorry, I apologize.
4
   Let's come back at 1:30, give you an hour, little over
5
   an hour. All right?
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Thank you.
7
              THE COURT: See you at 1:30.
8
              (Luncheon recess, 12:22 p.m.)
9
10
                       AFTERNOON SESSION
11
                           1:33 p.m.)
12
              THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Hipple --
13
                              Your Honor --
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
14
              THE COURT: Oh, you're done?
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- I have finished with Mr.
16
17
    Hipple.
              THE COURT: Oh, okay.
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And if I could raise a
19
    procedural question for you. First, Mr. Hipple, I
20
    wanted to talk to you about --
21
              THE COURT: Yes.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Would you like to talk to us
23
    first or --
24
              THE COURT: Yes. You want it on the record,
25
```

```
159
1
   right?
             MR. BERKOWITZ: Do you want it on the record
2
3
   or now?
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, it can go on the record.
4
              THE COURT: This is about the case, right?
5
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes. No, it's about me
6
   personally, my problem with reading.
7
              THE COURT: Oh, okay. Yes, let's put it on
8
   the record. Go ahead, Mr. Hipple, do you want to go
9
10
    first?
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. Basically, I have the
11
   questions for the expert, okay? And there's every word
12
    in here that I don't understand.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay? Do you want to see it?
15
              THE COURT: All right, yes, let me see. Now,
16
   what -- so this is the expert? What are you handing
17
18
    me?
              MR. HIPPLE: This is the questions for the
19
    expert.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go back
21
    there so he can hear you?
22
              MR. HIPPLE: It's --
23
              THE COURT: Oh, this is your questions,
24
    right?
25
```

```
160
              MR. HIPPLE: It's my questions to the expert.
1
              THE COURT: All right, but --
2
              MR. HIPPLE: And I have two exhibits.
3
              THE COURT: All right. Is the expert the
4
   next witness?
5
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: My expert, yes, Mr. Geisser
7
8
    is going --
9
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- to be the next witness.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Now, let me ask you
11
    this before we do that. Do you want to present any
12
    testimony now or you want to wait until --
13
              MR. HIPPLE: No, I want to do my testimony
14
    later after he's done.
15
              THE COURT: In your case? That's fine.
16
17
    Okay.
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes, I need time to prepare.
18
              THE COURT: Yes. Now, what's your problem
19
          Tell me what your problem is.
20
              MR. HIPPLE: A lot of it.
21
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
22
              MR. HIPPLE: Look at the wording.
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I -- Mr.
24
    Hipple asked me if it would be okay with me if his
25
```

```
161
   expert witness sat with him at the table to help him
1
    through the document, and I told Mr. Hipple I had no
2
   objection, but it was --
3
              THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
4
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- not my decision.
5
              THE COURT: Your expert to help you with --
6
              MR. HIPPLE: The reading of the document.
7
              THE COURT: Fine.
8
              MR. HIPPLE: Can he read it or no?
9
              THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand.
10
              MR. HIPPLE: Can he just ask the questions
11
    off the document? It's very, very complicated.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Your expert ask the
13
    questions of the other expert?
14
              MR. HIPPLE: Expert, yes.
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I have a problem with dueling
16
17
    experts --
              MR. HIPPLE: It's the same wording.
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- but maybe we should --
19
              THE COURT: Well, what I suggest is this.
20
    I'll have your expert tell you what questions to ask.
21
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, no, we're going to ask all
22
    of these questions.
23
              THE COURT: You're going to ask them?
24
              MR. HIPPLE: But we -- I cannot read these
25
```

```
162
1
   questions.
             THE COURT: Well, your expert can tell you
2
   and read it for you? Is that all right with you, Mr.
3
4
   Berkowitz?
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah.
5
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yeah, I have no objection to
6
7
   that, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: If he whispers in your ear ask
8
   him this, and you ask him this.
              MR. HIPPLE: No, he's going to -- he has to
10
   tell me what the word is.
11
              THE COURT: Yes, that's fine, but you're
12
    going to ask the question.
13
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, okay.
14
              THE COURT: We're not going to have another
15
16
    expert --
              MR. HIPPLE: All right.
17
              THE COURT: He's not going --
18
              MR. HIPPLE: And I can't --
19
              THE COURT: -- to question the other expert.
20
              MR. HIPPLE: And I can't use one of these
21
    young law clerks back there, right, to read it?
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: No, they're not members of
23
              They can't --
    the Bar.
24
              THE COURT: No.
25
```

```
163
             MR. BERKOWITZ: -- represent you.
1
2
             MR. HIPPLE:
                         No, no?
              THE COURT:
                         No.
3
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
4
              THE COURT: You knew all this. You shouldn't
5
   have fired your lawyer.
6
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, I didn't think I was going
7
   to have this kind of a --
8
              THE COURT: Well, maybe you should have
9
10
   thought of that.
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: Let me ask you both something.
12
   mean you -- I don't want to get involved in settlement,
13
   but did you -- did you -- would you think it would be
14
    worthwhile for you two to talk again?
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: We tried.
16
              THE COURT: You tried?
17
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I asked Mr. Hipple if he's --
18
              MR. HIPPLE: We're not -- we're not even
19
    close.
            Okay.
20
              THE COURT: Did Mr. Berkowitz give you the
21
    number that he -- and I don't want to know the number,
22
    but when he added up what he thinks he's owed on the
23
    two notes -- not he, but his client, Ms. Concepcione,
24
    is owed on the two notes, you know that number, right?
25
```

```
164
             MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, exactly.
1
             THE COURT: Okay. He explained how he came
2
   to that conclusion, right?
3
              MR. HIPPLE: Right.
4
             THE COURT: And did you respond to Mr.
5
   Berkowitz and respond to those numbers?
6
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
7
              THE COURT: You don't have to tell me what.
8
9
   Okay.
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                              he --
10
              THE COURT: You don't think it's worthwhile?
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Well, when we went to Judge
12
   Hay, there was really no point in --
13
              THE COURT: Okay. All right, that's fine.
14
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- further discussion.
15
              THE COURT: I heard enough.
16
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. So he --
17
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
              MR. HIPPLE: -- can just help me with the
19
    wording then, right?
20
              THE COURT: Right. So, in other words,
21
    your -- I'll allow your expert -- is he here?
22
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Your name, sir?
24
                             William Pederson.
              MR. PEDERSON:
25
```

```
165
             MR. HIPPLE: William Pederson.
1
             THE COURT: All right, Mr. Pederson. So Mr.
2
   Pederson can sit with you, I'll allow him to tell you
3
   what questions to --
4
             MR. HIPPLE: Not tell me. He just needs
5
   to -- there are certain words in there that I can't
6
7
   read.
              THE COURT: Right, you need some help. You
8
   don't have a problem, Mr. Berkowitz?
9
                              I don't.
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
10
              THE COURT: No. Okay.
11
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
12
              THE COURT: So you want to call your witness
13
    next, Mr. Berkowitz?
14
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes, Your Honor. I would
15
    like to call Wayne Geisser.
16
              THE COURT: Sure.
17
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And, Your Honor, Mr. Geisser
18
    is going to be using his expert report. And it appears
19
    as Exhibit 41 -- I'm sorry, 31 in plaintiff's, but I
20
    have a tabbed copy that's mine that you could use.
21
              THE COURT: Oh, great. Thank you.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I think it will facilitate --
23
              THE COURT: Good.
24
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- the testimony.
25
```

```
166
             THE COURT: Thank you.
1
             MR. BERKOWITZ: I would just ask that --
2
             THE COURT: Right.
3
             MR. BERKOWITZ: -- if I get it back at the
4
   end --
5
             THE COURT: Okay. Good --
6
             MR. BERKOWITZ: It's my only --
7
             THE COURT: -- afternoon, Mr. Geisser.
8
              MR. GEISSER: Good afternoon.
9
              THE COURT: Mr. Geisser, if you wish to take
10
   your jacket off, you're not going to offend me. It's
11
           I know it's hot in here. So it's totally up to
12
13
    you.
              MR. GEISSER: I wouldn't mind doing that,
14
    Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: You absolutely can.
16
              MR. GEISSER: Okay.
17
              THE COURT: Okay? And that goes also to Mr.
18
    Pederson, if you wish to take your -- if you wish to
19
    take your jacket off, you're permitted to do that.
20
               MR. PETERSON: Okay.
21
                          Okay.
               THE COURT:
22
               MR. GEISSER: It's actually cooled down a lot
23
    in here since this morning.
24
               THE COURT: All right, let's go.
25
```

WAYNE GEISSER, Plaintiff's Witness, Sworn.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: State and spell your name

167

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. BERKOWITZ:

into the microphone for the record.

And I missed that. Did you identify yourself for the record yet?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Not yet. Just -- I'm -- let me just adjust the microphone here. It's kind of falling down. My name is Wayne Geisser, G-E-I-S-S-E-R.

And, Mr. Geisser, would you tell us first your educational background and then your professional experience?

Sure. I'm a graduate of Temple University. I have a B.B.A. in Accounting. I also took graduate courses in Drexel University as well. I began my career as an IRS agent and spent about seven years with the IRS examining federal corporate individual and partnership And then I left the IRS and I joined the tax returns. United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

At the SEC, I started my career as a senior accountant and I was eventually promoted to be a branch chief in the SEC division enforcement. We were basically charged with investigating violations of the federal securities laws. A lot of my responsibility

168

had to do with accounting fraud while I was there.

In 1986, I left the SEC and I joined a firm called Nihill and Riedley, and I've been continuously with that firm since 1986. In 2010, the firm was sold to Smart Divine. So while it's the same corporate entity, it's a different name. So we're currently trading under Smart Divine.

I currently serve as the managing director of that firm, and prior to that, prior to selling my equity interest, I was a partner at Nihill and Riedley.

- And could you tell me what certifications -- and you're a CPA?
- Sure, yes. Just generally speaking, I have a practice in forensic accounting, the way it's termed.
- I have a -- I'm a certified public accounting since
- 1977. I have -- I'm a certified fraud examiner. I 16
- have -- I'm certified in business valuations by the 17 Association of Certified Valuation Analysts.
- I'm also certified by the American Institute 19
- of Certified Public Accountants in two areas, 20
- specifically business valuation and financial 21 forensics.
- And were you called upon to use some of your 23
- forensic accounting skills in the context of this 24
- engagement? 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

A Very much so. This was a situation where we were involved in essentially doing a reconstruction of books and records and try and put pieces together so that they make sense and tell a story, and that's what we do as forensic accountants.

MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay. Your Honor, I would like to offer Mr. Geisser as an expert witness to testify on behalf of the plaintiff.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions on his qualifications?

MR. HIPPLE: No, none.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, I find that Mr. Geisser is an expert in the area he identified here this morning, and I'll allow him to testify and proceed.

## 16 BY MR. BERKOWITZ:

- Q Mr. Geisser, would you tell us the nature of your engagement for the plaintiff in this case?
- A Sure. Mr. Berkowitz, I think it would be easier if I start to walk through my report a little bit so help -- I think help everybody in the courtroom if I do it that way.

But generally speaking, and I'm going to direct everybody's attention to page one of my report.

I was retained essentially to analyze the available

financial records to estimate the distributable cash flow available to the owners and insiders of the subject companies and to prepare a calculation of value for SCIX as of approximately October 13th, 2010, based on the records that were available to me, October 13th, 2010, of course, was the date that the bank accounts were garnished.

- Q Okay. And you're here to tell us the value of the assets that were transferred?
- A I'm here to tell you what the value of the cash flows associated with the Steel Seal business was.
- Q Okay.

A We have -- this is a case where we have an expansive number of entities involved that we're going to walk through, but the one thing that is continuous throughout the entire story is that there's a single product that's being sold, and that's Steel Seal.

And when we look at the records we see that that is continuous from the beginning of the relevant period of time right through the end of the period of time that we looked at. So we're looking at the cash flows, what the product is making for the owners of the business.

Q And is that how you determined what the value of the product, Steel Seal, is?

# Mr. Geisser - Direct

A It's the -- it's the value of the cash flows associated with the product.

Q Okay. And can you tell us what information you used to derive the values that you will tell us about? A Sure. This was a case that we were really working with what I'll call fragmentary records. We had some schedules Cs, which schedule C is in connection with an individual 1040. It's where you report your business income.

We had two or three pages of Quickbook records, and we had bank records that I believe we obtained and -- actually, you obtained through subpoena to the various banks that are involved in this case. So it was a matter of putting together those few accounting records along with the bank records as well.

We had no formal financial statements that we had available to us in terms of a typical financial statement that you would see with an income statement, a balance sheet, cash flow statements. They didn't exist. We didn't have any underlying Quickbooks records to take a look at. And so it was a very -- very much a reconstructive process that we had to go through in order to try and establish what the value of the cash flows associated with the Steel Seal product were.

- Q And did you ever have an occasion to review the American Express records?
  - A I did. They were produced to us, and we reviewed those and analyzed the American Express records.
  - Q And did you ever review financial records, balance sheet, income statement, statement of cash flow, for either SCIX or Steel Seal Pro?
  - A Again, as I mentioned, we didn't have those types of records available to us. In order to do a classic business valuation, you typically have a set of financial statements you're dealing with. Those kind of records were not available to us so we had to do this reconstructive process that I referred to earlier.
  - Q And when you say they weren't available to you, how did you know that they weren't available?
  - A Well, Mr. Berkowitz, you told us that they weren't available, that they had been requested through the various parties and were not produced.
  - Q Now, did the lack of the normal business records, I don't know how else -- would you call the balance sheet, income statement, and statement of cash flow to be normal business records?
  - A Very basically, they're called financial statements.
- 25 Q Okay.

173

That's -- and when you talk about a set of financial statements you're typically talking about those three specific documents, and they make up a set of financial statements.

And could I call those normal business records?

You can. I would call them, more specifically, Α financial statements.

Okay. 8 0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah. Α

And did that affect how you performed your 10 engagement to issue your expert report? 11

It sure did. When you don't have a good set of records or a basic level of records, you have to work with what you have, and that's exactly what I did in this case.

I discussed with you specifically what records were available. I explained the nature of the issues that came up with having what I described as fragmentary records.

I described what we could do, what we couldn't do, and that's how we ended up with the report that we have in front of us today. It was basically I used the fragmentary records in the best way that I thought was available to me.

Now, in analyzing those records, did you act in

accordance with the guidelines of the AICPA?

A Yes, I did.

Q And could you explain basically if you could the AICPA methodology for calculating the value here of what we're asking to determine, the value of the cash flow from Steel Seal?

A Sure. The American Institute of CPAs has a pronouncement called the Statement on Standards of Valuation Number 1, and it's that statement on valuation that kind of sets the standard in terms of how you do these types of reports.

And it provides for two basic approaches to doing a valuation-type calculation. One is a process ends up in an opinion of value. It's a more comprehensive view of trying to value an individual business.

The second is called a calculation of value. A calculation of value is where you look at it from a more limited volume of records and try to produce a meaningful result based on the records that are available to you, and it's typically something that you agree on with the client in terms of what the approach is going to be because there is a limitation to the scope of the records you're dealing with.

Q So would it be fair to say that you were -- were

24

25

175 Mr. Geisser - Direct you able to issue an opinion of value based on the 1 records that you had? 2 I was able to issue an opinion of calculation of 3 value in connection with the records I looked at. 4 And what were you not able to produce according to 5 the AICPA standards? 6 An opinion of value that's broader in scope. 7 8 Okay. So it's an opinion of value versus a calculation of 9 10 value. And you did the calculation of value? 11 12 I did. THE COURT: What's the difference? 13 THE WITNESS: The --14 THE COURT: Could you explain the difference 15 in the terms? 16 THE WITNESS: Sure. Typically, when you do a 17 business valuation you're looking at a free standing 18 business that has a set of financial statements, it 19 sells a certain product or line of products, it has 20 expenses, it has income, it has a certain ownership 21 associated with it, it's got an economic environment 22

And when you do a business valuation you

that it lives in, it has a supply chain, and there's

other risk dynamics associated with it.

Mr. Geisser - Direct

consider all those types of things in that valuation process. And so it's a very extensive process when you consider all those factors.

And you also are obligated under the standards to use basically three approaches to value. One is the income approach, one is a market value approach, and one is an asset-based approach. But being able to do that really is dependent upon having records that allow you to do that.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: If you do not have those records --

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: In this case, we didn't have balance sheets, very basic to the process. So I couldn't even begin to take and analyze a balance sheet because none were produced.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And so there's a fundamental scope limitation on what was available to me in order to be able to do that. And so recognizing that, I advised the client accordingly, specifically, in this case, working with Mr. Berkowitz, and I said that there was an alternative way that we could approach this, and that was to use the available records, qualify the

177

23

24

25

the body in the report.

opinion accordingly, and call it what it was, which was 1 a calculation of value --2 THE COURT: Right. 3 THE WITNESS: -- which is what I felt we 4 could do under the circumstance in order to produce a 5 meaningful result. And I will emphasize that I do feel 6 in this case that I produced a meaningful result that I 7 think is reliable within the scope of what I was able 8 to accomplish. 9 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 10 BY MR. BERKOWITZ: 11 And in providing the calculation of value that you 12 performed, did you apply and follow the AICPA approved 13 methodology and standards? 14 I did, which is basically a disclosure of what 15 we're doing and how we're going about doing it, and 16 just being fully disclosed in terms of the approach 17 that we're using to come out with the calculation of 18 19 value. Would you tell us --20 And that's embodied --21 I'm sorry. 22 Q -- in the report. I'm sorry. That's explained in

Okay. Do you want to further explain that to us or

178

would you like to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

I think that will become more evident as we go through things, but I think that's basically it. And to answer your question directly, yes, we followed the AICPA standards for a calculation of value.

- Okay. And what was your understanding of the nature of the SCIX business?
- Well, the SCIX business was the sale of Steel Seal, and it's a -- it's an interesting product because it has a certain unique quality to it, as I understand it, that's very limited in terms of any other product.

As I understand it, there's basically no other product that does exactly what Steel Seal does, and because of that, it's a very profitable product according to my analysis, and a very valuable product and a very valuable business because of those attributes that make it rather unique and very profitable, and we can go through that.

- And what are certain things that -- things like patents and secret formulas, do those affect the value that you find in a business?
- They sure do. When you're doing --
- MR. HIPPLE: Objection. Or am I not allowed 23 to object yet? 24
  - THE COURT: Yes, you can object. What's the

```
179
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
   basis of your objection?
1
              MR. HIPPLE: Your Honor --
2
              THE COURT: You have to speak up.
3
              MR. HIPPLE: The basis is on his last
4
   question where he made a statement that this is a
5
   unique product and it's like it's the only one out
6
    there. Does he have knowledge that that's the only one
7
   out there? I mean has he been to an auto part stores,
8
    because there's five or ten different ones out there.
9
              THE COURT: All right, I'm going to overrule
10
    the objection. I think he said he's going to talk
11
    about that as he progresses.
12
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
13
              THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Geisser, are
14
    you going to talk about that a little bit later in
15
16
    your --
              THE WITNESS: I am, but I --
17
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
              THE WITNESS: -- can also just address is
19
    directly --
20
              THE COURT: Sure.
21
               THE WITNESS: -- right now.
22
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
23
               THE WITNESS: I mean my understanding is
24
    there's a uniqueness to the product. When you go onto
25
```

25

180 Mr. Geisser - Direct the internet and you look at the Steel Seal website, 1 and it describes itself as a rather unique product. 2 It's specific to accomplish a very specific purpose in 3 terms of correcting an engine block problem. 4 And -- but I think by its own hype, if you 5 will, on the internet, it presents itself as a rather 6 unique solution to a unique type problem. So in my 7 view, it's unique in that sense. 8 THE COURT: You can ask him further about 9 this when it's your chance for cross-examination. 10 MR HIPPLE: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Berkowitz: 12 13 BY MR. BERKOWITZ: Now, does something like a secret formula affect 14 how you view the business and the cash flow that's 15 generated from a product? 16 Sure. Any business that has a particular 17 uniqueness to it has typically a higher value just as a 18 general proposition, so that if -- you know, using the 19 classic example, if you're CocaCola and have the 20 CocaCola formula, it's a very valuable thing to have 21 the CocaCola formula, and that's not necessarily a 22 patented product, but it's a secret formula and it's 23

been a secret formula for many years.

So it's easy to understand that just having

## Mr. Geisser - Direct

that type of secret formula that accomplishes a very specific task has the impact of increasing the value of that company or any company, and it kind of applies across the board in terms of when you look at the valuation of a company.

So, you do look at the intangible assets that are associated with it. Something like, for example, a hot dog stand that has nothing particularly unique associated with it and, therefore, the values would be relatively low.

But, as you deal with more sophisticated companies with more sophisticated products and so forth, the value of those companies would be increased accordingly, you know, depending on the number of patents and technologies they have and so forth.

In this particular company, we're dealing with what I'll describe as somewhat of a unique product that has several patents associated with it.

BY MR. BERKOWITZ:

- Q Could you tell us your view of, I'll call it for lack of a better term, the business model? How would you classify the business model that SCIX conducted?
- A The business model here is really very simple, and that's the certain beauty of having this type of product. There's no physical location that retails

Mr. Geisser - Direct

this product. As I understand it, it's almost completely sold over the internet.

So nowadays, when we have e-commerce like that we do, it doesn't require a physical space to have to be able to distribute the product. They don't manufacture the product because that's done by Colonial Chemical, so that's done on a subcontract basis. So you don't need -- you don't need a factory that has vats and chemicals and things like that. That's all done off-site.

So, what you have is just a point of distribution that receives the orders off the internet, packages the bottles, and ships them around the world presumably, to whoever needs this particular product.

straightforward, and the point of distribution is also very simple. You go on the internet, you put in your credit card number, or you have a -- I guess you can also do it with a remotely-created check I imagine and, therefore, the money comes directly to a bank account which we can see in the bank account records that we have available to us. The product gets shipped and everybody is presumably satisfied with the product.

So, it's a very simple business model. It requires very little capital to keep it going other

183

than just the initial acquisition cost of the product.

- Q And the initial acquisition cost, what are you referring to there?
- A Well, I heard -- I heard yesterday during the

  course of Mr. Hipple's testimony that he paid something

  like \$2 million in order to obtain the formula for the

  steel Seal product. So, I understand that to say that

  there was a value of at least \$2 million on the product
- 10 Q Okay.

1

2

3

- 11 A -- such that it would justify paying \$2 million for 12 that process.
- Q And could you categorize the profitability of this product by profit margin?
- 15 A I can. Can I use my report to do that?
- 16 Q Please do.

about.

20

21

22

23

24

- A Okay. Let me -- let me direct your attention to Exhibit B, if I could.
- 19 | Q I'm sorry, did you say B?

at one point in time --

- A B, as in boy. And if I could just take a minute to explain to the Court exactly how this is laid out. And if I could, I think it would facilitate understanding on several different points that we're going to talk
- 25 Q I would appreciate if you would do that because I

```
184
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
    gave up my copy so it will help me catch up.
1
2
        Okay, it's --
                   I'm --
        Go ahead.
3
        It's Exhibit B and it's an exhibit called "Combined
4
    Vertical Analysis, " and it includes "Brian Hipple,
5
    federal schedule C, which is SCIX and Steel Seal Pro
6
    for the period from 2006 to 2011, B.B.B. Management,
7
    and Complete Group, LLC, 2012 to 2013, Fragmentary."
8
    That's the title of the exhibit.
              And what this does is this lays out the
10
    available records that we had -- we were provided with
11
    to take a look at in connection with trying to
12
    understand what was going on here.
13
              There's two basic braces of information that
14
    are available in this particular schedule. And if you
15
    look at the top, it says "Brian Hipple, Schedule C."
16
    And then over to the right-hand side, it says,
17
    "Ouickbooks Profit and Loss," and under that is
18
    "Complete Group and B.B.B. Management Group, LLC"
19
    combined.
20
        Mr. Hipple, would those be the two exhibits we
21
    looked at just before the break that showed B.B.B.
22
    Management and Complete Group's profitability?
23
        Yes, it is. And if I recall correctly, you
24
    specifically made reference to the numbers that appear
```

- at the bottom of the page, net profit of 350,193,
- 2 55,967, for a total of 406,160.
- 3 Q And that is for how long a period?
- 4 A That is for an eight-month period of time between
- 5 October 2012 and May 2013.
- 6 Q So you could annualize that number if you spread it
- 7 out?
- 8 A Yeah. So we did that in another -- different
- 9 schedule.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Yeah. But if I could just come back to the
- 12 schedule C analysis, this information, again, came from
- 13 | a Form 10 -- a schedule C from a Form 1040. So when
- 14 you have an individual business, that's the way you
- 15 report on a Form 1040.
- And it's more limited in its information
- 17 because when you have to file a 1040 you don't have a
- 18 | balance sheet, so you basically have the revenues and
- 19 you have the expenses associated with the business and
- 20 | you produce a bottom line, which is -- forms the basis
- 21 | for what you pay taxes on basically.
- Let me come back to the original question you
- 23 | asked in terms of the profitability of the company. If
- 24 | you look at the top line which says "Gross Receipts" --
- 25 and I'm just going to read these off so we're all on

the same page, literally.

You can see back in 2006, they reported gross receipts of 466,602; 2007, 412,085; 2008, 524,942; 2009, 786,604; 2010, 868,545; and 2011, 1,249,065. So that you can see that there's a steady progression upward in terms of the volume of sales that's going through this particular business, the Steel Seal sales that are going through this business.

Now, Mr. Berkowitz, you asked me earlier, you know, talk about the profitability of the product.

When we talk about the profitability of the product the typical calculation you go through is the selling value of the product and what it costs to manufacture that product or produce that product.

So in this case, the primary cost of the product becomes the chemical cost when they buy product from Colonial Chemical. I believe that is the next line. I say believe because we don't have the underlying detail, so when we're looking at these documents we're -- I'm trying to make a reasonable inference about what that cost would be. So imagining the cost, it would probably be the cost of the product that's being purchased.

So when we back out the cost of the product from the sales we see the gross profit that derives

187

```
from that. And if we go across the gross profit line,
we can see that back in 2006, it was 416,000, I'm going
to round these off, 349,000, 399,000 in 2008, in 2009,
```

4 574,000, in 2011, 586,000, and -- excuse me, 2010,

5 586,000, 2011, 646,000, and then when we get to what

6 I'll call the combined numbers after 2011, which is the

7 Complete Group and B.B.B. Management and, again it is

only for an eight month period of time, we're at

9 616,000.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

There's also another number that appears next to those gross profit lines and it shows the gross profit margin. And if you look across that same line, you see the numbers 89 percent, 85 percent, 76 percent, 73 percent, 67 percent, and 52 percent, and 53 percent.

So that says to me that it's -- they're selling a product that has a relatively high profit margin associated with it, again, the difference being between the cost of goods sold and what it is being sold for.

- 20 | Q Mr. Geisser --
- 21 A So it's a profitable product.
- 22 Q I'm sorry.
- 23 A It's a highly -- has a high gross margin to the product.
  - Q So if I told you that it costs \$1.50 a bottle for

```
188
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
    SCIX to buy the Steel Seal, the 16-ounce bottle, and it
1
    sold it somewhere between $44.95 and 59.95, that we
2
    went through that calculation with Mr. Hipple, would
3
    that be what you would call a high profit margin?
4
        I would say that's a very high profit margin.
5
        I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
6
        No, the only other thing I would add to that would
7
    be the cost of the packaging associated with it, you
8
    know, because you have to put it in a bottle, which
9
    costs something, or a can, whatever it's packaged in,
10
    and then you have the packaging costs associated with
11
           That's all costs of goods sold to deliver it to
12
    the end customer.
13
14
    Q
        Okay.
              THE COURT: That's included in your numbers
15
    here in costs of goods sold, that packaging?
16
              THE WITNESS: These are the numbers that were
17
18
    produced to us.
              THE COURT: Right.
19
              THE WITNESS: So when you say they're my
20
    numbers, it's the information that was derived --
21
              THE COURT: I didn't mean your numbers.
22
    meant --
23
              THE WITNESS:
                             Yeah.
24
              THE COURT: -- the numbers on your chart.
25
```

```
189
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
1
2
              THE COURT:
                         Yes.
              THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
3
              THE COURT: That includes the costs of goods
4
5
   packaging --
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
6
              THE COURT: -- yes. Okay.
7
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
8
        Did you produce any other types of calculations
9
    with these numbers?
10
        I did. Let me -- let me direct your attention now
11
    to the next exhibit in the report, which is Exhibit C.
12
    And Exhibit C is sort of a transitional document.
13
    upper section of this essentially compresses what was
14
    on the prior exhibit in terms of showing the gross
15
    profits, excuse me, the gross receipts and the gross
16
    profit and the expenses that are associated with the
17
    business. And it shows net profit across the bottom
18
    line. Again, I'm just compressing down the prior
19
    exhibit, essentially.
20
              Now, this document for the first time shows
21
    one other element, which will be key to the valuation
22
    that we did. And if you look at the bottom, it says
23
    "Information from Selected Analysis of Bank Records."
24
```

And when you go across the bottom you see the number,

25

```
190
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
    558,534 in 2010, 608,542 in 2011, and 690,563 in 2012.
1
        And what are you referring to when you say
2
3
    distributions?
        Okay. Now, what we did is -- we're kind of
4
    shifting gears a little bit to look at the valuation
5
    elements that are implicit in the business. One of the
6
    key things when you try to value a business that you're
7
    looking at is how much can the owners take home?
8
    sort of a fundamental process -- analysis of profit.
9
    How much can I take home? Why -- if I have this
10
    business and I operate it, what am I taking out of the
11
    business? And that's considered a distribution to the
12
13
    owners, essentially.
        And where did you get these numbers for 2010, 2011,
14
    and 2012?
15
        These numbers came from our analysis of the banking
16
17
    records.
        And could you tell us, and I don't want to get
18
    ahead of where you are, what did you do in terms of an
19
    analysis of the banking records?
20
        We were presented with records from Wachovia Bank
21
    and from FNB Bank -- I think it's FNB Bank, Newtown, if
22
    I'm not mistaken, and we took those records and we
23
```

analyzed those records in good detail in order to

ascertain what were the distributions that were being

191

taken out of it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Let me apply another term here, if I could, and that's a term that we find in the valuation world. It's called seller's -- it's called discretionary earnings, seller's discretionary earnings.

In other words, what is the profitability that's accruing to the business by the amount of the withdrawals that are being taken out of the company. And, ultimately, that's what we're working toward trying to determine, what is the distributions out of the company?

- 12 O Now, the Wachovia Bank records, those were
- 13 | SCIX's --
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 | Q -- records?
- 16 A Yes, SCIX records.
- 17 Q And then you mentioned the First National Bank of
- 18 | Newtown, FNB?
- 19 A FNB.
- 20 | Q And that would be the Steel Seal Pro records?
- 21 A The SCIX records -- let me just set the stage here
- 22 just a little bit. The SCI records at Wachovia, the
- 23 Wachovia bank account was used up until the time there
- 24 was the garnishment of the account. And it was after
- 25 that time that the business seemed to shift over to the

192

FNB account. So if I could direct your attention to 1 Exhibit D in my report, and I'm going to -- I'm going 2 3 to start by looking at the bottom line first, because these numbers at the bottom line because important in 4

terms of what the valuation is going forward. So, I'm going to start by pointing out if you 6

look at the bottom of -- with 2010, you see the number 558,534, which is the same number we had seen on the In 2011, again, 608,542, and in 2012, prior page. 517,922, but when we analyze that, in other words, take that eight-month period of time and project over another four-month period of time, the number becomes 690,563. So these numbers are very important in terms of our calculation of value.

- And just to connect the two exhibits that we looked at, the 558 and 534, those were the distributions that you showed on the prior exhibit?
- Yes, and these -- the distributions are broken down into greater detail on this specific exhibit here.
- And is this number based on your or your firm's, your view of the actual bank records?
- Yes, absolutely. 22 Α

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- And what did you do? Did you go through check by 23 check? 24
- We used the bank records, we went through the 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Geisser - Direct deposits, we went through the withdrawals, and came up with these numbers. Okay. Tell us again what we're seeing, for example, in 2010 under SCIX. Okay. Let me first -- before I go into that detail, let me just point out the footnotes, which I think help the reader get through this. Under 2010, we have the footnote A which says, "The 2010 information is based on the summary prepared by the client from the Wachovia records account for October 2009 through September 2010. This 12-month period is used as a surrogate for the calendar 2010." In other words, again, we only had for 2010 everything stopped after the garnishment. So we went back to a period of 2009 to pick up a 12-month period of time. For 2011 and '12, the information is based on the detailed analysis of the transactions from the 18 Steel Seal Pro FNB account from December of 2010 through February of 2011.

And then, you know, footnote C also notes that we had to analyze the period of time because we only had nine months of information available. So that's the way we produced it is it was putting together those sets of records that were available to

25

Α

```
Mr. Geisser - Direct
   us, the bank records, and that's what's scheduled out
1
   in this particular -- this is what's summarized in this
2
   Exhibit B that we have before us right now.
3
       Did you subsequently when you reviewed the B.B.B.M.
   Quickbook records and the Complete Group Quickbook
5
   records that we saw earlier today in the exhibit, the
6
   profit you talked about in your prior exhibit where you
7
    annualized it, is it consistent with the distribution
8
    numbers here in terms of profitability?
9
        Well, these numbers tend to be higher because
10
    there's elements here that are not -- they're not quite
11
    the same. For example, when a loan gets paid off, a
12
    loan that gets paid off is not necessarily an expense
13
    of the company because it's capital. You know, so you
14
    have a different -- you have different elements that
15
    appear in the schedule.
16
        Okay. On your I think it was Exhibit B you talked
17
    about the Complete Group profit of 350,000. Do you see
18
    that on the first page? You've got a $406,000 profit
19
    for eight months?
20
21
    Α
        Yes.
        And if you annualize that, what would the annual
22
    profit be from that if you were to project it out?
23
    It's two-thirds of a year, right?
24
```

Yeah, I would have to do the math, but it's

```
195
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
   two-thirds of a year.
1
2
        So --
   Q
        So you divide that number by --
3
   Α
        So it would be about --
4
       -- you know, .66.
5
   A
       -- $600,000?
6
   Q
        It would put the number somewhere around the
7
    $600,000 level.
8
        And is that consistent with the numbers that you're
    seeing from the reproduced records that you made?
10
        Yes, it is consistent.
11
    Α
12
    Q
        Okay.
        Generally, yeah. Generally.
13
        Okay. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you if
14
    you were in the middle of something.
15
16
        That's okay.
17
        Okay.
        So I'm going to go back to Exhibit D and talk about
18
    that some more if I could.
19
        I'm sorry, did you say D?
20
        D, as in dog.
21
22
    Q
        Okay.
        So when we went through the bank records, the
23
    detailed bank records, we identified those elements
24
    that we thought were distributions to the principals
25
```

24

25

```
196
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
   that are involved, the insiders, if you will, the
1
   officers, directors, and that included Brian Hipple and
2
   Clement Hipple and expenses that they were incurring on
3
   their personal account.
4
       And how about Melissa Moreno? Did you see checks
5
6
    for her?
        I did, and that's listed in the schedule here.
7
   you look about halfway down the schedule here, you can
8
    see that Melissa Moreno is also listed. She is the --
9
    you know, the mother -- I don't know how to describe
10
         She was Brian Hipple's --
11
    it.
        Significant --
12
    Q.
        -- common law wife or significant other, whatever
13
    you want to say.
14
        And do you see --
15
    Q
        So she was getting distributions out of --
16
17
        I'm sorry.
        -- the company as well.
18
        Okay. And you see Teresa Hipple in 2010 also
19
    received money?
20
        Right. And why that appears is because that's a
21
    loan, okay? And when you look at a company's expenses,
22
```

the loan is not necessarily an expense. The interest

portion of a distribution would be an expense, but it's

not an -- it's not an operating expense for the company

# Mr. Geisser - Direct

in terms of the way we're doing this particular analysis because you can operate a company that has no loans associated where it's complete equity where you don't -- so it's not an operating expense of the company in that sense.

So that if you have -- if you were fully capitalized and didn't need any loans, you wouldn't have that as a deduction from the company. So in terms of valuing the product and the company, you would typically back that out.

- Q Can you tell us how you determined what were the distributions? If you look under the column "payee," are those what you consider checks or the like to someone as a distribution of free cash flow?
- A Yes, these are the dis -- these are the items that we identified as being distributions to the principals of Steel Seal.
- Q And could you go down the list and tell us what they are and how they were -- why they were classified as distributions?
- A Sure. The first item here is A&C Building and Industrial Maintenance, and I think you've heard Mr. Hipple testify that that's his company and that he was receiving payments from these companies, the Steel Seal companies, to his company, A&C Building and Industrial

Maintenance.

1

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

If it were a royalty, would that make any difference?

- Well, if it was a royalty, it would be an expense of the company that's paying the royalty.
- But is it still a discretionary -- is it free cash flow for the company?
- Well, it's cash flow -- it depends on how you perceive this company, who owns the company. And in this particular case, we've seen a situation that it appears that Mr. Hipple is largely in control of many aspects of this company. So from that standpoint, it was a distribution that he's receiving.

Yes, it's -- he said it's a royalty. records that we looked at did not -- do not identify it as a royalty. And I can refer you back to Exhibit B that we looked at earlier. There's not -- if you look at Exhibit B, which is the schedule C's, you don't see the word, "royalty," anywhere throughout that document.

So the fact that he's describing it now as a royalty is somewhat news to me because I've never seen royalty being used in the accounting records before. So in terms of the way we looked at it, it would be simply checks that were going to Clement Hipple, or actually, more specifically, to A&C Building and

Mr. Geisser - Direct

Industrial Maintenance, which he's identified as largely being checks to himself.

Q And how about the rest of them on the "payee" category?

A Okay, let me take the next one. The next one is

American Express and it's a rather large category. And

it's -- you have to keep in mind when we look at this

category it's very important to understand that this -
this is not an expense of this company because the

credit cards are in the name of Scientific Chemical.

I believe you introduced a number of exhibits this morning into the record which show specifically that if you look at the top of the American Express records, they all say Scientific Chemical. So that's what's being run through this -- these companies, SCIX, Steel Seal Pro. It's a rather strange situation, quite frankly.

Q Did you see the business receipts or records which change your view that any of the American Express payments were, you know, business payments for SCIX?

A No. As we look at the records, the American Express records, there's a heavy preponderance of those expenditures that appear to be personal in nature. It looks like the principals are largely living out of the American Express records for the most part, and that --

Mr. Geisser - Direct 200 that's another reason why they call it a discretionary distribution to the owners.

The next item, if I could go down. Checks to Brian Hipple, I think that speaks for itself. The Buckingham Friends School, my understanding is that is Melissa Moreno's children.

Clement Hipple A and C, these were checks that were drafted to Clement Hipple. Doylestown Hospital, Dr. Bob Myson (ph), Dr. Reisenberg (ph), Elk Mountain, Flemington Infinity, these we identified as being primarily personal in nature. They're not -- they don't make up the bulk of the expense. They're relatively small.

HAB is the Burkheimer Tax Service. It's a -so it's a personal tax payment to the Burkheimer
Service. Again, that's not an expense of the company
per se. Keystone Volvo, Melissa Moreno, we've talked
about earlier, she's getting checks for something. And
let me emphasize with respect to Melissa Moreno and all
these checks, none of these checks are W-2 checks.
These are not W-2 checks. These are just checks for
round amounts, you know, 1,000, 2,000, \$3,000.

- Q When you say W-2, are you referring to a payroll check?
- A A payroll check. In other words, if somebody was

Mr. Geisser - Direct working for a company and like money was due, right, we 1

get a -- we get a payroll check and it's a -- and you get a W-2 at the end of the year. And, typically, it's

201

an odd amount, you know, our paycheck. 4

Q Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These are round amounts that we're talking about now.

(Pause in proceedings.)

Nevis Administrative Services, I don't know exactly what it was, but I understand that Mr. Hipple has some business in Nevis. PA Department of Revenue I believe is a tax payment. PA Department of Transportation is small. It's probably a car payment. Prudential we believe is a life insurance policy.

The Queen Oak (ph) Bank I understand is a mortgage on Brian's home, as I understand it. Sovereign Bank is a loan that was related to Brian and Melissa, so it's a personal item. The payments to Teresa Hipple that we talked about earlier, these are loan payments. These are not expenses that are associated with producing profits for the company. And the United States Treasurer, these are personal tax payments were made out of the accounts, IRS-type payments.

Sir, could you tell us what did you do with these

numbers?

A Okay. So once we identified these discretionary earnings, which is the terminology that's used in the valuation world, I then know that there's databases that I can use where I can take these elements and I can apply other metrics to these elements to come up with a valuation. And if we flip back to Exhibit F, as in Frank this is where we do that application.

Q Do you want to take us through that?

A Sure. This is — this is entitled, "Summary of Valuation Methodologies as of October 13th, 2010." And if you look at the top line there, it says, "Seller's discretionary earnings measured by distributions," and that's the numbers that we just looked at in Exhibit D. So it's exactly the same going across the bottom of the page, 558,000, 608,000, 690,000, and we did a three- year average of those numbers and we come up with 619,000.

Now, I have to explain a little bit about the database. There's a database that's available to the valuation world call BizComps (ph), and this is a standard database that's used by many people in the valuation world. It's typically smaller businesses that are involved in the database. The database gives you information on actual business transactions, in

Mr. Geisser - Direct

other words, actual sales of businesses, and it's categorized by business code.

So, that if we have a particular type of business, whether it's a manufacturing company or a barbershop or internet sales, we can go to a particular business code and we can look for businesses that are similar in nature in terms of their profile, the business profile, and that's exactly what I did in this particular case.

Let me refer you to now -- let me just ask
you to flip to Exhibit G for just a second if I could.
And the process that I'm describing is shown in Exhibit
G, and that's labeled "Comparable Sales Information,
Source BizComps."

So what we're trying to do here is to come up with essentially a fair market value valuation for a substantial equivalent value that's market-based. So when we look at companies that are similar in their coding system, and that coding system is shown under the SIC code.

If you look at the column that's second from the left. It says "SIC." That's Standard Industrial Classification code. Then there's a coding system called the NAICS, which is North American Industrial Classification System.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Mr. Geisser - Direct

204

So we're trying to get businesses that are similar in their profile. And we also take a look at the revenues that are being generated. In this case, I identified four businesses that were right around a million dollars in revenue.

And this has a number of metrics that are useful in doing a business valuation, and one of those metrics is SDE, price to SDE. And if you look sort of on the right-hand side of the page, you're going to see "Price to SDE." And there's a number of numbers that go down that page. And you see the number 292. Now, what that means is that if a business -- let me give you an example. If a business is sold --

THE COURT: Let me stop you. I'm sorry. Where's this 292? This is on G?

THE WITNESS: It's on G, yeah.

THE COURT: Where is that?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, see the column where it says "Price SDE?" It's about just to the right of the middle of the page.

THE COURT: Yes, I see it.

THE WITNESS: Okay. And if you go down that column --

THE COURT: Okay, I have it.

THE WITNESS: Do you see --

```
205
                     Mr. Geisser - Direct
              THE COURT: Thanks.
1
              THE WITNESS: -- the number 292?
2
              THE COURT: It's the average.
3
              THE WITNESS: It's the average.
4
              THE COURT: Okay.
5
              THE WITNESS: So I took an average of the
6
   four transactions that I was --
7
              THE COURT: Right.
8
              THE WITNESS: -- able to identify.
9
              THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
10
              THE WITNESS: So this is a way of equating
11
   the selling price, in other words, the value of the
12
   business, with the seller's discretionary earnings. So
13
    to give you a simple example, if the selling price is
14
    $1,000 and the owner of the business were to take out
15
    $300, it would be, you know, ten -- it would be three
16
    divided by -- three divided by ten, so it's a little
17
    bit -- so it would be a little bit over three.
18
              If I may make it easier, okay? Let me -- if
19
    the selling price is $900, you can take out $300, it
20
    would be a factor of three. So in this case, the
21
    number we come up with using that methodology is 2.92.
22
              So in other words, these businesses,
23
    comparable businesses, are being sold at 2.92 times the
24
    seller's discretionary earnings or the distributions in
```

25

```
206
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
                It's kind of an earnings per share kind of
1
   this case.
   calculation if you can think of it that way.
2
              So in simple terms, if you have, you know,
3
   $100 profits and you're trying to sell the business,
4
   you would be selling it for approximately three times
5
   that number or $300. So that's the same kind of
6
7
   methodology we're using here.
              So we're saying how much did -- how much was
8
    taken out of the company in terms of distributions and
9
   how much would that be worth on the open market? And
10
    the answer that we came up with was 2.92. Again, it
11
   rounds to approximately three times. So it's selling
12
    for an earnings multiple of about three. That's the
13
14
    way you can think of it.
15
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        Then can you tell us how you then applied this
16
    multiplier factor to the discretionary cash flow
17
    generated by the sale of Steel Seal?
18
        Sure, then let me flip back to Exhibit F now at
19
20
    this point.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
21
        Okay.
22
    Α
```

THE COURT: I'm with you.

THE WITNESS: Okay. If you look at Exhibit F, you can see that we take that 2.92, the earnings

```
207
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
1
    multiple, the SDE --
2
              THE COURT:
                         Right.
              THE WITNESS: -- and we apply it to the
3
    distributions for the company. And we do that for each
4
5
    respective year, 2010, '11, '12, and we come up with a
    three-year average, and so that's our valuation
6
    estimate, and so my conclusion, after having reviewed
7
    the composite numbers that we come up with for three
8
    years, I put a value on this company of $1,750,000 for
9
    the cash flows that were being generated from the Steel
10
    Seal product. $1,750,000 is the key number here.
11
12
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
        And are there any other types of adjustments that
13
    you would make? I take it that that's your opinion of
14
    the value of the cash flow generated by the sale of
15
    Steel Seal?
16
                  This -- these are the cash flows of the
17
        Correct.
    underlying business. There's other adjustments that
18
    can be made, and one of those adjustments is to add the
19
    inventory on as a separate item. And, typically, when
20
    you look at these calculations they're adjusted for --
21
    if a business -- when you're buying or selling a
22
    business, you typically take out the excess cash
23
    because there's no point in buying cash.
24
              Same thing with inventory. Inventory -- if
25
```

## 208 Mr. Geisser - Direct there's an excess amount of inventory, that's also 1 added to the value of the business because that becomes 2 an immediately saleable product, so you can immediately 3 sell that and turn it into cash. So, typically, that's 4 5 added on to the value of the cash flows. So in this particular case, it was not until 6 after I issued this report that I became aware that 7 8 there was significant amount of inventory that had been taken by Mr. Hipple when he took the cases of product 9 that we talked about earlier this morning. 10 And were you here when this, my scribble that's up 11 on the board, the calculations of the value of 12 13 inventory? 14 I was here, yes. And do you see there's about \$250,000 of inventory 15 16 depending on how you calculated it? I see the number 246,000 is up on that board, and I 17 believe you added another element which brought it up 18 to about \$300,000 in inventory. 19 That was a question of price between 44.95 and 20

- 20 Q That was a question of price between 44.95 and
- 21 59.95?
- 22 A Well, I think you added on another level of bottles
- 23 onto this calculation if my --
- 24 Q Correct, they were --
- 25 A -- recollection serves.

209

- Q -- eight-ounce bottles?
- 2 A These are the 16-ounce bottles that are up on the
- board, and I think you added on the eight-ounce bottles
- 4 | as well.

- 5 Q Yes, I did.
- 6 A So I think when you came back I think it came to a
- 7 number something just north of \$300,000.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A So to summarize, what we're trying to communicate
- 10 here is that there's a value to the cash flows, that's
- the \$1,750,000. But in addition to the cash flows,
- 12 there's also a value to inventory or any other, you
- 13 know, hard assets that are taken at that point in time
- that are separate and apart from the operating
- 15 | business.
- In this case, it would be my opinion that
- that \$300,000 of additional inventory should be added
- 18 to this \$1,750,000 to come up with the value of the
- 19 business.
- 20 | Q So on --
- 21 A Calculated value of the business.
- 22 | Q In October of 2010, when Clement Hipple foreclosed
- 23 on all of the assets that comprised Steel Seal before
- 24 we lose the patents and the business, what is your
- 25 opinion of the value of those assets at the time he

210

took them?

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

distributions.

A My opinion is that the value of those assets would be the \$1,750,000, which appears on Exhibit F, plus the

4 value of the inventory that was taken that was an

5 immediately saleable product, so approximately, in

anything you saw in reviewing the bank records?

6 round number, about \$2 million.

Q Now, did you notice anything in the records as of the time of the garnishment? The records go from Wachovia Bank to First National Bank when the business transitions from SCIX to Steel Seal Pro. Is there

A Well, what was remarkable about that was that -more the continuation of the business more than
anything else because when the Wachovia record -- when
the Wachovia bank account ceased operation, then the
FNB bank account opened up operations almost just
shortly thereafter beginning, and there was just -- it
looked almost like the same account going from Wachovia
over to the FNB account in terms of the cash flows that
were coming into the operation, types of expenses and

- Q Could you say that the only difference was the bank account into which the money flowed?
- A That's what it appeared to be from my -- yes. The answer is yes.

```
FORM 2094 ( PENSAD - 1 200-634-5789 - www.pérgad.com
```

```
Mr. Geisser - Direct
                                                           211
        So when this transition took place, when it was
1
    Q
2
    Wachovia Bank before the garnishment, the cash flowed
3
    into Wachovia Bank?
4
        Yes.
5
        And then after the garnishment, the cash just
    flowed into another bank?
6
7
    Α
        Correct.
8
        And that was the First National Bank?
9
        That's correct.
10
               (Pause in proceedings.)
11
        And did you look to see if there was any change
12
    after Brian Hipple passed away in terms of the
    transition of the business then? Do your records go
13
14
    that far?
        They do. Brian Hipple passed away in September of
15
    2012, and -- just give me one second. I just want
16
17
    to --
18
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Mr. Berkowitz, let me direct your attention to
19
    Exhibit E-3.
20
21
    Q
        Okay.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
22
        Still looking. I don't have tabs.
23
               (Pause in proceedings.)
24
        E-3 is labeled "FNB Business First Trust
25
```

## 212 Mr. Geisser - Direct 1 Account" --2 Got it. -- "2012 Transactions." 3 4 Okay, got that. So when we looked at this account we saw 5 Okay. Α that there were the normal Steel Seal transactions, 6 certainly up through the time of his death. And then 7 there was a period of time after September of 2012 8 where we saw the credits continue to flow into the 9 I think Mr. Hipple talked about that earlier 10 11 today. And we got to -- our records, our bank 12 records, only went up through the end of December 2012. 13 And at the end of 2012, according to the records that 14 we have, we see that there was about \$197,725 in the 15 account as of December 31st, 2012, and I'm picking that 16 number up just so we are on the same page, literally. 17 If you look at the bottom of Exhibit 3, E-3, 18 you can see that number, 197,725. So that was cash 19 that was sitting in the bank at the end of December 20 2012, and I believe it's the money was eventually taken 21 by I want to say B.B.B. Management. I'm not quite 22

24 Q Of Complete Group?

sure.

23

25 A The Complete Group --

```
213
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
        You're saying this is the garnishment of the
1
    Q
2
    Complete Group --
3
        I believe that that's --
        -- on Steel Seal Pro?
4
        I can say that that was the money that was left in
5
6
    the account at that time, and I believe that was what
7
    was eventually taken.
8
        By Complete Group?
    Q
        By Complete Group.
9
        Okay. Would that affect your valuation at all?
10
        Not directly because the valuation that we're
11
    looking at is really back as of October of 2010, you
12
    know, the point of valuation.
13
              But, it gives an indication of the overall
14
    value that we're dealing with here in the sense that
15
    we're dealing with a profitable company that's got
16
    money and cash in the bank at a given point in time.
17
              So it's more significant from the standpoint
18
    of just showing there's a significant amount of money
19
    at that point -- that very point in time. It's not
20
    directly related to the valuation per se.
21
        Thank you. Mr. Geisser, did you ever have an
22
    opportunity to review an expert report prepared on
23
    behalf of Mr. Hipple, the defendant?
24
25
        I did.
```

### Mr. Geisser - Direct

Q And could you tell me what did you find in that report?

A Well, that report takes a lot of -- a lot of the focus of that report has to do with my failure to use an opinion of value approach. And my direct answer to that is that it's just -- it wasn't possible to do an opinion of value in this case. We simply did not have the records that would make that doable. So it was not an option that was available to me, and I did the best that I could with the available records.

- Q And that's why you did the calculation of value?
- A And that's why I did the calculation of value. If I did an opinion -- if I tried to do an opinion of value, it would have so many caveats all over the place and disclosures about what information was not available, it would become meaningless in terms of what I was trying to convey.

So, I felt that I was being more -- producing a more effective product, if you will, and a more realistic product using this approach, the calculation of value, and just saying these are the records that were available, and if you apply these methodologies, this is what you come up with in terms of the valuation for the stream of income associated with the Steel Seal businesses.

- 1 Q And if I could just summarize your opinion, you
- 2 | were of the conclusion that it was worth somewhere just
- 3 in excess of \$2 million in October of 2010?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And that was a calculation of value?
- 6 A That's a calculation of value.
- 7 Q And that's done in accordance with the standards of
- 8 the AICPA?
- 9 A It's done in accordance with the AIC standards for
- 10 statements on valuation standards number one.
- 11 | Q Now, could the defendants have done a calculation
- 12 of value or an opinion of value to provide a number
- different than yours?
- 14 A Sure, absolutely. They had access to certainly the
- 15 same records that I had. They could have asked the
- defendant for additional records. They could have
- 17 interviewed the defendant. They could have -- they had
- 18 access to much more information than I had available to
- 19 myself.
- 20 | Q Do you have any view as to why they didn't do a
- 21 number to compete with your number?
- 22 A In my opinion, the reason why they didn't, it would
- 23 be a number that would be a big number and it would not
- 24 | work to their client's benefit, basically. That's my
- 25 opinion.

```
216
                      Mr. Geisser - Direct
1
        Thank you, Mr. Geisser. I have no further
2
    questions.
              THE COURT: Let's take a break. I'll give
3
4
    you a ten minute break before you begin
5
    cross-examination, okay. Thanks.
              (Recess, 2:41 p.m. to 2:49 p.m.)
6
              THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks.
7
                                                       You
8
    may proceed, Mr. Hipple.
              MR. HIPPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm just
9
10
    looking for one report, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right.
12
              (Pause in proceedings.)
              MR. HIPPLE: (inaudiblkee) waste of time,
13
    Your Honor, I'll give you the copy of what I have
    outside of the books. This is a copy of his report.
15
16
              THE COURT: Oh, okay.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Mr. Hipple's?
17
18
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, mine.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, Mr. Geisser's?
19
20
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: The Judge has --
21
                          I have a copy.
22
              THE COURT:
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- Mr. Geisser's report.
23
              MR. HIPPLE: Oh, you already have one?
24
              THE COURT: Thank you very much, yes.
25
```

217 Mr. Geisser - Direct MR. HIPPLE: Okay. We're ready to go then. 1 2 (Pause in proceedings.) 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. HIPPLE: 5 Hello, Mr. Geisser. Good afternoon, Mr. Hipple. 6 Bill Pederson will be helping me and assisting me 7 8 in the language, okay? Could you speak up a little bit? I just want to 9 10 make sure I hear you. How about now? Can you hear it if I talk into the 11 12 mike? Thank you very much. Thank you. 13 Okay. He'll be helping me with the language and 14 the spelling, okay, as far as the cross-examination is 15 16 concerned. Okay. The first question is the opinion you provide 17 in your report is in conclusion in my opinion based on 18 the records available and the statements assumptions 19 reason equity equivalent value for the transaction of 20 SCIX assets as of October 13th, 2010, would have been 21 1,750,000, is that correct? 22 If I understand your question, my opinion is the 23 reasonable equivalent value would be \$1,750,000. 24 All right. All right. Directing your attention to 25

```
Mr. Geisser - Cross
                                                          218
    page four of your CV --
1
2
        You said my CV? Did I hear that correctly?
3
        Yeah, CV.
    Q
              THE COURT: Is that attached to your report?
4
5
              MR. HIPPLE: That's your --
              THE WITNESS: There's an old CV --
6
7
              MR. HIPPLE: -- report.
              THE WITNESS: -- attached to my report, yes.
8
              THE COURT: Oh, that's at the end. Okay.
9
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
10
        Under Exhibit 1?
11
       Exhibit I.
12
    Α
13
    Q Exhibit I.
              THE COURT: On page four? What page did you
14
15
    say, Mr. Hipple?
              MR. HIPPLE: It's actually the last page,
16
    Your Honor.
17
18
              THE COURT: Next to the last page?
              MR. HIPPLE: The last.
19
              THE COURT: The last page.
20
              MR. HIPPLE: Page four, the last page of it.
21
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
22
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
23
        Those are the cases in which you have given
24
    testimony as an expert witness, correct?
25
```

219

- A Those are the cases where I've given expert
- 2 testimony since 2008 up through the date of this
- 3 report.

1

- 4 Q Most of the testimony you have been has been by
- 5 deposition, right?
- 6 A I think you would have to take it one at a time.
- 7 There's deposition testimony. There's also trial
- 8 testimony there as well.
- 9 Q I mean prior to trial testimony.
- 10 A I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.
- 11 | Q Prior to the trial testimony. Most of your
- 12 testimony has been by deposition, correct?
- 13 A Mr. Hipple, I've testified 60 or 70 times in
- 14 different cases.
- 15 Q No, I mean this case. I'm speaking of this case.
- 16 A In this case, I was deposed. In this case, yes.
- 17 Q Is it true that in none of these cases you provided
- 18 an expert opinion as to whether a transfer was made in
- exchange for reasonably equivalent value, right? Let
- 20 me go -- let me go to your deposition, 132-20.
- 21 A You're directing me to page 132? Is that what I
- 22 heard?
- 23 Q Yeah, 132-20. Page 132.
- 24 | (Pause in proceedings.)
- 25 A I have it.

```
Mr. Geisser - Cross
                                                           220
        I don't.
1
    Q
2
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Question, "What other time before this engagement
3
    -- what other time before this engagement have you ever
4
    been asked to provide an expert opinion as to whether
5
    the transfer was in exchange for a reasonable equity
6
    value?" Your answer?
7
               (Pause in proceedings.)
8
        Could you read your answer, please?
9
        I'm sorry, I was looking at the wrong page.
10
        Okay. I'm sorry.
11
        You're on page 132, line?
12
    Α
        Okay, 132. It starts on line 20, okay?
13
14
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        I see it. My answer on page 133 at line two, "It
15
    Α
    doesn't come to mind right now for me. I have done
16
    some bankruptcy work. I'm trying to think back over
17
    those issues, and I just don't have that particular
18
    narrow focus in terms of what I'm thinking about right
19
    now."
20
        So, basically, that's a no, right?
21
        It meant I didn't recall that -- it was a very
22
    narrow question that was asked, and I did not recall
23
    something that was responsive to that narrow question.
24
        Do you have an answer now?
25
```

```
221
                       Mr. Geisser - Cross
        My answer would not change.
1
    Α
2
    0
        So, basically --
3
        It's the same answer.
    Α
        Okay. Also, 133, line 22?
4
5
        Page 133, line 22 --
        Line 22. Let me see.
6
    0
               (Pause in proceedings.)
7
               It's the one that starts with line 16.
8
    "Fair enough. Do you recall ever providing an opinion
.9
    in the court on the issue of whether there was an
10
    exchange of reasonable equity value?" Your answer?
11
        "No, I don't recall that."
12
    Α
        Now, you agree that notwithstanding, your opinion
13
    on page four of your report, you do not actually define
14
    reasonably equity value -- reasonably equivalent value
15
16
    anywhere in your report, do you?
        No, I do not. I use that terminology, which was
17
    the same terminology that was used I believe in the
18
    complaint in various pleadings that I read.
19
    was -- I was responding to the valuation in that
20
    context.
21
        In fact -- and, in fact, the definition of that
22
    term is something beyond your expert, right --
23
    expertise, right?
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

A Mr. Hipple, that is a statutory term. I discussed that with Mr. Berkowitz, who is the lawyer in this in this case. I explained -- we discussed the nature of what was required. I explained what I could do and I explained what the end product was going to be in terms of the opinion that I was going to render that was going to be in the nature of a fair market value type opinion which would equate the value of what was taken in October of 2013. And he indicated to me that that would meet the reasonably equivalent standard that was delineated in the Pennsylvania statutes or the appropriate statutes. A So that's as far as I went with it.

I understand that it's something that's open to some definition and some interpretation, and again, I explained what I was doing and how I was doing it, and I understood that this was the appropriate way to go about it.

Q Okay. But is the answer yes or no? Is expertise above that?

THE COURT: What's the question? I'm sorry?

MR. HIPPLE: Okay.

BY MR. HIPPLE:

Q And, in fact, the definition of that term is something beyond your expertise, correct? It is beyond

your expertise?

A It's a legal term. I was relying on Mr. Berkowitz to -- when I gave him -- explained to him what I could do and how I could do it and what the end product was from a valuation standpoint.

He indicated to me that it was responsive to the statute the way it was written. I didn't feel like I needed to undertake a legal analysis of that, which is beyond the scope of what -- I'm not a lawyer.

Q So, basically, what you're saying is Mr. Berkowitz told you what to do?

A That's certainly not what I'm explaining. I'm explaining to you that we're dealing with a legal term, a statutory term. There's case law that stands behind statutory terms. I explained from a valuation standpoint what I could do, the procedures that I would apply, and the end result that I would come up with.

My understanding was that that was appropriate for reasonably equivalent value. It was a fair market value type valuation because we are using a market approach. When we use comparables like I used, it's essentially saying there were transactions in the marketplace that occurred.

And if we apply that same standard to those market-based transactions, to the transactions that are

```
Mr. Geisser - Cross
                                                           224
    involved in this particular case, the Steel Seal case,
1
2
    that you -- the equivalent is essentially a fair market
    value standard because I'm using a market approach to
3
    valuation. My understanding is that that's what was
4
    appropriate to be responsive to the statutory language.
5
               (Pause in proceedings.)
6
7
        Could you give me the definition of "reasonable
    equivalent" -- "fair market value?"
8
        "Fair market value" has many definitions.
9
                                                     The most
    classically defined standard of "fair market value" is
10
    in revenue ruling 5960, which speaks to a willing buyer
11
    and willing seller not under a compulsion to buy or
12
13
    sell.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
14
        All right. In your deposition, page 150, number
15
16
    ten.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
17
18
        Page 150, line ten, I have it.
    Α
               (Pause in proceedings.)
19
        It starts off in the middle of the question.
20
    А
    Q
        Okay.
21
              MR. HIPPLE: Would it help if he read the --
22
    would it be more helpful because of my reading? No?
23
              THE COURT: No, you have to do it.
24
              MR. HIPPLE:
                            Okay.
25
```

# 225 Mr. Geisser - Cross BY MR. HIPPLE: 1 All right. "Was that an assumption that you were 2 asked to make, that whatever number you came up with 3 was going to be reasonably equivalent value to the 4 transaction that was made?" Mr. Berkowitz objected. 5 MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, there was an 6 objection made as to the form of the question at the 7 deposition. 8 THE COURT: What's the -- what's the problem 9 with the form? It's leading? 10 MR. BERKOWITZ: I don't even remember at this 11 12 point. THE COURT: All right, I'll overrule the 13 objection. I'll permit it. 14 MR, BERKOWITZ: Okay. 15 THE COURT: You can answer it. Do you want 16 it repeated, Mr. Geisser? 17 THE WITNESS: Let me go back because --18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 THE WITNESS: -- he's taking it out --20 THE COURT: It's the deposition. 21 THE WITNESS: -- Well, it's out of context 22 too, so I --23 THE COURT: All right, go ahead. 24

THE WITNESS: -- need to pick up the context

```
226
                       Mr. Geisser - Cross
1
    here.
2
              (Pause in proceedings.)
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
3
        151, eight.
4
              (Pause in proceedings.)
5
        Mr. Hipple, let me direct your attention to my
6
             Let me back up and state the question in its
7
    answer.
    totality so we avoid a little bit of confusion.
8
              "Ouestion: I don't want to know any
9
    conversation between you and Mr. Berkowitz. Was that
10
    an assumption that you were asked to make that whatever
11
    number you came up with was going to be reasonably
12
    equivalent to the transfer that was made?"
13
              "Mr. Berkowitz, "Objection to the form."
14
              I responded, "I'll repeat what I already
15
           I was asked to come up with a value for the
16
    income stream. The context for that assignment was to
17
    establish reasonably equivalent value as a legal
18
    concept.
              I'm not a lawyer, so my reliance on whether
19
    to fit the bill to accomplish the goal was something
20
    that Mr. Berkowitz, as counsel, had to decide."
21
        So, again, Mr. Berkowitz basically told you what he
22
    wanted?
23
        No, he simply explained to me the concept.
24
    what we do as forensic accountants, there's oftentimes
25
```

Mr. Geisser - Cross

that we come across issues that cross into the type of work that we do. It's not an uncommon thing that we would go to counsel and say explain to me the standard that we need to use here. Explain to me the underlying law that we need to apply.

And that's all that happened here is that this is a term, statutory term, it's a statutory term that has a specific meaning presumably under the statutory law and under case law.

Mr. Berkowitz is in a much better position as a lawyer to be able to explain to me what was needed under the circumstances. I explained to him the process that I was going to use and there was going to be a -- I was going to come up with essentially a fair market value appraisal of the SCI business as of October 2013.

He said that that -- he indicated to me that that was appropriate to meet the standard under the statute. And that's -- so it was no an assumption. It was based on an explanation of the law that was given to me.

If you have a different understanding of the law, then, you know, we can talk about that. But my understanding was that what I did was appropriate to apply a fair market value standard of value to the

```
228
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   assets and income stream as of October 31st -- as of
1
   October 2013.
2
              THE COURT: You meant '10?
3
              THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
4
              THE COURT: You meant '10?
5
              THE WITNESS: '10. I meant '10.
6
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
7
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thanks.
8
              THE COURT: Right.
9
10
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
        All right. And do you not -- okay. Do you not
11
    even know whether the concept of reasonably equivalent
12
    value ever takes fair market value into consideration?
13
    Your deposition, 151-15.
14
              Question, "Do you know whether the concept of
15
    reasonable equivalent value takes into consideration
16
    fair market value?" Mr. Berkowitz objects, and your
17
    answer?
18
                                I'm sorry.
        What's your question?
19
        The question is on page 151 -- 151-10.
20
              THE COURT: Do you want him to read his
21
    answer to the question in the deposition?
22
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah. It was 151-15 was the --
23
              THE COURT: Why don't you -- Mr. Geisser, why
24
    don't you read the question and give him your answer?
25
```

```
Mr. Geisser - Cross
```

THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: And if you want to explain, you can further if you want.

THE WITNESS: I understand. Question, "Do you know whether the concept of reasonably equivalent value takes into consideration fair market value?

"Mr. Berkowitz, Objection to the form of the question to the extent that you are asking a legal conclusion."

By Ms. Bowman, "Question: "Do you know one way or the other?" Answer, "I would say I'm not sure."

"Okay. I don't" -- answer, "I don't want to answer that."

"Question: Can I direct your attention to the first page of your report under the introduction and the background section in the third paragraph, and I'm looking for the second sentence which states, 'The complaint also alleges that Brian Hipple permitted Clement Hipple to repossess SCIX assets based on the debt purportedly owed to Clement Hipple, and the Complete Group is now in possession of those assets, which were made without the exchange of reasonable equivalent value,' and the phrase, 'were made without the' -- the phrase 'remained without the exchange of reasonably equivalent value,'" ends the sentence.

230

25

### "That section in the quotations, is there a 1 reason why it's in quotes?" Answer, "I was quoting 2 directly from the complaint." 3 (Pause in proceedings.) 4 And you would agree that the fair market value of 5 any particular asset would be what a willing buyer and 6 a willing seller would agree is the price, correct? 7 And that's your deposition, 224-20. 8 Deposition page 224? Is that what I heard you say? 9 Yeah, 224, 20. 10 Q I guess I should just go to the MR. HIPPLE: 11 deposition first, Your Honor, right? That would be 12 better? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. HIPPLE: Oh, okay. Sorry, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: No problem. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm looking at page 224. 17 you just point me to a line, please? 18 20. MR. HIPPLE: 19 THE COURT: You want him to read the question 20 and his answer? Okay, why don't you do that, Mr. 21 Geisser, please? Read your -- read the question and 22 your answer. 23 Sure. Page 224, line 20, THE WITNESS: 24

"Question: "Okay. Can those -- if just assets are

```
231
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
    transferred, are there ways to determine the fair
1
   market value of just those assets?
2
                        Sure."
              "Answer:
3
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
4
        Keep going, 225-17, keep going.
5
        "Question: "Okay. And would one consideration be
6
    Α
    the demand for those particular assets in the open
7
    market?
8
              "Answer: Sure could be.
9
              "Question: And what a willing buyer -- what
10
    somebody would be willing to pay and what somebody
11
    would be willing to sell for those particular assets,
12
    would there be another way to put a fair market value
13
    on those -- on the assets?
14
              "Answer: The definition of 'fair market
15
    value.'
16
              "Question: Would that be the fair market
17
    value of the assets?" Excuse me.
18
              "Question: Would that be the fair market
19
    value of those assets, correct?
20
                        Willing buyer, willing seller,
              "Answer:
21
    neither being under compulsion to buy or sell."
22
        Okay, that's good. Okay, this is a tough one.
                                                          All
23
    right.
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

### Mr. Geisser - Cross

MR. HIPPLE: Objection to testimony. Ask that opinion be struck. Cannot give an opinion to reasonable degree of professional certification -- certain failure to provide such opinion in report.

Nowhere in the report does say that any opinion offered is given to reasonable degree of professional

THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz, do you want to respond to that objection?

certainty, nowhere in the report.

MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I think Mr. Geisser has testified with professional certainty as to the value of the assets that were transferred. I don't recall whether those particular magic words were used, but I will on cross-examination or redirect, see if those words need -- want to be in the record, I put them in the record.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'll defer ruling on that objection until after the conclusion of Mr. Geisser's testimony. You may proceed. Go ahead, Mr. Hipple. Any other questions? We're going to rule on that later.

MR. HIPPLE: Okay. Cannot extrapolate that to a reasonable degree of professional certainty. Use the term REV, reasonable equivalent value, and option -- opinion and admits does not know what the term means

```
FDRM 2094 . PENGAD • 1-820-831 6989 • www.pcngab.ccm
```

```
233
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   and admits that the documents that relies on our
1
   fragmentary. Not only does not certain, but
2
   inclusive -- includes a CYA in the report. Okay?
3
              THE COURT: Do you understand that?
4
             MR. HIPPLE: Objection to any assumptions.
5
              THE COURT: Mr. Pederson --
6
              MR. PEDERSON:
                             Yes?
7
              THE COURT: -- I'll permit you to read that.
8
   Why don't you start --
9
              MR. PEDERSON: Do you want me to back up?
10
              THE COURT: Start from the beginning, yes,
11
   please.
12
              MR. PEDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Further,
13
   cannot extrapolate that to a reasonable degree of
14
    professional certainty that --
15
              THE COURT: Yes, speak up, Mr. Pederson.
16
              THE WITNESS: Speak up and slow down
17
    because --
18
              THE COURT: Yes.
19
              THE WITNESS: -- I can't understand you.
20
              (Pause in proceedings.)
21
              THE COURT: Go ahead. Take your time.
22
              MR. PEDERSON: Certain cannot extrapolate
23
    that to a reasonable degree of professional certainty,
24
    use of the term, REV, an opinion, and admits does not
25
```

```
234
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   know what that term means and admits that documents
1
   that relies on are fragmentary.
2
             THE COURT: I think there's a compound
3
   question there. Why don't you do one at a time?
4
              MR. PEDERSON: Okay.
5
              THE COURT: There's multiple thoughts or
6
   questions there.
7
              MR. PEDERSON: There are two.
8
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Was that an objection?
9
              THE COURT: Well, let -- I made my own
10
   objection.
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Okay.
12
              MR. PEDERSON: Okay.
13
              THE COURT: I'm just telling him that it
14
    should be -- I want to --
15
              MR. PEDERSON: There are two parts of this,
16
    Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: There's many parts to that, so
18
    let's do one at a time and then if you want to object,
19
    you can.
20
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I wasn't objecting.
21
              THE COURT: No.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I thought that was an
23
    objection.
24
              THE COURT: Yes, I'm not sure if it's a
25
```

```
235
                     Mr. Geisser - Cross
   question or an objection. Is it a question?
1
             MR. PEDERSON: It follows the objection, Your
2
3
   Honor.
             THE COURT: All right. Then why don't you
4
   state the objection then again?
5
             MR. PETERSON: Okay. "Objection to
6
   testimony. Ask that opinion be struck. Cannot give an
7
   opinion to a reasonable degree of professional
8
   certainty. Failed to provide such opinion in report.
9
   Nowhere in report does it say that any opinion is
10
   offered given to a reasonable degree of professional
11
    certainty. Further" --
12
              THE COURT: All right, we already covered
13
           We're going to defer on that until after his
14
    that.
    testimony. Go ahead.
15
              MR. PETERSON: "Further, cannot extrapolate
16
    that to a reasonable degree of professional certainty
17
    use of the term REV, reasonably equivalent value, an
18
    opinion and admits does not know what that term means."
19
    I'll stop there.
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz?
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I could
22
23
    respond to --
               THE COURT: Sure.
24
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- the objection, we'll deal
```

```
236
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   with the reasonable uncertainty --
1
              THE COURT: Right.
2
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- issue. But with respect
3
   to the term, reasonably equivalent value, I would
4
   represent to the Court that that is a statutory term
5
   and that will be the Court's job to determine whether
6
   the transfer of the SCIX assets from SCIX to Clement
7
   Hipple in exchange for a $210,000 note was reasonably
8
    equivalent value. That is, I believe, a legal
    conclusion for the Court.
10
              THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the
11
12
    objection.
              MR. PEDERSON: All right, the second part of
13
    that --
14
              THE COURT: Is this an objection or a
15
    question?
16
              MR. PEDERSON: It's part of the objection.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
18
              MR. PEDERSON: "And further, that the expert
19
    admits that the documents that it relies on are
20
    fragmentary."
21
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Could I respond, Your Honor?
23
                          Right.
              THE COURT:
24
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I believe the testimony of
25
```

Mr. Geisser - Cross

the witness was that the records produced by the defendants was fragmentary, but that he relied on more than just those records. He had relied on a substantial quantity of bank records from Wachovia Bank and First National Bank --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BERKOWITZ: -- and he applied his forensic accounting skills to rebuild the financial records that weren't produced.

objection. I mean the standard is the defendant can object that the -- excuse me, the plaintiff can object that the -- the defendant can object that the plaintiff's expert only relied on fragmentary records, and that's really because the defendant produced fragmentary records. In that situation, an expert never could give an opinion.

So he did the best he could based on all the records that were provided by the defendant. So I'm going to overrule the objection. If anything, that goes to the weight of his testimony.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. HIPPLE: Your Honor, I'm going to introduce something now that Mr. Berkowitz received, okay, and in plenty of time?

```
238
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
             THE COURT: Why don't you show it to Mr.
1
   Berkowitz?
2
              (Pause in proceedings.)
3
                              I do remember this letter.
             MR. BERKOWITZ:
4
             MR. HIPPLE: Should I give a copy to Your
5
   Honor?
6
             MR. BERKOWITZ: Oh, if you would like to see
7
   it, Your Honor.
8
              THE COURT: Yes. Let's mark it as Exhibit D
9
   what? What do you want to mark it? Do you have a
10
   number you want to put on this, Mr. Hipple?
11
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, let's start -- we'll start
12
    at the 500s. He's at the 200s.
13
              THE COURT: All right.
14
              MR. HIPPLE: D-500.
15
              THE COURT: D-500, all right.
16
              MR. HIPPLE: That's my last copy of that.
17
              (Pause in proceedings.)
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I do recall
19
    receiving this letter. On May 15th, 2014, a number of
20
    Quickbooks records were produced well after the
21
    discovery cut off and almost a year after Mr. Geisser's
22
             They were never presented as a potential
23
    exhibit in the case and I would --
24
              THE COURT: This was well after the discovery
25
```

deadline was passed?

В

MR. BERKOWITZ: Oh, a year passed that time Mr. Geisser produced his report.

THE COURT: Right. Okay.

MR. BERKOWITZ: And they were not put in the exhibit binders, and I would have objected to them had they been offered at that point, and I would object to any use of them.

THE COURT: Mr. Hipple, this is a letter from Ms. Bowman, your former attorney. Mr. Berkowitz has represented that these documents were turned over to him on May 15th, 2014, well passed the discovery deadline and well passed the submission of expert reports.

Mr. Berkowitz said it's over a year between the time that the expert reports were filed with the Court or at least -- not filed, but at least served on the opposing side and the time that these documents were produced. Is that right, do you know?

MR. HIPPLE: Yes, that is correct. I believe that is correct, Your Honor, okay, because the -- yes, that is correct.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we have deadlines and discovery deadlines and deadlines for expert reports and production of documents. I find

```
240
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   that these documents were produced well beyond the
1
   deadline and I'm going to preclude the use of those
2
3
   documents?
             MR. HIPPLE: Even though his documentation
4
   was fragile?
5
              THE COURT: Well, he --
6
              MR. HIPPLE: Fragmented.
7
              THE COURT: I understand, based on his
8
   testimony that he relied on all the documents that the
9
   defendants produced prior to the deadline. And that
10
   was proper for him to do that and he did the best he
11
    could based on the documents produced by the defendant.
12
              The fact that a year later, after the expert
13
    reports were already submitted, further documents came
14
    to light, it's beyond the rules established by the
15
    judge in this case, Judge DuBois, and it's not in
16
    compliance with his order, scheduling order, or with
17
    the Rules of Civil Procedure, and we can't operate in
18
    that way, so I'm going to preclude that.
19
               (Pause in proceedings.)
20
              MR. PEDERSON: Am I reading, Your Honor?
21
                           Sure.
               THE COURT:
22
               MR. PEDERSON: All right.
23
               THE COURT: Read loudly, please. Is this an
24
```

objection or is this a question?

```
FORM 2034 (C) FENGAD • 1-803-631 6359 • vara.pengad.ccm
```

```
241
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
             MR. PEDERSON: No, we're moving on.
1
             THE COURT: Okay
2
              (The questions are being read by Mr.
3
   Pederson.)
4
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
5
       I'm showing you your report. This is the report
6
   your prepared in connection with this litigation? You
7
   already have the report. Okay. And it's dated June
8
   25, 2013? Sorry, that was a question.
       Yes.
10
       It's more than two years ago, correct?
11
   Q
   Α
      Correct.
12
        Since June 25, 2013, you have not issued any
13
    amended report, is that correct?
14
        Correct.
    Α
15
        Do you recall being deposed in March of 2014?
16
        Correct.
    Α
17
        Even after you were deposed, you did not issue any
18
    amended reports, is that correct?
19
        Correct.
    Α
20
        I'm showing you a document marked as Exhibit D-38.
21
    Is this the fee engagement letter between you and Mr.
22
    Berkowitz? It's in that black book, the binder up
23
    there.
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

```
242
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
             THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz, do you know what
1
2
   number it is?
             MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, it's D-38?
3
              MR. PETERSON: Correct.
4
              THE COURT: Maybe we can speed it up here.
5
6
   Okay.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I would like --
7
   first I would like to see it.
8
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, it's in the binder.
9
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Oh. I'll object to the
10
    introduction and use of the document. It is a fee
11
   agreement between myself and Mr. Geisser's firm. It is
12
13
    what it says it is.
              THE COURT: Why would that -- why would this
14
    not be admissible? It goes to --
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I don't think it goes to
16
    relevance and I don't think --
17
              THE COURT: Well --
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: He was engaged and I'm just
19
    not sure how it's pertinent to the inquiry.
20
              THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You
21
    may proceed or question him on this document or if you
22
    want to introduce it into evidence, you're permitted to
23
    attempt to do so. Go ahead.
24
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
25
```

```
243
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
       Do you have the document in front of you now, Mr.
1
   Geisser?
2
       I do.
3
       All right. Showing you document marked as Exhibit
4
   D38. This is the fee engagement letter between you and
5
   Mr. Berkowitz, is that correct?
6
              (Pause in proceedings.)
7
       correct.
8
   Α
       You were retained to perform a calculation of
9
   value, is that correct?
10
        Correct.
11
   Α
        And, specifically, you were retained to analyze
12
    available financial records and to estimate the
13
    distributable cash flow available to the owners,
14
    insiders, of the subject companies and prepare a
15
    calculation of value of SCIX as of approximately
    October 13, 2010, based on those records?
17
        Mr. Pederson, you --
18
        I think you can cross-ref --
19
        -- were reading from the letter and then I think
20
    you went off --
21
        Exactly. I was going to say this cross-references
22
    a report to section two.
23
         I'm just going to ask you to repeat the question
24
    because it's --
25
```

Correct.

25

Α

```
244
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
              And, specifically, you were retained --
1
   Q
       Slow down just a little bit.
2
       Okay, sorry.
3
   Q
       Thanks.
4
       And, specifically, you were retained to analyze
5
    available financial records and to estimate the
6
   distributable cash flow available to the
7
   owners/insiders of the subject companies and prepare a
8
    calculation of value of SCIX, LLC, as of approximately
    October 13, 2010, based on those records? And this
10
    references your report, section two.
11
              THE COURT: So your question is that's what
12
    the letter says?
13
              MR. PEDERSON: Yeah.
14
              THE WITNESS: Let me respond by saying you're
15
    not quoting from the letter. You're conflecting (sic)
16
    the letter and the report. I would agree that I was
17
    retained to provide a calculation of value as of
18
    October of 2010. That's -- if that's --
19
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
20
        Yeah, that's it.
21
        I think that's responsive to your question.
22
        And you described the financial records in your
23
    report as fragmentary, is that correct?
24
```

245 Mr. Geisser - Cross And you admit that you did not know or have 1 personal knowledge as to who the owners/insiders of 2 each of the subject companies were at the relevant time 3 frame, is that correct? 4 That's not correct. 5 Okay. You had personal knowledge as to who the 6 owners/insiders of each of the subject companies were 7 at the relevant time? 8 THE COURT: When you say "personal knowledge" 9 what do you mean by that? You mean knowledge based on 10 his review of all the documents in this case and 11 12 depositions? MR. HIPPLE: Their names. The people -- the 13 names of the insiders and everything. 14 THE COURT: He said he does have knowledge, 15 but the question was does he have "personal knowledge." 16 What do you mean by that? 17 MR. HIPPLE: Did he know at the time he did 18 the report the names of the people involved that are --19 names of the people? 20 THE COURT: Of what people? 21 MR. HIPPLE: Brian, Melissa, myself. 22 THE WITNESS: Let me respond by saying that 23 when we get involved in a case one of the first things 24

that we do is we pick up the basic pleadings in the

case. In this particular instance, probably the first place I would go would be to the complaint. The complaint typically lays out the parties in the case.

So that's the starting point for us learning the people that are relevant to the case. Then we certainly sat down with Mr. Berkowitz. We discussed the case, the nature of the case, the people whose names we would begin to see in the records. He certainly sat down and explained, you know, who those parties were, and we began to take a look at the records and understand them at that level, and so that's how we became familiar with the parties to the case.

14 BY MR. HIPPLE:

Q You were told to make assumptions as to who these insiders and owners were, is that correct?

A I think it probably mischaracterizes it as an assumption. If somebody says, you know, for example, Melissa Moreno is related to Brian Hipple a certain way, I accepted that information as fact in the sense that she was related and had two children by Brian Hipple.

I understood Brian Hipple was Clement

Hipple's son. I mean I understood those basic

relationships that I felt were relevant to determine

who the insiders were in the case.

As names came up in the records, I looked for information or I had additional conversations with Mr. Berkowitz to confirm what the nature of the information was.

So that was the approach that we used.

That's the approach we use in virtually every case we get involved in. There's certainly a learning curve to understanding who the parties are.

Sometimes we begin to see names in records that we say, you know, Mr. Berkowitz, for example, do you know who this party is? Do we think this party is relevant? So we begin to develop a story around the underlying financial records and we start to connect the dots, if you will.

But, there's a learning process to understanding who the relevant parties are in the case. But we -- I -- yes, to answer your question directly, I think we understood the primary insiders in the case.

(Pause in proceedings.)

Q With the engagement letter, you reference the AICPA statement of standards for valuations as your source for definition of calculation of value, is that correct?

A Correct.

```
248
                       Mr. Geisser - Cross
        And is the AICPA statement for valuations
1
    Q
2
    recognized in your industry as authoritative?
        Yes, it is.
3
        I'm showing you a document marked as D-37.
4
    0
5
              THE COURT: That's the black binder, Mr. --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Do you have it?
6
              THE WITNESS: I have it.
7
              (Pause in proceedings.)
8
              MR. HIPPLE: I think it's missing pages.
9
              MR. PEDERSON: Yeah, Your Honor, we noticed
10
    earlier that D-37 seems to only have odd number pages
11
    in it.
12
              MR. HIPPLE: So I have one copy of the
13
    original --
14
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. HIPPLE: -- that I can give to him.
16
              THE COURT: Well, that's your exhibits.
17
    That's not -- that's your exhibits, D-37, right?
18
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. So do we have a full copy?
20
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Why don't you show it
22
    to the witness if you wish?
23
              (Pause in proceedings.)
24
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
25
```

25

```
249
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
        And the question here, is that a fair and accurate
1
   depiction of the AICPA standards -- statement on
2
    standards for valuation?
3
              (Pause in proceedings.)
4
        Without flipping through every page, yes, it
5
    appears to be.
6
        You are a member of the AICPA?
7
   Α
        Yes, I am.
8
        And as a member of the AICPA, you're obligated to
9
    follow the standards set forth in D-37?
10
        Yes, I am.
11
        Can you read the definition for calculation of
12
    value?
13
        Can you point me to the paragraph real quick?
14
        I think it's going to be around page 12 and 13.
15
    I'm sorry, pages 12, 13.
16
               (Pause in proceedings.)
17
        Paragraph 21 under subheading entitled "Types of
18
    Engagement." "There are two types of engagement to
19
    estimate value, a valuation engagement and a
20
    calculation engagement. The valuation engagement
21
    requires more procedures than does the calculation
22
    engagement. The valuation engagement results in a
23
```

conclusion of value. The calculation engagement

results in a calculated value. The type of engagement

Mr. Geisser - Cross

is established in the understanding with the client (paragraphs 16 and 17)." Do you want me to continue?

Q Yes.

A ": A, valuation engagement, a valuation analyst performs a valuation engagement when one, the engagement calls for a valuation analyst to estimate the value of a subject interest, and two, the valuation analyst estimates the value (as outlined in paragraphs 23 through 45) and is free to apply the valuation approaches and methods he or she deems appropriate in the circumstances. The valuation analyst expresses the results of the valuation as a conclusion of value. The conclusion may either be a single amount or a range.

"Paragraph B, calculation engagement, a valuation analyst performs a calculation engagement when one, the valuation analyst and the client agree on the valuation approaches and the methods the valuation analyst will use and the extent of the procedures the valuation analyst will perform in the process of calculating the value of a subject interest. (These procedures will be more limited than those of the valuation engagement.)

"And two, the valuation analyst calculates the value in compliance with the agreement. The valuation analyst expresses the results of these

251

procedures as a calculated value. The calculated value

is expressed as a range or a single amount. A 2

calculation engagement does not include all of the 3

procedures required for a valuation engagement 4

(paragraph 46)." 5

Thank you. So an opinion of value or valuation 6

engagement is a more comprehensive engagement, is that 7

correct? 8

1

14

15

17

18

19

20

25

Correct, as stated in the standards. 9

Now, the methods that you used to come by to a 10

conclusion of value, evaluation depend on the nature of 11

the engagement, is that correct? 12

I'm sorry, could you restate your question, please? 13

The methods that you used to come to a Sure.

conclusion of value, they depend upon the nature of the

engagement, is that correct? 16

(Pause in proceedings.)

I would say generally, yes.

And if a conclusion of value engagement -- I'm

And if it is a conclusion of value engagement,

then there is a rather comprehensive list of different 21

factors that should be considered, is that correct? 22

If it's a conclusion of value engagement, it's a 23

more expansive analysis, yes. 24

> These factors include looking at the business as a 0

# 252 Mr. Geisser - Cross whole, is that correct? 1 2 Α Yes. And looking at the nature of the products? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 The capital structure? 6 A Yes. The economic conditions, externally and internally? 7 Yes. 8 A And you would agree that a calculation of value 9 engagement does not include all the procedures required 10 for a valuation engagement, is that correct? 11 That's correct. 12 And this -- and in this instance, you did not 13 perform an opinion of value or calculation -- I'm sorry -- or an opinion of value, but you did a 15 calculation of value, is that correct? 16 Correct. 17 And when performing a calculation of value, you and 18 your client agree upon exactly how you are going to 19 calculate the value of an asset or a business, is that 20 correct? 21 When I was approached about this engagement we 22 talked about the nature of the records that were 23 available and the type of procedures that could be 24

applied under the circumstances realizing that we were

```
253
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   dealing with fragmentary records. I explained that to
1
2
   Mr. Berkowitz.
              That's also documented in the engagement
3
    letter that we talked about a few minutes ago because I
4
   recognized that I couldn't do an opinion of value.
5
    that was an option, then we could have talked about
6
    that option. But, because of the constraints put on
7
    the records that were available, to me, that was not a
8
    viable option and I couldn't do that.
              I couldn't do that because I didn't have
10
    records, and I certainly didn't have access to the
11
    client to review this very complicated ownership
12
    structure that's been discussed over the course of the
13
    last two days.
14
        Do you agree on the mathematical formula you were
15
    going to use?
16
        I can't -- I can't respond to your question.
17
    don't -- what do you mean by "mathematical formula"?
18
    know I'm not supposed to ask the questions here, but
19
    I --
20
              THE COURT: Not, that's okay.
21
              THE WITNESS: -- I don't understand the
22
    question.
23
              THE COURT: You're asking for clarification.
24
              THE WITNESS: I'm clar --
```

```
254
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
                          Right.
              THE COURT:
1
              THE WITNESS: You need to clarify what you
2
3
   mean --
              THE COURT: Right.
4
              THE WITNESS: -- by the calculation or --
5
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
6
        Well, for example, the SDE approach.
7
        The SDE approach was something that I was aware of.
8
   Mr. Berkowitz wasn't aware of that. I explained to him
9
    that, you know, this was something that we used in the
10
   valuation world and that these databases were
11
    available, and based on the nature of the case and what
12
    I understood needed to be addressed in the case, I felt
13
    that this was the most appropriate methodology that was
14
    available to me and, in fact, probably was among the
15
    only methodologies that were available to me.
16
              For example, you know, we didn't have a
17
    balance sheet, so we couldn't even use an asset
18
    approach to the valuation process, and I just use that
19
    as a point of example.
20
        Just for some clarification on the mathematical
21
    formula, SDE was the only approach?
22
        Seller's discretionary earnings, SDE, was the
23
    methodology that I felt was most appropriate. We were
24
    dealing with looking at bank records. I could see that
25
```

Mr. Geisser - Cross

there were distributions that were coming out of the bank records.

It occurred to me that this was a methodology that could address the fundamental question of substantially equivalent value that we needed to -- that was being addressed -- that we needed addressed in terms of what the issues were before the -- in the case.

9 0 Okay.

A And so I felt that this was the most effective way to address that question given the bank records that we had, which did show distributions, and this metric that we had in the BizComps which had to do with seller's discretionary earnings. And it was because of that circumstance that I chose that, among methodologies, to use that method.

And as we talked about earlier, as the standards we just read, a valuation analyst has the ability to choose among the various methodologies that is used. This is the one I felt was the best methodology to use under the circumstances I found myself.

- Q All right. From what you're describing, it's not the only method, is that correct?
- A There are many different methodologies that are

256 Mr. Geisser - Cross There are volumes of books that are written used. 1 about this. I'm sure you're aware of that. 2 I'm just asking the questions. 3 (Pause in proceedings.) 4 In this instance, you and the plaintiff's counsel 5 agreed that you would calculate the value of cash flow 6 based upon seller's discretionary earnings, is that 7 correct? Yes, that was what I recommended that we do. And that would be seller's discretionary -- sorry 10 -- earnings times a multiplier, is that correct? 11 12 Α Correct. And, in fact, you and Mr. Berkowitz made the 13 specific decision that you were not going to get 14 involved in performing a valuation of what was on the 15 inventory prepared by Clem Hipple after he took the 16 SCIX assets, is that correct? 17 Well, let me explain that. I initially asked 18 whether there was information that was available as to 19 the assets that were taken. My recollection is the 20 response I got back was that there was a representation 21 made by Mr. Hipple that there was nominal value to the 22 assets that were taken. 23

And when I heard the response that there was

nominal value to the assets that were taken, it seemed

25

Mr. Geisser - Cross

to me that the more important measure of value here was the income stream.

I subsequently learned after I prepared the report there was, in fact, this inventory of assets, physical assets that were taken, that Mr. Hipple had represented in an affidavit I believe that the value of those assets were in the range of I want to say \$110,000.

When we looked at that more closely after the report was prepared I realized that it was really an understatement of what the value that was taken. I mean we're talking over 5,000 bottles of material. We started to do the math on it. I said this is much more than \$110,000. And so that's why it came out during the course of my deposition, I explained that during -- excuse me -- during the course of my deposition it came up with an estimate of that number.

And had I known that information at the time of the report, I would have certainly added that to the overall value, which we have done today in the courtroom.

- Q Did you ever receive an inventory from Colonial Chemical stating what type of bottles were at the location?
- A I don't recall seeing any inventory from Colonial

#### Mr. Geisser - Cross

Chemical. My recollection is that I saw the inventory that we talked about already today during the course of the deposition.

Q Again, but all Colonial records were subpoenaed, okay, and there was an inventory showing there was bottles for the UK and bottles for the United States.

MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I could. I don't mean to interrupt, but if I could just make a brief objection. I don't have a problem with Mr. Pederson's reading the questions, but I don't think it's fair for them to be able to go back and forth. If Mr. Geisser could respond to one or the other?

THE COURT: Well, let me -- this is really unusual. I agree. I understand -- it appears that someone prepared questions for Mr. Hipple to use in the cross-examination of Mr. Geisser.

It was clear to me that Mr. Hipple was having difficulty reading those questions and, initially, I wasn't permitting Mr. Pederson to read those questions, but it became apparent he was having difficulty reading that, and in order to, which I think everybody's interested, speed this along, I let Mr. Pederson read those questions. I'm looking at your resume, Mr. Pederson, I notice you're also a lawyer, too.

MR. PETERSON: Yes, sir.

```
259
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
              THE COURT: You're a member of the Maryland
1
   bar and also the Louisiana bar. So that's why I
2
   permitted him to do so, and I stand by that ruling.
3
              But there are some questions, apparently, Mr.
4
   Hipple has thought of that are not prepared questions
5
   but he thought about it, is that right, in the course
6
    of this examination?
7
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes, because --
8
              THE COURT: There's some other --
9
              MR. HIPPLE: -- the numbers --
10
              THE COURT: -- additional questions.
11
              MR. HIPPLE: -- are on the board there, Your
12
    Honor.
13
              THE COURT: So I'm going to overrule your
14
    objection. I'll permit him to do it.
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I have no objection to Mr.
16
    Hipple asking questions. My objection was more to
17
    bouncing back and forth so that --
18
              THE COURT: Right. All right.
19
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- Mr. Geisser --
20
              THE COURT: I don't --
21
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- doesn't have to bounce
22
    back and forth.
23
                          I don't anticipate Mr. Pederson
              THE COURT:
24
    asking any further questions because I think the
25
```

```
260
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   written, prepared cross-examination has already been
1
2
    completed?
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes, it's all completed.
3
              THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Hipple is going to
4
   be the only one to finish the cross-examination.
5
              MR. HIPPLE: All right.
6
              THE COURT: Go ahead --
7
              MR. HIPPLE: This --
8
              THE COURT: -- Mr. Hipple. Any other
9
10
    questions you have?
11
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        Again, did you have any knowledge from Mr.
12
    Berkowitz or from anybody, from Col -- any information
13
    from Colonial Chemical that we sold chemical to the UK,
14
15
    United Kingdom?
        I have a vague recollection of something along
16
    those lines, but only really only the vaguest, and I
17
    think that may be influenced by what I've heard over
18
    the last two days more than anything else.
19
        Okay. But then --
20
        I --
21
    Α
        -- you were examining the bank statements, did you
22
    not see deposits from the UK or wire transfers?
23
        You're going to have to point that out to me.
24
    mean we looked at the bank records. We're talking
25
```

261 Mr. Geisser - Cross about thousands of transactions. It's possible that, 1 you know, I saw some of those transactions, it's 2 possible that my staff person saw some of those 3 transactions. I'm not sure I understand what the 4 relevance of that is. 5 Well, the relevance is that the bottles going to 6 the UK were for \$12 a bottle, okay? And it has a large 7 relevant here, okay, that the majority of the bottles 8 that were in stock in the warehouse in Colonial 9 Chemical were \$12 bottles, not 49 or 59 or whatever the 10 price was, okay? So the valuation that's gone on the 11 chart there is totally incorrect, which I will clear up 12 tomorrow, you know, when I go on the stand myself. 13 But in other words, when you were doing the 14 sales forecasts, okay, you mean to tell me you didn't 15 see any large numbers coming in as sales? You must 16 have. 17 THE COURT: I think your question is a little 18 bit unclear. 19 MR. HIPPLE: Okay. 20 THE COURT: So I --21 MR. HIPPLE: Okay. Do you --22 THE COURT: Let me just -- let me -- I do 23 understand there's something in the record, I agree 24

with you, that the UK price was at one point at \$12 a

```
262
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
             I saw that. I don't know if you had a chance
   bottle.
    to see it, Mr. Geisser. It's somewhere in the record.
2
              And I do understand your point that these
3
    calculations are based on the US price. That's your
4
   point. Okay, we got that. So what's your next
5
    question? Do you have another one, Mr. Hipple, beyond
6
7
    that?
              MR. HIPPLE: No, other than whether or not he
8
    saw any large revenue coming in when he was doing the
9
    sales forecast, sales forecast that he has -- well, he
10
    had to do a sales --
11
              THE COURT: During a particular -- during a
12
    particular period? I mean an unusual --
13
              MR. HIPPLE: For every -- for each year.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. HIPPLE: For the years.
16
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
17
        You had to see a sales forecast. You did a sales
18
19
    forecast, correct?
        Let me clarify what I think you're asking if I
20
    could. I took bank records and we analyzed the bank
21
              The bank records that we had available to us
    records.
22
    are debits and credits.
23
        Right.
24
        The debits are things like (indiscernible), right?
25
    Α
```

Mr. Geisser - Cross

263

1 The credits are the monies --

Q Well, excuse me --

2

3

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

A -- that come through credit card processing and the

4 like. So you can see the money being deposited into

5 | the account, the merchant processing, the credits going

6 | into the account. There's hundreds of those types of

7 transactions that we saw in the bank records.

I can't -- I can probably go back now and try to identify maybe something coming from the UK, but that was not something that we performed as a fundamental part of our analysis however.

12 | O Well, it would have been --

A From my standpoint, there were dollars in. I looked at it as dollars in, dollars out, dollars into the bank account, dollars out of the account. Where it came from was really of no relevance to my analysis.

Q Okay. But it would have been -- it would have been very obvious, okay, because the credit card was everyday, okay, in small amounts like what, 1,600, 1,800, 1,200, and the UK had to be in the thousands, maybe 12,000, 13,000, and that didn't ring a bell to

22 | you?

A Again, it was dollars into the account. It was sale of product that we were accounting for as dollars into the account.

```
264
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
       All right. I'll deal with the UK at -- when I go
1
   on the stand. Hold on for one minute.
2
              (Pause in proceedings.)
3
        Okay. When you -- this is your report, okay, on
4
              E3.
   page 12.
5
        Just give me a second to do some housekeeping here.
6
              (Pause in proceedings.)
7
              THE COURT: Page 12 in?
8
              MR. HIPPLE: Mr. Geisser's report, I believe
9
    it's E-3. E-3.
10
              THE WITNESS: Exhibit E-3.
11
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
12
        E-3, page 12.
13
        I have Exhibit E-3.
    Α
14
        Page 12. At the bottom, at the very -- I'll wait
15
    for Your Honor.
16
              (Pause in proceedings.)
17
        At the bottom of the page, on April 12th, 2000,
18
    wire transfer, $10 fee from Steel Seal in the amount of
19
    27,066.00. I know that's certainly not a credit card
20
    deposit. Plus, it was a wire transfer from Steel Seal,
21
    Ltd., UK. And I don't understand how you could have
22
    missed that.
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry. Could you give me
24
    the page number? I'm having a little difficulty.
25
```

```
265
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, 12.
1
                              12? I want to make sure I'm
2
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
3
   on the right page.
              MR. HIPPLE:
4
                          E-3.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And I'm sorry, it's --
5
              MR. HIPPLE: On 4-12-2012.
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                              2012?
7
              MR. HIPPLE: Right. E-3 on April 12th, 2012,
8
   at the bottom towards -- about five up from the bottom,
9
    amount credited of 27,066,90, from Steel Seal, Ltd.,
10
11
    UK.
12
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        I don't understand how you could have missed that.
13
        What's the question? I'm not sure I understand the
14
15
    question.
        My question is that you did all these bank records
16
    and this is your report, okay? All right? But yet,
17
    you didn't realize that in your evaluation of the
18
    report that it's possible that the bottles were -- the
19
    chemical was being sold for a lesser amount?
20
        Well, if you want me to respond, this is analysis
21
    of bank records. We didn't miss anything. We recorded
22
    it just as we saw it in the bank records.
23
    nothing in that entry that has anything to do with the
24
    value of the bottles being sold. That's an aggregate
25
```

Mr. Geisser - Cross

deposit from something, a wire transfer from Steel
Seal, Limited, UK.

Q Coming in from a different country?

A It poss -- it's possibly a different company, and if it's a different company, maybe there's more records out there we should be looking about Steel Seal UK that weren't turned over. I don't know the answer to that. I can only saw what was deposited in the account.

And, frankly, it causes me to ask the question about whether there were additional records outside of what we were provided with that may relate to Steel Seal UK.

Q Well, wouldn't that have thrown a flag up to you at one point in time when you looked at the records or if -- or questioned Mr. Berkowitz in reference to it?

A I don't know what flag you're talking about.

Again, we were looking at an analysis of cash in and cash out. This to us, the way we viewed this, this was cash into the bank account which gave the basis for distribution out. What was important for our analysis was to establish the dist -- the seller's discretionary earnings, the distributions that were going to the insiders of this --

Q Yeah, you --

25 A -- enterprise.

```
267
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
       -- were concentrating more on the insiders.
1
   Basically -- okay. Now, you saw this go on the board
2
   today, right?
3
        Yes.
4
        Okay. Same section, 3E, if you turn to the first
5
   page after E-3, and read the second line of --
6
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, which page? Do
7
   you have a page number?
8
              MR. HIPPLE: First page. Don't have a page
9
             Right? After the Exhibit E-3.
10
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                              Yep.
11
              MR. HIPPLE: Second line of revenue.
12
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
13
        What does it -- can you read that to me, please?
14
    Q
        It says "Revenue UK."
15
    Α
        How much.
16
    Q
        $186,826.30.
17
    Α
        So, again, when this was being put up on the board,
18
    this is your report and Mr. Berkowitz saw this report,
19
    had knowledge of this report, and yet you won't accept
20
    the fact that there's a bottle being sold for $12 and
21
    -- you won't accept the fact?
22
              THE COURT: Well, your report, it didn't
23
    address the value of the inventory, did it?
24
              THE WITNESS: No.
25
```

```
268
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
                         No.
              THE COURT:
1
              MR. HIPPLE: No, this isn't inventory.
2
3
   is --
              THE WITNESS: As I explained --
4
              MR. HIPPLE: -- actual sales, Your Honor.
5
              THE COURT: No, but your point is that in the
6
   valuation of the inventory on the chart, it didn't take
7
   into account that some of those sales may have been
9
    from people in the UK.
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, my --
10
              THE COURT: But his evaluation --
11
              MR. HIPPLE: My cost evaluation, which was
12
    also part of the report, shows how many bottles were
13
14
    UK, okay?
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MR. HIPPLE: And that was -- and that was in
16
    my affidavit and, again, so --
17
              THE COURT: So this affects your cost?
18
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, it affects -- it affects
19
    the number that he put up there, but he took it from
20
    1.75 to a million because of this great number.
21
              THE COURT: Right, I understand that.
22
              MR. HIPPLE: Right? Which is in there.
23
              THE COURT: But how does it affect his
24
    analysis of cash in, cash out and a multiple that he
25
```

```
269
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
   used to come to his valuation, whether the income came
1
   from the UK or it came from the US, on the way he
2
   calculated the value.
3
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, because it would have
4
   affected in the area of the actual sales based on the
5
   amount of sales that would be going out to the UK.
6
   it's got to be considered that we're selling the
7
   bottles at a cheaper price or so than the price that --
8
              THE COURT: Would that have affected your
9
   valuation of the value of the business as of October
10
    2010?
11
              THE WITNESS: Not really. No, again, it was
12
   a cash in, cash in analysis. We were calculating based
13
   on the value of the distributions to the insiders, if
14
    you will.
15
              THE COURT: What the bottom line is what they
16
    took out of it.
17
              THE WITNESS: What the bottom line is.
18
              THE COURT: Right.
19
              THE WITNESS: What they took out.
20
              THE COURT: Right.
21
              THE WITNESS: It was a dollars analysis.
22
    Just to come back, I wasn't aware of that inventory
23
    until after this report was done and --
24
```

THE COURT: You testified to that.

```
270
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
              THE WITNESS: Right. I already --
1
              THE COURT:
                         Yes.
2
              THE WITNESS: -- testified to that. So there
3
   was no way I would have considered that. To me, it
4
   doesn't really make any difference in terms of the
5
   opinion that I've rendered, and I just -- it's just --
6
              THE COURT: It may affect your opinion from
7
   the 1.75 to 2 million. Maybe you might concede that
8
9
    might --
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
10
              THE COURT: -- be something you would --
11
              THE WITNESS: I would --
12
              THE COURT: -- you need to -- you need to
13
    consider?
14
              THE WITNESS: I would agree.
15
              THE COURT: Right.
16
              THE WITNESS: I would agree. But just based
17
    on the information that was available to me in terms of
18
    what we saw in the selling price on the internet,
19
    extending the math accordingly, that's the answer.
20
              THE COURT: Right, I understand.
21
              THE WITNESS: And, you know, we didn't get a
22
    response report back at any time that would have
23
    clarified that issue.
24
```

THE COURT: Right.

```
271
                      Mr. Geisser - Cross
              THE WITNESS: That issue certainly came out
1
   during the course of my deposition. Had we gotten a
2
   supplemental report, we could have addressed it in due
3
   course, but we didn't get any supplemental report or
4
   any way to address it in that fashion.
5
              THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hipple --
6
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
7
              THE COURT: -- go ahead. Any other
8
    questions?
9
              MR. HIPPLE: No, Your Honor, just that it
10
    affects that number that is on the board.
11
              THE COURT: I understand.
12
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
13
              THE COURT: I do understand your point.
14
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Berkowitz?
16
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I could just
17
    have a brief redirect?
18
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
19
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20
    BY MR. BERKOWITZ:
21
        Mr. Geisser, I'll direct your attention to E-3, the
22
    exhibit that you were just speaking about with Mr.
23
    Hipple. At the top of the page it says "Steel Seal
24
    Pro." Would you tell us what year that is?
25
```

# Mr. Geisser - Redirect

- A 2012.
- Q Okay. So this is UK sales for the year 2012,
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A Correct. Well, to be more precise about it, they
- 5 | were the deposits in 2012.
- 6 Q Okay. And the transaction between SCIX and Steel
- 7 | Seal Pro and Complete Group and the transfer of the
- 8 assets happened in October 2010?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Okay. So this UK issue may not -- may or may not
- involve or be implicated in the year 2010, is that
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q Now, on page 12 of the same exhibit, Mr. Hipple
- points out that 27,066.90 came in in US dollars from
- 16 the UK.
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Would it matter if it came in from Australia or
- some place that takes the euro? Would it matter where
- 20 | the money comes from?
- 21 A Not really, as long as we're accounting for the
- 22 sales for this particular entity. If there's some
- 23 entity that sits outside of this entity, they would --
- 24 it would sort of peak my curiosity in terms of are we
- 25 missing an entity out there.

## Mr. Geisser - Redirect

But, in terms of -- assuming that the sales went through Steel Seal Pro, which is -- this is the Steel Seal Pro bank account, then it really doesn't make a different, you know, where the money comes from.

- O US cash is US cash no matter where it comes from?
- 7 A That's correct.

Q Okay. Now, let me ask you about whether your opinion was rendered with a reasonable degree of certainty in the field of accounting.

Can you state that your analysis, based on what you explained to us and your opinion is based to a reasonable degree of certainty, as that term applies in the accounting field?

- A Yes, all the opinions that I have rendered today and in my report are to a reasonable degree of accounting/valuation certainty, and I believe are in accordance with the standards that apply.
- Q Okay. And just to clarify something, and I think we just dealt with it, you came up with a \$1.75 million valuation of the cash flow from the sales of Steel Seal, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q And the value of the inventory is on top of that?
- 25 A That's correct.

# Mr. Geisser - Redirect

- 1 | Q So if the value of this inventory is 500,000, you
- 2 | add 500,000 to the 1.7?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And if the value of the inventory is 100,000, you
- 5 add 100,000 to the 1.75?
- 6 A Well, the idea here is to get any excess inventory,
- 7 right, because in the statistical information that
- 8 comes from BizComps, it doesn't include inventory. It
- 9 | specifically doesn't So yes, it's added. Yes, it's
- 10 added in there.
- 11 | Q And you were asked questions about I think it was
- certain assumptions that were made. You and I had had
- discussions. Have you and I worked before on other
- 14 | matters, other accounting assignments?
- 15 A I had one other accounting assignment, one or two I
- 16 | think before this.
- 17 Q Okay. And you know I've worked with people in your
- 18 | firm since the '80s?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And do you rely if I provide you some assumptions
- 21 that you list in your report, do you consider them to
- 22 be accurate?
- 23 A I do, sure.
- 24 | Q Okay. Now --
- 25 A I mean we test the assumption. We just don't

```
275
                     Mr. Geisser - Redirect
   accept assumptions necessarily for -- because Mr.
1
   Berkowitz said these are the assumptions, but, you
2
   know, if we think that require -- they require testing,
3
   we'll test those assumptions.
4
       Now, you also -- I think you heard -- I think you
5
    testified to this. You heard that Mr. Hipple paid $2
6
   million for the patent rights and formula?
7
        I did hear that, yes.
8
    Α
        Okay. And that would indicate to you that there is
9
    some value to this product?
10
        Yes.
    Α
11
        And you were asked about reasonably equivalent
12
    value, and I don't want to use that in the technical
13
    term because that's a term the Judge will decide.
14
    Would you consider it a fair exchange if somebody
15
    trades $210,000 and gets in return a $1.75 million
16
    asset? Would that be a fair exchange?
17
        No, it's not a fair exchange.
18
        Okay.
19
    Q
               (Pause in proceedings.)
20
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I have no other questions,
21
    Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hipple?
23
              MR. HIPPLE: Can we have a five minute break,
24
    Your Honor?
25
```

```
276
                     Mr. Geisser - Redirect
              THE COURT: Yes, I'm ont going to leave the
1
   courtroom. You can talk among yourselves because we're
2
   going to end at 4:30, okay?
3
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
4
              THE COURT: So why don't you -- if you need
5
   some time to confer, that's fine.
6
              (Pause in proceedings.)
7
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
8
9
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
        Okay. I want to go back to, basically, the
10
   statement of value of the corporation at 1.75 million,
11
   right, and then trying to add in another 300,000 to
12
   bring it up to the 2 million, correct?
13
        Correct.
    А
14
               In your -- well, I don't know what page
15
    number, but Exhibit C. If you look at Exhibit C?
16
              (Pause in proceedings.)
17
        I have it.
18
    Α
        At 2011, the total sales there, I'm going to use a
19
    terminology that only I basically know. I would call
20
    this double dipping, okay, because that inventory,
21
    right, that Mr. Berkowitz put up on the board was sold
22
    in 2011. So if you want to add it back in, you already
23
    got it in the sales of 2011. Where can you justify
24
    bumping it up another 300,000? That's double dipping,
```

#### Mr. Geisser - Recross

277

1 isn't it?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, it's not. 2

Okay. Well, okay. It's not the sales of the 3

inventory in this figure? 4

Can I explain?

Go ahead.

earnings.

The valuation is as of October 2013. The valuation that we're doing is looking at the ability of this business, the Steel Seal business, to produce cash flow over an extended period of time. Any valuation is based on the forward ability of that company to make

So, while we have three years that are spread out, really what we're doing is we're averaging that out to get an overall view of what is the -- answering the question, what is the ability of this business to generate earnings and cash flow going forward. That's why we only apply it to a single year at the end. Truly, we only apply it really to a single year.

So the valuation is as of October 2013. what we're saying is based on the cash flow as of October 2013, the value of that company on that date is \$1.7 million, based on cash flow alone.

But, there's an additional asset that sits out there. If there were another \$200,000 sitting on

ூ

Mr. Geisser - Recross

the books as cash, we would say that you need -- you're buying cash, so we would say we -- you have to add in \$200,000 cash.

In this particular case, you have excess inventory. You have inventory that was fresh unsold inventory that is an additive asset to the cash flow stream, and for that reason, those things are added together.

so, while that may have been sold in a later period of time, as of October 2013, when we're talking about equivalent value as of that date, that's why it's additive at that point in time, not in 2011. So there's no double dipping involved here whatsoever.

- Q But, again, but the goods were sold in 2011 and it's in the sales figure here. I don't understand what inventory you're talking about. What inventory are you talking -- we're talking about the inventory that I took, is that not correct?
- 19 A Absolutely.

- Q Okay. If I took the inventory in 2010 and it was sold in 2011 and this sales number is in 2011, it's gone. There is no inventory. There's no inventory. It's gone. It's, you know, vanished. Discretionary earnings, right, would change?
- A It's a little difficult to explain because we're

```
279
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
    involved in talking about valuation concepts here a
1
    little bit -- actually, more than a little bit.
2
    when we're doing this value, again, I'll repeat myself.
3
    We're putting a value on this enterprise, this Steel
4
    Seal enterprise, as of a point in time.
5
              That value, that calculated value is based on
6
    its ability to generate cash flow going forward.
7
    That's not -- that's not a single year that -- that's
8
    multiple years going forward. So when you're talking
9
    about --
10
        I understand all that part.
11
        Let me finish.
12
        That's not the part I'm talking --
13
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I would object.
14
              THE WITNESS: Let me finish.
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, the witness is
16
    answering the question.
17
              THE COURT: Yes, I'll --
18
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
19
              THE COURT: -- sustain the objection.
20
              THE WITNESS: So when you're doing this
21
    calculation I agree that that may have been sold in
22
           There's no dispute on that. But it has to do
    2011.
23
    with this ability -- the ability of this company, this
24
```

enterprise, if you will, to generate cash flow and

25

280 Mr. Geisser - Recross distributions to the owners, the insiders. That's what 1 2 we're talking about. So, it's additive in the sense that at that 3 moment in time, it was free, it was additional capital 4 that was available that was unrelated to the cash flow 5 stream directly. 6 BY MR. HIPPLE: 7 Okay. But then that takes care of this? This is 8 gone, is that correct, the 300,000 that you're trying 10 to add on the top of --No. Α 11 -- the 1.7? 12 Q A No, it's additive. 13 I don't know. Q 14 MR. HIPPLE: I'm not an accountant, Your 15 Honor, but from my standpoint, if the inventory was 16 sold, it's in the -- and it's in the sales figures, 17 okay, this would no longer exist, okay. So where would 18 it come back in if you already got it in the sales? 19 I'm just totally confused. I mean --20 THE COURT: Well, let me just say I do 21 understand your point, Mr. Hipple. I'm not saying I 22 agree or disagree obviously, but I understand your 23 point. Your point is that in the 2011 sales figures,

it's 1.249 -- 1.2 million in excess, you're saying

```
281
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
   included in those sales figures is the inventory that
1
2
   was taken?
              MR. HIPPLE: That's correct.
3
              THE COURT: And that Mr. Geisser used those
4
   figures to come up with his calculations, and you're
5
   saying it's double counting. I understand that. I
6
   think you made your point.
7
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
8
              THE COURT: You know, I need to consider the
9
   whole picture here. And, obviously, I'll hear from Mr.
10
               I'm sure he'll address that. And, you know,
11
   Pederson.
   we'll hear argument on that, but I'm not sure you need
12
    to go any further on this.
13
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: No more -- no, we only go direct,
15
    cross, redirect, and recross. So if there's no more
16
    questions -- are you finished your questions?
17
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. All right, you're excused,
19
   Mr. Geisser.
20
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22
              MR. HIPPLE: No, I mean I'm not finished my
23
24
    questions.
              THE COURT: Oh, I thought --
25
```

```
282
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              MR. HIPPLE: No.
1
2
              THE COURT: -- you said you were.
              MR. HIPPLE: I thought you meant that
3
    question --
4
5
              THE COURT:
                          Oh.
              MR. HIPPLE: -- there I'm finished.
6
7
              THE COURT: Oh, go ahead.
              MR. HIPPLE: No, I'm not finished my
8
9
    questioning.
              THE COURT: I thought you were.
10
              MR. HIPPLE: Your Honor, I have 39 pages and
11
   we're on page five.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
13
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
14
        All right, we're going to go back to the fee
15
16
    engagement letter.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, if I could raise
17
    an objection.
18
              THE COURT: Yes.
19
              MR. BERKOWITZ: They went through, completed
20
    their testimony, I did a redirect.
21
              THE COURT: Right.
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Their recross should be
23
    limited to the couple of points I raised in my
24
    redirect, and I don't think there's 39 pages worth of
25
```

## Mr. Geisser - Recross

283

guestions there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right. So, Mr. Hipple, the rules are you're entitled -- you're on what's called recross, okay? We had the direct examination, we had your cross-examination, we had redirect from Mr. Berkowitz. He had a limited number of questions.

There may have been five questions I think, five or six questions, certain discrete areas. You're limited in now your questions to only those areas that he touched upon. So this is not another time to open up the whole thing. You had your chance. So what questions do you --

MR. HIPPLE: Where did I lose my chance, Your I don't understand. Honor?

THE COURT: Well, because the rules are you had -- you cross-examined him probably for about an hour, okay. And then Mr. Berkowitz had some redirect, which was limited to the points that you brought up on cross. Do you understand? So you're limited to the points that he brought up on recross.

MR. BERKOWITZ: Redirect.

THE COURT: On redirect. Excuse me, on redirect, thank you.

MR. HIPPLE: Well, then why did I allow him to redirect then? I mean what's the --

```
284
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              THE COURT: You don't allow. The rules
1
    permit that. So what questions do you have? What
2
3
    areas do you want to get into?
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, there's a lot of areas,
4
                 There's a lot of areas in reference to the
5
    Your Honor.
    American Express bills, there's a lot of areas into my
6
    royalties. There's a lot of different areas that
7
8
    really need to be touched on because --
              THE COURT: But you -- but you -- but the
9
10
    problem is with the American Express bills, they
    weren't brought up by Mr. Berkowitz on his redirect.
11
12
              MR. HIPPLE: But I --
13
              THE COURT: Why didn't you bring it up
14
    earlier?
              MR. HIPPLE: Because then I was going down
15
    the list. I haven't gotten to that yet.
16
              THE COURT: All right, go ahead. Start, ask
17
18
    your questions.
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
19
        All right, back to the fee engagement letter.
20
              THE COURT: Wait a minute, no. You had --
21
    Mr. Pederson --
22
              MR. PEDERSON: I'm sorry, you said go back to
23
    your questions. I thought you meant --
24
```

THE COURT: No, Mr. Pederson, I made --

```
285
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              MR. PEDERSON: -- go back to the list.
1
              THE COURT: -- a ruling. You're done.
2
              MR. PETERSON:
                             Okay.
3
              THE COURT: You read your prepared list.
4
              MR. PETERSON: I didn't prepare it, Your
5
6
   Honor.
              THE COURT: Well, somebody prepared a list.
7
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
8
              THE COURT: Let's go over this again.
9
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes, I have a list here.
10
              THE COURT: And Mr. Hipple had difficulty
11
   reading it. And I, in order to allow him to -- someone
12
    to articulate those questions, I allowed you to read
13
    them. You finished reading them.
14
              Then Mr. Hipple thought of some additional
15
   questions. Do you remember we had an objection from
16
   Mr. Berkowitz about that? I said well, Mr. Pederson
17
   has finished his -- his prepared questions were -- they
18
    were finished. And I'm not going to permit you to read
19
    anymore questions.
20
              MR. HIPPLE:
                           Him?
21
              THE COURT: Him.
22
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay, so I can --
23
              THE COURT: But you are limited to what he
24
    only brought up. You're not -- you can't open up this
```

```
286
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
   whole thing again. What questions do you have that
1
    relate to what he just asked?
2
              (Pause in proceedings.)
3
              THE COURT: You look like you're reading from
4
    a list of questions, is that right?
5
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes.
6
              THE COURT: Well, why didn't you read from
7
    those questions earlier?
8
              MR. HIPPLE: That's what we've been doing.
9
              THE COURT: No, but you had an opportunity to
10
    cross-examine him and you were reading the questions,
11
    and I let Mr. Pederson assist you and I let him read
12
    those questions. Why didn't you finish that at the
13
    time that you had the opportunity to do it?
14
              MR. HIPPLE: What do you mean, just read all
15
    the questions first? Is that how it was --
16
              THE COURT: Yes, it's your opportunity for
17
    cross-examination, yeah.
18
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, that's what I thought we
19
    were doing.
20
              THE COURT: Yes, but you finished your
21
    questions. You told me you were finished.
22
              MR. HIPPLE: No, no, I said I was finished
23
    with that question and I just asked him about --
24
              THE COURT: No, no, no. No.
25
```

25

```
287
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              MR. HIPPLE: This --
1
              THE COURT: A half an hour ago you told me
2
   you were finished your questions and then Mr. Berkowitz
3
   had a chance --
4
5
              MR. HIPPLE: No, that was a mistake, Your
   Honor, okay?
6
              MR. PEDERSON: I think that your confusing is
7
   because we were going back and forth. So he was
8
    finished the questions. He had interjected himself
9
    that we're not here, and I was going to continue
10
    reading the questions. So when he said he's finished
11
   he was finished his own questions that were not on this
12
    list and I was going to start reading again. That's
13
    what happened.
14
              THE COURT: Well, you're a lawyer. You
15
    understood this. Why didn't you speak up?
16
              MR. PEDERSON: I don't practice law, Your
17
    Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Well, you went to law school.
19
    You should know that. All right, go ahead. Let's
20
    finish your questions. Go ahead. I want to give you
21
    every opportunity to present your case. Go ahead.
22
              MR. HIPPLE: Yeah, I need that.
23
```

THE COURT: When you answer me and say you're

finished you got to realize there's consequences.

```
288
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
1
2
              THE COURT:
                          The next time --
              MR. HIPPLE: I didn't understand that part.
3
              THE COURT: The next time you got to be aware
4
5
    of that.
              MR. HIPPLE: All right, I will be, Your
6
7
    Honor.
            Okay.
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
        Back to your fee engagement letter, it is fair to
    say that you provided a report that is consistent with
10
    the scope of the engagement set forth in that letter?
11
              (Pause in proceedings.)
12
        Is that a question?
13
    Α
        The question -- okay. I'll read it again. Back to
14
    your fee engagement letter, is it fair to say that you
15
    provided a report that is consistent with the scope of
16
    the engagement set forth in the letter?
17
18
    Α
        Yes.
        Is it fair to say that you were not retained to
19
    determine the fair market value of any particular list
20
    of assets, right?
               (Pause in proceedings.)
22
        Can you tell me what exhibit the engagement letter
23
    is because I closed up the binder. I apologize, but I
24
    thought --
25
```

```
289
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
        30 --
   O
        -- we were done with this.
3
        38.
4
              (Pause in proceedings.)
5
        The engagement letter reads, in the second
   paragraph, "You have engaged us to perform a
6
    calculation of value of SCIX, LLC/Steel Seal, LLC/Steel
7
    Seal Pro, LLC. Our procedures will include analysis of
8
    several bank accounts directed toward estimating the
    cash flow distributed to the owners/insiders of the
10
11
    subject companies."
12
        But, basically the bank accounts?
        Well, the focus was on the cash flows because, as I
13
    testified earlier --
14
        Could you read the next sentence also?
15
        Can I finish my response? As I testified earlier,
16
    I was operating under the impression that there was
17
    minimal tran -- minimal assets that were transferred at
18
    the time, physical assets. It was really more of a
19
    cash flow situation.
20
              We subsequently became aware of this list of
21
    assets. At the time I drafted this engagement letter,
22
    I was not aware of that. So in drafting the engagement
23
    letter, my focus was on the cash flows.
24
        All right. Can you read the second after that,
```

read on "After determination"?

A "After determining the distributions of the companies, we will apply an estimated capitalization rate or other metric typically used to value small businesses to produce an indicated value. It is understood that our opinion will be limited to the available records and the calculation will be used exclusively in connection with the above captioned matter."

Q An estimated capitalization rate, where did you come up with that?

A One of the methodologies that you can use in valuing a business is called the income approach. The income approach uses something called a capitalization rate.

When I was considering this engagement at an early stage I didn't know all the parameters of what I was dealing with at that time. So, in describing generally what we were going to do, I was trying to say in the engagement letter we're generally going to use these valuation methodologies that may be available to us.

As I started to drill down and understand better what was going on, it became apparent to me that a capitalization rate was no an appropriate methodology

to use, or certainly a less preferred methodology, and that there was a more direct, more appropriate, better way to estimate value. And that was I eventually came to use a market valuation approach using the seller's discretionary earnings metric. And that's what I refer to here, "or other metric." That's what that refers to. When I said, "other metric," those are database metrics that are commonly used in doing valuation work.

(Pause in proceedings.)

- Q Well, the phrase, "fair market value" does not appear anywhere in your fee engagement letter, right?
- 12 A Agreed.

- Q And a standard of measures of the fair market value of assets would include what demand of -- on the open market for such assets would be, right?
- A Assets meaning what? What do you mean "assets"?

  What are you referring to?
- 18 O Sales. Assets.
  - A Well, the objective here is to value an income stream. That's what -- that's what they did and the letter says. So we're not valuing sales.
  - Q So, basically, your projections are -- naturally, I'm going to go to 2012, okay? Correct? As far as what's going on with the company now, you have no idea --

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                          292
        It's --
   Α
        -- basically?
        Our valuation is an estimate as of October 2010
3
    when the -- when the value -- when the exchange took
4
   place for what we conclude was not reasonably
5
6
    equivalent value. It's at that moment in time that we
   needed to address.
7
8
        And the standard of measures of the fair market
    value of assets would include what the demand on the
    open market or such assets would be, correct?
10
        Again, I ask -- I have to ask you to clarify. What
11
12
    assets are you referring to?
        All right, let me have you look at 224-20.
13
14
              (Pause in proceedings.)
15
        224-20.
    Q
16
    A
        I have it.
17
        Would you read it, please?
18
        "Question: Can those -- if just assets are
    transferred, are there ways to determine the fair
19
    market value of just those assets?
20
              "Answer: Sure."
21
22
    0
        225-17.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm going to raise an
23
    objection here, Your Honor, to the last question.
24
    a little late, but on page 22, it seems that that
25
```

```
293
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
    question that was just asked pertains to the 528 cases
1
2
    of Steel Seal.
              Mr. Geisser had a question about what assets
3
    are we talking about, and I guess my question -- my
4
    objection is we need a clarification if that's the
5
    asset we're talking about?
6
              THE COURT: Is that the asset, Mr. Hipple,
7
8
    that --
              MR. HIPPLE: No.
              THE COURT: That's right. In the deposition,
10
    that's what he was talking about, right?
11
              MR. HIPPLE: No, he said okay.
12
              THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. --
13
              MR. HIPPLE: Oh, yeah, the assets? Yes.
14
              THE COURT: Yeah. The assets, the inventory.
15
              MR. HIPPLE: The assets that I took.
16
              THE WITNESS: Yes. If -- Your Honor, can I
17
    interject?
18
              THE COURT: Yes.
19
              THE WITNESS: If you look back on page 222,
20
    it refers to the 528 cases of material. I'm just --
21
    I'm kind of skimming it right now, but --
22
              THE COURT: So that's what you were referring
23
    to when you answered the question?
24
              THE WITNESS: I'm just -- as I look over the
25
```

```
294
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
    pages, it looks to me like that's what we were talking
2
    about.
              THE COURT: Okay.
              THE WITNESS: I'm looking at page 221, and
4
5
    for Exhibit O, "Somebody had to pay for this inventory
    that was purchased here, correct? Answer: Correct."
6
7
              THE COURT: Okay.
              THE WITNESS: And it's making reference to
8
    the value of that inventory that's being discussed
9
    throughout those pages. So that's -- I'm addressing
10
    the question, "Is there a way to value that inventory."
11
12
              THE COURT: Right.
              THE WITNESS: And based on just that little
13
    response that I gave there, the answer is "Yes." It's
14
15
    the --
16
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
17
        "Sure."
18
    A It's the same as --
        You said "Sure," right.
19
    Q
        Sure. And it's the way that we walked through it
20
    Α
    this morning on this board up here.
21
        All right. But, would you agree now that we talked
22
    about the England and the UK that perhaps this could be
23
    a mistake?
24
        We used the information that was available to us at
25
```

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
```

the time. If there's -- if that information and those was incorrect, certainly I stand to hear that correction.

But, all I can say was based on what was available to me at the time I was giving this deposition, I was just doing the same kind of extrapolation like we did on the board this morning, multiplying the cases, the bottles, the number of bottles per case, the selling price per bottle, to come up with a number, because that's the way you would value it. It's valued at the selling price of the material.

so, what we're trying to derive is simply answer the question, if you took physical possession of these bottles of material, what were they sold for? What was the value of those bottles that you took possession of?

Q So, basically, you never saw the sheet that was in the exhibits that -- with the cost analysis of what I received?

A I'm sorry, I don't know what you're referring to.

22 If you want to --

Q Okay.

24 A -- show me that exhibit --

25 O There's --

```
296
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
        -- I'll be happy to take a look at it.
   Α
        I don't know what exhibit.
2
3
              MR. HIPPLE: Do you know the exhibit?
              THE COURT: I think we went over this
4
5
    already.
              MR. HIPPLE: What?
6
              THE COURT: We went over this already.
7
8
              MR. HIPPLE: No, this is my cross exhibit
9
    that has --
              THE COURT: Well, you showed us the UK sales
10
11
    and --
              MR. HIPPLE: No, no, this is an exhibit, Your
12
    Honor, showing exactly what I received for the assets.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. What
14
    exhibit are you talking about?
15
16
              (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT: We're going to stay until you're
17
    finished. You know, you don't want him to come back
18
    tomorrow, do you? No.
19
              MR. HIPPLE: We're going to have to, Your
20
    Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Well, we're staying because I'm
22
    sure he has other things to do and he's being paid by
23
    the hour here, so let's try to get him finished.
24
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I have three
25
```

```
297
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
    witnesses tomorrow, which will be the end --
              THE COURT: Yes.
2
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- for me.
3
              THE COURT: We'll start at 9:00 tomorrow,
4
5
    9:00 to 1:00, because --
              MR. BERKOWITZ: They're subpoenaed --
6
              THE COURT: -- we have a half day tomorrow.
7
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- to be here. I think when
8
    I issued the subpoenas we didn't have the renewed
9
    schedule. I think they will be here -- I think the
10
    subpoenas were for 9:30, although I think I contacted
11
12
    them.
              THE COURT: All right. Well, when they --
13
    when they come you can start it because I -- you know,
14
    I'm going until 1:00 tomorrow.
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes. And I should be --
16
              THE COURT: All right.
17
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I assume that I will be done
18
19
    well before 1:00.
              THE COURT: Right.
20
              (Pause in proceedings.)
21
              MR. HIPPLE: Do you remember? It was an
22
    exhibit. I know it --
23
              (Pause in proceedings.)
24
              MR. HIPPLE: D-52.
25
```

```
298
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
              THE COURT: The black binder.
1
              (Pause in proceedings.)
2
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, I don't want to
3
    interrupt him during his questioning, but I would like
4
    to raise an objection. I believe this exhibit was
5
    produced and prepared in conjunction with the motions
6
    for summary judgment, I believe, and it was after Mr.
7
    Geisser's report.
8
              MR. HIPPLE: Well --
9
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I believe that's --
10
              MR. HIPPLE: No, I think this --
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- correct.
12
              MR, HIPPLE: This was --
13
              THE COURT: Why don't you ask Mr. Geisser,
14
    Go ahead, ask your question.
15
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
16
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
17
        Are you familiar with this report?
18
        I don't believe I've seen this document before.
19
    Α
        So, therefore, it had no part of your evaluation?
20
        Correct.
    Ά
21
        But you -- basically, you did look through all of
22
    the documentation, right?
23
        I --
    Α
24
        Before you did your report?
25
```

25

```
299
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
       Mr. Hipple, the documents that I considered are
1
   specifically delineated in one of the exhibits to the
    report. Under the Federal Rules, we're required to
    list the documents that we relied upon, and there's a
    list. I can cite to the exhibit, but there's an
5
    exhibit which delineates what documents we had
6
7
    available to us.
        Again, the other question I had here is now you are
8
    aware that Clement Hipple prepared an inventory of the
9
    SCI access -- assets you foreclosed on? This is a very
10
    important report because this is what I foreclosed on.
11
    I can't understand why -- I know it was in the -- prior
12
    to your -- prior to you redoing your report. All
13
14
    right, hold on for one minute.
               (Pause in proceedings.)
15
        So, basically, you're saying you did not see it
16
    before you did your report, is that correct?
17
        If you're referring to Exhibit D52, the first time
18
19
    I've --
20
        Yes.
    0
        -- ever seen that is --
21
22
        No?
    Q
        -- two minutes ago.
23
```

Fine. You would agree with me that one of the

issues is -- in this case is how much Mr. Hipple

```
300
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
   realized out of the assets that he took possession of
   October 10 -- October 2010, correct?
3
        Yes.
   Α
        And the answer to that question is that he took the
4
   equivalent cash value of those assets, right, cash
5
6
   value?
        Generally, yes.
7
   A
        Going back to the inventory, you and Mr. Berkowitz
8
   made the specific decision that you would -- you were
9
   not going to get involved in performing an evaluation
10
    of what was on the inventory, is that correct?
11
        Let me back up. At the time I did my report, I was
12
    not aware of that inventory sheet. That's the way I
13
    testified. That's the way I testified at my
14
    deposition. I was not aware of that. Had I been aware
15
    of that, I would have incorporated it into the report,
16
    and I was not aware of that inventory and, therefore,
17
    did not include it.
18
        This is a very important document. All right.
19
    Let's go to 30-21 of your deposition.
20
              (Pause in proceedings.)
21
        Page 30?
22
    Α
        Yeah, page -- yeah, 30-21. Let me -- let me see it
23
    first.
24
               (Pause in proceedings.)
25
```

```
301
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
        I have it.
1
   Α
       Okay. Can you read it?
2
              (Pause in proceedings.)
3
        Go ahead. I'll read it. "Well" --
4
   Q
        I can read it. I wasn't clear what --
5
   Α
        Okay.
6
   0
        Question -- and you just tell me where to stop.
7
   Line 21. "Question: "Well, did Mr. Berkowitz tell you
8
    that?
9
                        "I have a general recollection that
              "Answer:
10
    we had a discussion about what to include in the
11
    calculation of value at the time we did it, and because
12
    there was a representation from your client that it was
13
    a relatively small amount of inventory that had been
14
    transferred, that we decided not to get involved in
15
    trying to do a separate valuation of the inventory as
16
    part of the calculation of value.
17
              "Question: Prior to preparing your report,
18
    did you have any conversations with Teresa Hipple
19
    directly?
20
              "Answer: No."
21
        No further. Okay. So, basically, you knew about
    Q
22
    -- I mean Mr. Berkowitz knew that the report was there?
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, objection.
24
              THE COURT: Sustained. Okay.
25
```

```
302
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
1
              (Pause in proceedings.)
2
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
        Okay. And you were never, ever provided the
    inventory before preparing your report, correct?
4
5
    Α
        Correct.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
6
        Okay, here we go. Now, the opinion -- the opinion
7
   you give in this action is that based on the records
8
    available and the stated assumptions, a reasonable --
9
    reasonably equivalent value for the transfer of SCIX
10
    assets as of October 13th, 2010, would have been 1.75
11
12
    million?
13
        Correct.
    Α
        Okay. Your opinion explicitly references SCIX
14
    assets as of October 13th, 2010, right?
15
16
        Yes.
    Α
        These would have been assets belonging to SCIX as
17
    of the date -- of that date, right? The date of
18
    October 13th, 2010?
19
20
        Yes.
    Α
        But at the time you prepared your report, you had
21
    no understanding of what the hard assets SCIX had just
22
    prior to the transfer that is the subject of this
23
    litigation, main subject of this litigation?
24
    A You'll recall my earlier discussion, okay. I had
25
```

Mr. Geisser - Recross

no balance sheet. We had no balance sheet information, so when you talk about assets and liabilities, as the case may be, the place that you look in the financial statements is the balance sheet. We had no balance sheet, so it was not possible for me to begin to

There were no Quickbooks produced, there were no financial statements including a balance sheet. The only thing that we had back in 2010 period, as reflected on Exhibit A and B of my report, was Brian Hipple's schedule C, which is in the form of an income statement used for tax purposes.

(Pause in proceedings.)

evaluate a balance sheet.

- Q You did not know how much inventory SCIX had as of the date, right? Correct?
- A Correct. At the time -- and I'll refer you back to the passage that we just read out of my deposition that there was a representation to me that there was a very small amount of physical assets that were taken at that time. And because that was a representation I understood to be the case, we put that aside and said hey, let's focus on the cash flows that are being generated out of this enterprise.

It was only later that I became aware that there was a list of assets that were actually

Mr. Geisser - Recross 304 transferred at the time -- at that time in October of 2010, and at that point in time, I said this is 2 relevant to the overall evaluation process, most 3 particularly when you're dealing with readily saleable 5 inventory. Right. And you keep using the terminology in your 6 mind, "small amount of inventory," is that correct? 7 8 I've heard you say it quite a few times. That was what I understood to be the case and 9 that's why I wasn't particularly concerned about it at 10 the time we approached it using the cash flows. I 11 didn't think there was information available that would 12 be useful. I didn't have a balance sheet. I'm 13 repeating myself, but I didn't have a balance sheet. 14 And you did not know what SCI account receivables 15 were as of October 13th, is that correct? 16 17 That's correct. MR. HIPPLE: I'm going as fast as I can, Your 18 19 Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. HIPPLE: All right? Because these are 21 just -- I just want to get these questions into the 22 record. 23 BY MR. HIPPLE: 24 And you did not know the fair value -- market value 25

```
305
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
    of the equipment, computers, furniture, packing
1
    material, and/or any car SCIX had in its possession as
    of October 13, correct?
3
        Correct, because I had no balance sheet. I had no
4
    list of assets, and that's why we went to the cash flow
5
6
    process.
              MR. HIPPLE: I know Your Honor wants to get
7
    out of here, so if it's just a yes or no answer, we'll
8
    go yes or no, okay?
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
10
        Are you -- and you never saw a customer list,
11
    correct?
12
        Correct.
13
    Α
        And you do not know what, if any, liens existed on
14
    the assets of SCIX as of October 13th, correct?
15
        Correct, because we had no balance sheet.
16
        And you did not perform any UCC search for SCIX
17
    prior to preparing your report, correct?
18
19
    Α
        Correct.
        And you did not perform any judgment search for
20
    SCIX prior to preparing your report, correct?
21
        Correct.
22
    Α
        And you did not take into consideration that
23
    Clement Hipple claimed he had a security interest in
24
```

the assets of SCIX, correct? Or no, once -- okay.

Correct?

A Correct, and I would have expected if that was going to be an issue, that I would have seen that in a rebuttal report, but there was nothing in the rebuttal report that addressed that.

Q Okay. And prior to coming to you opinion in this case, you did not know the circumstances surrounding the security interest Clement Hipple took in SCIX assets, fair?

A Correct, that was not something that I took into consideration.

Q And with respect to the debt owed by SCIX to Clement Hipple that is the subject of this litigation and any opinion as to whether that debt was valid or invalid would fall outside the scope of your engagement, correct?

MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm going to object to the question, but I --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BERKOWITZ: -- think --

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

MR. HIPPLE: No?

THE COURT: I'm sure the plaintiff is going to say, I believe, that your debt is not the subject of this litigation. It may be an issue, but it's not the

```
307
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
             Is that part of your objection?
1
   subject.
2
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes.
3
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Sure, Your Honor.
4
5
              THE COURT: So I'll sustain the objection.
              MR. HIPPLE: What's that mean?
6
              THE COURT: That means he can't answer that
7
8
    question unless you rephrase it in some way.
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. All right, let's see if I
9
10
   can try to rephrase it.
11
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
       All right, go to the -- yeah, 49-16. Page 49-16.
12
              (Pause in proceedings.)
13
        Do you want me to read the question?
14
   Α
15
        Yeah, 16.
   0
        "Questions: With respect to whether or not the
16
   debt owed by SCIX to Clem Hipple was valid or invalid,
17
    is it fair to say that providing an opinion on that
18
    issue was outside the scope of your assignment?"
19
              "Answer: I agree, correct."
20
        Okay. Now go to 50-4. Keep going. I'm sorry.
21
    I'm sorry, keep going until you get to 50-4.
22
        "Question: Is it fair to say you have no opinion
23
    on that particular issue?
24
              "Answer: It's outside the scope of my
25
```

```
308
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
                 I have no opinion, correct."
   assignment.
2
       Okay.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
3
       Now, you knew at the time that you attributed a
4
   $1.75 million valuation of the assets of SCIX as of
5
   October 13th, 2010, you understood that SCIX had three
6
   patents in its name, right? Correct?
7
        I had a general understanding there was a patented
8
   product. I did not do a separate evaluation of what
   patents were in place.
10
        In other words, you didn't know that -- you were
11
   never told that there were three patents when you were
12
   doing a valuation of the corporation?
13
        I believe my report makes specific reference to it
14
   being a patented product. I don't think I put the
15
   number three next to it.
16
       But if I told you it was originally three, you
17
   don't remember that part?
18
        I think I answered the question.
19
        Okay. Mr. Berkowitz told you -- told you that,
   Q
20
   right, about the patents?
21
        Yes, I believe the complaint and the pleadings talk
22
    about the patents. So this was general -- this was
23
    information that was in the -- within the information I
24
   considered.
25
```

```
309
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
        And you relied upon the statement that Mr.
   Berkowitz told you, correct?
        What statement are you referring to?
3
        That there were patents.
4
        I think I just answered that question. I think it
5
    was in the pleadings.
6
        And those three patents are documented, listed on
7
    the documents -- considered page of your report, right,
8
9
    your Exhibit H, item N.
              MR. HIPPLE: I'm moving, Your Honor, as best
10
11
    as I can.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
12
              THE WITNESS: I'm looking at Exhibit H to my
13
    report, which is entitled "Documents Considered."
14
    There's nine items that are listed. I don't see any
15
    reference to patents in that list, specifically.
16
    There's certainly reference to pleadings in that list,
17
    but it doesn't reflect patents, the word, "patents."
18
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
19
        If you go down to item N, it says SCIX patents,
20
21
    with an S.
        Did you say item N, as in Nancy?
22
        N, as in Nancy, yes.
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I could help?
24
              THE COURT: Sure.
25
```

```
310
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I think there's a page in
1
    front of that, Mr. Geisser. The documents --
2
              THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Thanks.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- considered -- these were
4
5
    the documents --
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
6
7
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- you considered.
8
              THE WITNESS: Right. Oh, I see, I'm sorry.
    There was two pages. I was looking at the first page
9
10
    only.
11
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        And it has an S on it, meaning more than one
12
13
    patent, is that correct?
        Well, to be -- to be clear about it, the document
14
    that I'm looking at is "Exhibits in Support of
15
    Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief, " and there's
16
    exhibits listed from one through item Q. Those
17
    documents were provided to me as a group.
18
        Right. But you would have read -- you would have
19
    read them, correct?
20
        I certainly went through them or my staff went
21
    through them, one of the two of us.
22
        So then you would have had knowledge that there was
23
    more than one patent because this says "patents,"
24
25
    correct?
```

```
311
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
        I don't remember what I knew at the time I did my
1
   Α
2
   report.
        Okay. But you do remember reading that, right?
3
        Remember reading what?
4
        That section where it says "patents."
5
        I had those documents. I don't have a specific
6
   recollection of reading those documents right now, two
7
8
   years after the fact.
        So, basically, there's a possibility you did not
9
    read the documents?
10
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Objection. I'm going to
11
    object to the relevance of this --
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- line of questioning.
14
              THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
15
              MR. HIPPLE: What was that?
16
              THE COURT: Sustained. He has to ask a new
17
18
    question.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
19
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
20
        In fact, you assumed that Steel Seal Pro was using
21
    the patent when you considered the company's cash flow
22
    in 2011, correct?
23
        It was not really important to my analysis.
24
    doing a calculated value based on the cash flows and
25
```

```
312
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
   distributions that were being taken out by the
1
2
   controlling principals.
3
        82-22.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
4
5
        To 83.4.
    Q
        Page 82, line 22?
6
        Yes, right, all the way up to 83.4.
7
        Page 82, line 22: "Okay. So when you were looking
8
    at the cash flow in 2011 when the business is being
9
    operated under Steel Seal Pro, are you assuming that
10
11
    the business is using those patents?
              "Answer: Yes, by virtue of the product being
12
           We have a continuous stream of products being
13
    sold here, uninterrupted stream of product sales,
14
    whether it was with SCIX or Steel Seal Pro or Complete
15
    Group or Triple D Management" -- I think she means
16
    Triple B Management -- "we had a continuous revenue
17
    derived from the sale of the same product. My
18
    understanding is further that the product had patent --
19
    a patent -- four patents associated with it."
20
        Thank you. And for the purposes of determining
21
    fair market value of a business, the fact that the
22
    product is patent would be a factor considering,
23
    correct -- considered, correct?
24
```

If I was doing an opinion of value, it's a factor I

313

may consider, sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Pause in proceedings.)

And it is fair to say that you placed additional value on the Steel Seal product to the extent it had patents because that shut out other sellers of the Steel Seal product, correct?

I used the information about patents as background material only in the sense I was trying to get an understanding of what the nature of the business was. From my standpoint, in doing a calculated value, what was important to me was what is the cash flow that's been generated out of this business.

Whether it had a patent or not is only relevant to the amount of cash flow that it generates and, also, it's being sold at a higher profit margin than we're talking about. Typically, when you have patents you have high profit margins.

That's -- let me give you a classic example, like in the drug industry. You know you can have a very high profit margin in the drug industry because you have patents that have a given useful life to them, and that justifies that high margin.

And so that when I'm looking at the numbers associated with this particular product I see that there's a very high profit margin, which says to me

Ø

```
314
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
    that there's probably a limited number or no other
1
    competing products in this particular space that we're
2
3
    dealing with.
       All right, I just have one more question before we
4
    go to the deposition. Basically, and from a company
5
    standpoint, right, and I can understand any company can
6
    have a high profit margin, as long as it has sales that
7
    can cover its overhead and its expenses, correct?
8
9
        Correct.
        Okay. All right, let's go to 86.19, 86-19.
10
11
        Page 86, line 19.
12
        19.
    0
        "Question: So is it fair to say that there is an
13
    enhanced value to the Steel Seal product because it is
14
    patented, as compared to if it wasn't patented?
15
              "Answer: To the extent other sellers are
16
    shut out of that -- the ability to sell that product,
17
    there's additional value associated with that."
18
        Okay. And you took that -- you took enhanced value
19
    into consideration in your evaluation, correct?
20
        Mr. Hipple, again, I'll repeat myself that it's
21
    reflected in the cash flows, which was at the focus of
22
    the evaluation that we did. We did not separately
23
    value the patents that are involved in this matter.
24
```

Okay. Let's go to 87-4.

```
315
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
1
       I have it.
   Α
2
       Question?
        "Question: Okay. And did you take that into
3
   consideration in your valuation?
4
                        I valued the stream of income that
5
              "Answer:
   was associated with that."
6
              THE COURT: Do you know you're doing this
7
    improperly? You're asking questions, you're getting
8
    the answer you want, and then you're reading the
9
    deposition where he previously said the same thing.
10
              There's nothing inconsistent. You only use
11
    the deposition if he says something inconsistent than
12
    what he's saying today. But he's telling you -- he's
13
    giving you the answer that you expect, and then you're
14
    just reading the deposition which is just reaffirming
15
    what he said here today.
16
              MR. HIPPLE: Well --
17
              THE COURT: So, only if he says something
18
    different in the deposition than what he says today can
19
    you read the deposition.
20
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. But I can just go
21
    directly to the deposition if I want?
22
              THE COURT: Well, to speed things up, I'll
23
    let you do that, yeah. Typically, you can't do that,
24
```

but I'll allow you to do that.

```
316
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
1
              (Pause in proceedings.)
2
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
3
        And that -- and that the enhancement value would
4
    assume that the patents were active as of October 13,
5
    2010, correct?
6
        I'm repeating myself. We evaluated the cash flow
7
             We did not separately evaluate the patents.
8
        But if a patent is expired, it has no value,
9
10
    correct?
        I can't speak to that issue.
11
        But, you did not investigate whether any of the
12
    three SCIX patents you referred to in your report were
13
    active or expired as of the date -- never mind, let me
14
    just go to the deposition. Excuse me. 80.7.
15
              (Pause in proceedings.)
16
        Page 80, line seven.
17
    Α
        Seven.
                To 81-9.
18
    0
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm going to object. I
19
    believe this question has been asked before. It's
20
    talking about Exhibit H, which I believe then refers to
21
    item N, which are the documents on the list --
22
                           That were produced --
              THE COURT:
23
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- that were previously asked
24
    that Mr. --
25
```

```
FORM 2094 🚷 PENGAD • 1-860-631-8389 • www.pengad.ccm
```

```
317
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              THE COURT: They came after --
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- Geisser used.
2
              THE COURT: It was on the sum -- it was
3
    attached to the summary judgment motion?
4
5
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes.
              THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the
6
7
    objection.
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay, we'll go on, Your Honor.
8
              (Pause in proceedings.)
9
              MR. HIPPLE: All right.
10
11
              (Pause in proceedings.)
12
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        I direct your attention to Exhibit E-3, page four,
13
14
    dated 2-01-12, check 531, SCIX expense.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry.
15
              THE WITNESS: You got to tell --
16
17
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        Okay, this is complicated, okay? E-3, the section
18
    E-3 -- I mean --
19
    A E-3 of my report?
20
        Yes. Page four. Page four dated February 1st,
21
    2012, check number 531.
22
               (Pause in proceedings.)
23
              THE COURT: All right, do you have a
24
25
    question?
```

```
MR. HIPPLE: I think it says 537.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: What page of the grid? THE
    COURT:
            Page four.
 1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Page four?
 2
              THE COURT: I think it's entry 2-1-12.
 3
    What's your question.
 4
              MR. HIPPLE: It's 537. No, but I'm sorry, I
5
    gave you the wrong number.
6
              THE COURT: What's your question.
7
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, it's gone to this
8
    disbursements -- distributions I mean.
9
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm sorry, which -- I don't
10
    see the check we're talking about.
11
              MR. HIPPLE: 537 on 2-1 for $300 down towards
12
    the bottom, SCIX expenses.
13
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Check 537?
14
              MR. HIPPLE: Yep.
15
              MR. BERKOWITZ: For $300?
16
              MR. HIPPLE: Yep.
17
              THE COURT: What's the question?
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Eastern and Grey, is that --
19
              THE COURT: What's the question?
20
              MR. BERKOWITZ: -- what you're saying?
21
              MR. HIPPLE: It's in distribution, yes.
22
              THE COURT: What's the question? What's the
23
24
```

25

expense?

## Mr. Geisser - Recross 319 1 question? 2 MR. HIPPLE: It shouldn't be in distribution. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Geisser? 4 THE WITNESS: Check -- just to be clear about 5 this, check 537 for \$300 has a designation on it, it 6 says "Payee SCIX Expense," and we have it classified as 7 a distribution. I would have to take a look at the 8 check itself to be able to answer that directly. 9 I suspect that probably Bob Heit (ph), my 10 associated who prepared this, probably looked at the 11 check and made a determination that it was not an 12 expense item, that it was in the nature of a 13 distribution. I don't know without looking at the 14 check. It's possible that it went into a personal bank 15 account. I just don't know why it was classified as a 16 distribution. 17 MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, can I raise an 18 objection --19 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BERKOWITZ: -- just to help move these 20 things along? Mr. Geisser, if you look at the top of a 21 22 page, this is a Steel Seal Pro bank account and you 23 list -- that appears to be an SCIX expense. Now, is

that a business expense for Steel Seal if it's an SCIX

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross 320

THE WITNESS: Facially, it would seem not to

be.

MR. BERKOWITZ: So you treated that $300 as a
```

MR. BERKOWITZ: So you treated that \$300 as a distribution because it paid an SCIX bill?

THE WITNESS: I don't know right now as I sit here. I -- you know, if we pulled the check out, I physically looked at it, I might be in a position to answer the question better.

I know the process that we used that. I work closely with Bob Heit from my often who did these underlying schedules. Bob is pretty careful about the way he classifies things. We probably talked about it, and there was probably a reason why we classified this as such, because if you look at that page, I'm looking at page four now, of all the items that are listed on that page, there's only two items that are listed as distributions, one is to A&C Builders for \$9,000, the other is the \$300 check.

So in terms of the classification process that Bob Heit went through, and he's the person who physically did this under my supervision, 95 percent of these are classified as expense. It was only those two items that are classified as distributions.

So I can't -- right now as I sit here, I can't give you an answer to that, but I know the

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                          321
 1
    process is looking at the check, looking at the
    information that appears on the check, and making a
 2
 3
    judgment about whether it's expense or not expense.
 4
              THE COURT: Next question, please.
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
5
6
        You are not aware -- you are not aware as to
7
    whether SCIX, LLC, was formally dissolved after October
8
    13th, 2010?
9
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Objection, relevance.
10
              THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
11
              THE WITNESS:
                            I'm not aware of the corporate
12
    restructuring that went on. My perspective, as I said
13
    in the report, is that I have one continuous business
14
    from the beginning of my study to the end of my study,
15
    it's selling the same product, and it's making
    distributions, and that's the approach that I took.
16
17
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        And that's your -- that's your opinion then?
18
19
        Yes, sir, that's my opinion.
20
        Okay. Again, you don't know one way or another --
    well, that's the same question, right, so you did
21
22
    answer that.
23
              You are not aware of any agreement that would
24
    have precluded SCIX, LLC. from continuing to sell Steel
    Seal product after October 13th?
25
```

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                           322
    Α
        I'm sorry, can you repeat the question, please?
1
2
               It says and you are not aware of any
3
    agreement that would have precluded SCIX from
    continuing to sell the Steel Seal product after October
4
5
    13th, 2010?
6
        No.
7
        We were -- you were not provided any agreement
8
    containing a restricted covenant precluding either SCIX
9
    or Brian Hipple from marketing or selling the Steel
10
    Seal product, correct?
11
        Correct.
12
               (Pause in proceedings.)
13
        Are you aware of any or all entities that may have
14
    licensing rights to sell the patented Steel Seal
15
    product?
16
    A
        No.
        You do not know one way or another if Scientific
17
    Chemical has any license for SCIX or another entity to
18
    market or manufacture the Steel Seal product?
19
20
    Α
        No, that's not something I considered.
        Okay. And, in fact, you have not seen any
21
    exclusivity agreement or other document granted Mr.
22
    Hipple, B.B.B., Complete Group, or Steel Seal, LLC, the
23
    exclusive right to sell the Steel Seal product -- I'm
24
    sorry, wait a minute. Don't answer that.
25
```

25

product?

```
323
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
    deposition, if we --
1
              MR. BERKOWITZ: I'm going to object to the
2
    question, Your Honor. Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, which
3
    Mr. Hipple testified to, is the license agreement from
4
    his company, Complete Group, to his son's company,
5
    Steel Seal Pro, which is an exclusive license between
6
    the two pertaining to the sale of Steel Seal.
7
              THE COURT: All right, I'll overrule the
8
    objection. Go ahead, you can answer the question.
9
    ahead.
10
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
11
        Okay. Deposition 226-6, 17.
12
              (Pause in proceedings.)
13
        Page 226, line 17.
14
    Α
        No, I -- no, line six, I'm sorry.
15
    Q
        Line six.
    Α
16
    Q
        To line 17.
17
        "Question: Sure. Let me ask you a different
18
    question. Have you seen an exclusivity agreement or
19
    any other document that grants Mr. Hipple or Triple B
20
    or Complete Group or Steel Seal, LLC, or Steel Seal Pro
21
    the exclusive right -- strike that -- not Steel Seal
22
    Pro, the other defendants, the exclusive right to
23
```

manufacture, market, and sell the Steel Seal

```
324
                     Mr. Geisser - Recross
              "Answer: No."
1
        And you have not seen any information that would
2
    evidence that any of those entities have the exclusive
3
    right to market, manufacture, or sell the Steel Seal
4
5
    Product -- sorry again.
              MR. HIPPLE: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
6
              THE COURT: Well, you asked the question, so
7
8
    let's see what he says.
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
9
              THE COURT: You may not have to read the
10
11
    deposition.
              THE WITNESS: Well, I think he's continuing
12
13
   with --
14
              THE COURT: Oh.
              THE WITNESS: -- line 18.
15
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
              THE WITNESS: Is that correct, Mr. Hipple,
17
    just to clarify? Line 18 --
18
   BY MR. HIPPLE:
19
        No, this is information. This is different.
20
    asking you, and you have not seen any information that
21
    would evidence that any of those entities have the
22
    exclusive right to market, manufacture, or sell the
23
    Steel Seal Product?
24
    A As I said on line 24, "Answer: "I haven't seen any
25
```

```
325
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
    documentation like that, no."
1
2
        Thank you.
3
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Taking a quick look at those individuals and the
4
5
    entities on page -- Exhibit D to your report,
    identifying as payees, they include A&C Building and
6
    Industrial Maintenance, American Express, Brian Hipple,
7
8
    Cash, Chase, Credit Card, Citizen Card, Clement Hipple,
    Friends School, Harrison Law Firm, American Honda
9
    Finance, Corporate" --
10
              THE COURT: You don't have to read it all.
11
    We know what the exhibit is.
12
13
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. I'm sorry, Your Honor.
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
14
        Did I read that correctly?
15
    Q
    Α
        No.
16
        Now for the purpose of your calculation of seller's
17
    discretionary earnings, you included as seller's
18
    earnings, discretionary earnings each and every payment
19
    made to all of these entities from October 2009 to
20
    February 2013, correct?
21
        I included the items that are listed in Exhibit D
22
23
    and supported by the underlying documents that define
    that in Exhibits D-1, 2, and 3. That's the answer to
24
    the question.
25
```

326

- Q All right. Now for the purpose of your
- 2 calculations, you were told to do that by Mr.
- 3 Berkowitz, right?

- 4 A "That," meaning what?
- 5 Q In other words, Mr. Berkowitz told you to identify
- 6 all of these separately, all of these entities?
- 7 A Well, it's something we certainly talked about. We
- 8 talked about going through and identifying the items
- 9 that would be considered distributions in nature, and
- 10 | we talked about various specific ones.
- 11 Q Let me rephrase the question, okay? Did Mr.
- 12 Berkowitz specifically give you names in reference to
- 13 this paragraph?
- 14 A In reference to Exhibit D?
- 15 Q The names I just read that you have on this page,
- 16 | did Mr. Berkowitz specifically give you those names to
- 17 | sort?
- 18 A We certainly discussed these names because in the
- 19 beginning, I didn't know who A&C Building and
- 20 Industrial Maintenance was.
- 21 | Q Okay. So --
- 22 | A He explained that to me, certainly.
- 23 Q So then, basically, he gave you the names?
- 24 A He gave me some of the background names, and what
- 25 we typically do when we analyze a bank account, we have

327

that in digital format, so we'll sort that, right, and once it gets sorted, then there's names that fall out alphabetically and we can group them.

And then I would sit down with my staff member, Mr. Heit, probably sat down with Mr. Berkowitz, and we said these are the names that are in the checking account. Tell -- you know, let's talk about the ones that are relevant to the distribution process. And we probably went through that type of a process and came out with names and we talked about why they were included or excluded as the case may be. So it's a process of iteration -- it's an iterative process. We're going step-by-step-by-step to come up with the final answer.

- Q Okay. Question, prior to looking at the bank accounts, okay, can you identify on this sheet which names Mr. Berkowitz told you?
- A He probably -- we probably talked about ones like A&C Building and Industrial Maintenance.
- Q Okay, that's one. Hold on for a minute. Go ahead.

  Did you talk about American Express?
- 22 A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 23 | Q Brian Hipple?
- 24 A Sure, he was certainly involved in this.
- 25 Q Buckingham Friends School?

Α

Yes.

THE COURT: What does this matter, the fact that Mr. Berkowitz spoke to him about this?

MR. HIPPLE: Well --

THE COURT: What's the point of this? You're going to go through all of this. What's the point?

MR. HIPPLE: The point is that he was told what to look for.

THE COURT: What's your response to that?

THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, I mean we can -- we have discussion about things that are relevant. Mr.

Berkowitz has the advantage of having sat through a number of depositions. He can begin to identify people like I'll just say by way of example, Melissa Moreno, probably sat down and explained to me what the connection of Melissa Moreno was to this picture.

Those are the kinds of things that we would typically talk about.

MR. HIPPLE: Okay. But the other reason, Your Honor, is that a lot of -- a lot of these costs here and all are actually expenses, okay? And I can explain that as we go on, okay?

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HIPPLE: That's the purpose of the question. They're not what to call -- give away money

```
329
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
1
    or whatever the word, seller's discretionary --
2
              THE COURT: All right, well, you'll have a
3
    chance in your case to show that.
4
               (Pause in proceedings.)
5
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
        You did not deem payments made to the employer of
6
7
    SCIX and Steel Seal Pro as SDE earnings, correct?
        I'm sorry, you're going to have to repeat that
8
    question.
        Okay. You did not deem payments made to the
10
11
    employees of SCIX and Steel Seal Pro as SDE earnings?
12
        Payments that were made to employees were not part
13
    of SDE, in other words, distributions, that's correct.
14
        SDE earnings.
    Q
15
        That would be an operating expense.
16
    Q
        Correct?
17
    Α
        Correct.
18
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Because worked performed by them necessary to
19
    operate -- operation of the business, correct?
20
        Typically, a business has employees that perform
21
22
    services and are paid wages, correct.
        And you did not talk to any of the employees of
23
    SCIX or Steel Seal about their work they performed or
24
    the reason for the checks they received, correct?
25
```

330

- 2 Q Similarly, a reimbursement of a business expense
- 3 also would be legitimate business expense and not SDE,
- 4 | right?

Α

1

- 5 A If it was a legitimate expense of the business,
- 6 it's an expense.

Correct.

- 7 | Q Okay. If I own a business and I drive my car for
- 8 | business, I have to make deliveries with my car, okay,
- 9 that's a business expense, is that not correct?
- 10 A Based on the way you described it, yes.
- 11 Q Yes. So the Volvo you put down here, did you
- 12 consider that maybe Brian made deliveries every day and
- 13 | needed a car for business expense?
- 14 | A My understanding was that that was -- that that was
- 15 | a personal car.
- 16 | Q Where did you get that understanding?
- 17 | A Probably from Mr. Berkowitz.
- 18 Q Oh, Mr. Berkowitz told you that that was a personal
- 19 | car?
- 20 A I'm imagining that's the case, yeah. I believe
- 21 that to be the case. I mean there may have been
- 22 | something else at the time, but I don't have anything
- 23 that comes to mind right now. And keep -- I have to
- 24 keep in mind in this case that what you -- what you
- 25 | said is important, is it used in the delivery process?

business expense?

Hypothetically, yes.

24

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                          331
    This is a product that is shipped, right?
1
2
        No, it's --
3
        You take -- let me finish. You take orders over
    the internet and there's a process where they're pretty
4
    into the mails, I understand it, and shipped around the
5
6
    world.
7
        Right.
8
        That -- so it's not some kind of a home delivery
    operation that we're talking about.
9
        Well --
10
11
        So, you know, when we automatically -- there's a
    lot of people that automatically make assumption that
12
    if I drive my car from my house to the business, that
13
14
    that's a business car. That can be a point of some
15
    discussion, but I don't -- I'm not suggesting that I
    went through that level of analysis. My understanding
16
    was that it was a personal expense and I classified it
17
    accordingly.
18
19
        Right. But, again, supposing that car was used to
    take the packages everyday to the post office and to go
20
    to the post office to pick up different things and
21
    products, and to go to the Staples to get different
22
23
    products for -- to do the packing, would that not be a
```

1 Q Okay.

(Pause in proceedings.)

Q And you would agree that where money borrowed was a normal business expense needed for the business to operate, financial receivables, that such payments on that loan would be non-discretionary? I'm sorry. Is that correct?

Would you agree that where money borrowed was

a normal business expense needed for the business to operate, financial receivables, that such payment on the loan would be non-discretionary, right?

A If it's a part of a business, there's lines of credit that are used to finance things on an ongoing basis, and it's part and parcel of the normal flow of the product and of the business. That could be

Now, I differentiate that from capitalization. If we have debts on the books that are non-operating, non-operating debt, that's totally different because you have to keep in mind what we're valuing here. The valuation that we're doing is the ability of this product to generate a revenue stream.

considered an operating expense.

As I said earlier in my testimony, you could have a company that has a lot of debt on the books on it that has nothing to do -- that's nothing to do with

25

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                          333
1
    the operation of that product. That's excluded from
2
    the SDE considerations.
3
              If you're talking about something more
    limited that's fundamental to the way the business
4
    operates, and let me give you an example. If we're
5
6
    talking about you have to incur bank fees in order to
7
    process things through credit cards, that's a normal
8
    operating expense. There's a certain finance charge
    that's associated with that. That's certainly not --
9
    that's an operating expense. That's not what we're
10
11
    talking about here.
              But if we're talking about debt that sits
12
13
    apart from the business itself, that is -- a
14
    distribution like that is -- can be considered SDE
    because it's financing the underlying capital of the
15
    business.
16
17
        Okay. Give me one moment, please.
              (Pause in proceedings.)
18
        And what about payments to use a website.
19
    product is sold on the website, but website owned by
20
    another, and payment to use the website would be a
21
    legal business expense, a legitimate business expense?
22
    All right, let me read it again.
23
```

And what about payments to use a website? If product sold on a website, but website owned by

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                           334
 1
    another, and payments to use the website would be
 2
    legitimate expense, correct?
 3
        Yes.
 4
        Well, assuming that without the payment and no
 5
    right to use the website? In other words, if there was
 6
    no payment, they wouldn't have no right to use the
 7
    website, right?
 8
    A
        Presumably.
 9
        No -- if needed a -- if needed website to advertise
10
    and sell the product, payments to use the website would
11
    be a business expense and not SDE, right?
12
        Correct.
    Α
13
        Okay.
    Q
14
              MR. HIPPLE: This is all going somewhere,
15
    Your Honor,
16
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
17
        And payments made to marketing companies to help
18
    the business, marketing companies, okay, improve hits
    on the internet, paid ads for this website businesses,
19
20
    those would not constitute seller discretionary
21
    earnings, is that correct?
22
        You're describing a business expense that sounds
    like it's a legitimate business expense.
23
        Right. In other words, if I have to pay for paid
24
25
    ads to get my name of Steel Seal on the site, that's a
```

```
335
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
    business expense, right?
1
2
        Agreed. Yes.
        If I have a company, SEO Company, and they do let's
3
    web site business for me, that's a business expense,
4
    correct?
5
6
        SEO?
        I forget what SEO stands for, but it's a company
7
    that helps out for supporting of the website. That's a
8
    business expense.
        If you say it's a business expense, it's a business
10
11
    expense.
12
               (Pause in proceedings.)
        Testify that -- testified that deemed each of
13
14
    Teresa, Clement, Brian, and Melissa and any companies
    owned by them as insiders, but you have no personal
15
    knowledge as to how -- as to who owned each of the
16
    various corporation -- corporate defendants during 2009
17
    through 2012? Did I read that right?
18
              (Pause in proceedings.)
19
        I'm sorry, that's a -- that's a complex question.
20
    I'm not sure I can answer it.
21
        All right. Let me take a little bit of it, all
22
            Testified that deemed each of Teresa, Clement,
23
    Brian, and Melissa, and any company owned by them as
24
    insiders, that's half of the question.
```

Okay.

Q

Α

24

25

# 336 Mr. Geisser - Recross 1 Α Agreed. But you have no personal knowledge as to owners --2 who owned each of the various corporations -- corporate 3 defendants during 2009 through 2012? 4 Other than what was in the pleadings. 5 6 And what was that? 7 THE COURT: Well, he's not going to recite the whole pleadings, but whatever is in the pleadings, 8 9 that's what he relied upon. 10 BY MR. HIPPLE: And, in fact, you also did not perform any 11 12 investigation to determine who owned or where the 13 offices or directors of the various corporations --14 corporate defendants, correct? I don't understand that question. You're going to have to read it back. 16 17 Well, it's better if he reads. Okay. And, in 18 fact, you also did not perform any investigation to determine who owned or where the offices or directors 19 of the various corporation -- corporate defendants? 20 other words, did you do an investigation on any of the 21 corporate defendants? 22 No. 23 Α

Other than to know that they were insiders, they

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                           337
 1
    were related parties. What's important here is this
 2
    is -- this is a series of company that have a lot of
 3
    related parties. And, as accountants and valuators,
 4
    we're sensitized to related parties.
 5
               Now, that's an important factor when we
 6
    address a valuation project or forensic accounting
 7
    project. So we -- as a basic step in terms of what
 8
    we're doing, we try to identify who are the related
 9
    parties.
10
        You did not speak with any of the employees of any
11
    of the corporate defendants, right?
12
        Correct.
13
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Objection, asked and
14
    answered. I'm sorry.
15
              THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
16
    BY MR. HIPPLE:
17
        And you did not have any conversation with the
    plaintiffs -- plaintiff, Ms. Concepcione, right?
19
        Correct.
20
        And you did not review plaintiff's deposition prior
21
    to preparing your report, right?
22
    Α
        Correct.
23
        And you did not review and depose -- all right.
24
    And you did not review the deposition of Mr. Clement
25
    Hipple before preparing your report?
```

```
FORM 2094 🚯 PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com
```

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                            338
 1
    Α
         Correct.
 2
         And you did not review Melissa Moreno's deposition,
 3
    right?
 4
    Α
         Correct.
        And you did not consider the answers to plaintiff's
 5
 6
    complaint, right?
 7
               (Pause in proceedings.)
 8
         Referring to my Exhibit H, documents considered, I
 9
    would say no.
10
        Okay.
11
               (Pause in proceedings.)
12
        And what about the defendants' response to
13
    plaintiff's interrogatories or requests for admission?
    Did you consider any of that discovery?
14
15
               (Pause in proceedings.)
16
    Α
        Yes.
17
    Q
        You did?
18
        It's in the list of documents considered.
19
    looking at Exhibit H, item B, exhibits in support of
20
    motion for plaintiff's for injunctive relief, item B,
    "Response of Clement Hipple, Complete Group, Steel
21
    Seal, Plaintiff's Interrogatories."
22
        Thank you. Just the two, right?
    Q
23
               (Pause in proceedings.)
24
25
    0
        Regardless, you were told by counsel for plaintiff
```

correct?

### Mr. Geisser - Recross 339 1 to assume that Brian Hipple, Clement Hipple, Melissa 2 Moreno, were all owners or insiders of the various corporation -- corporate defendants, right? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 Yes? 6 Yes. But that was not inconsistent with the facts 7 as I understood them. 8 With no factual basis? 9 Well, I -- there's a lot of pleadings here. I mean 10 we use -- we use the pleadings as a basis for, you 11 know, writing the report and developing the analysis. 12 So I think -- and a lot of these are done under oath, 13 so the answer is no, we considered -- in the context of 14 the documents we looked at, we consider that to be 15 accurate representations. 16 (Pause in proceedings.) 17 Directing your attention to the document entitled 18 "Documents Considered" attached to your report, agree 19 that this document -- and I'll wait until you get to 20 the document. 21 I have it. Α 22 Okay. Agree that this document set forth the 23 universe of documents and information you considered in preparing your report and coming to your opinion, 24

340

1 Agreed, unless there was something it inadvertently A 2 overlooked.

Number five on your list of documents, "Documents Considered, " makes reference to summaries of checks, items from bank statements prepared by client, right, and that's what you considered?

Yes. When we were initially approached by Mr. Berkowitz, he had done some preliminary work that I believe were in Excel spreadsheets, and we took those Excel spreadsheets and we incorporated them after our own review of the data into our report.

We listed it that way simply because that's the way it was delivered to us, but that doesn't mean we didn't review it and manipulate the data in a way we thought was appropriate. In fact, I know that we did. We expanded our review much beyond what was initially given to us. So the --

So, basic --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The answer to your question is yes, we received those initial Excel spreadsheets from the client because there's a lot of data entry that's involved in this process. There was no reason not to take that. And then we reviewed it, we edited it, and we manipulated it to a condition that we felt was appropriate.

### Mr. Geisser - Recross 341 1 So, basically, you received Excel spreadsheets from O 2 Mr. Berkowitz that he prepared? 3 My recollection is that we did initially receive Α 4 documentation in that form. 5 Okay. Do you know how many sheets you received? 6 My recollection from two years ago was it was 7 probably some of the Wachovia documents, but I don't 8 remember beyond that. I think that we had to take the 9 other documents and we had them scanned into our 10 systems. We had to manipulate them to derive the 11 information out of the documents in order to be able to 12 digitally manipulate the data. 13 All right. Do you remember the nature of the 14 documents? 15 Well, we had bank statements from Wachovia and we 16 had bank statements from First National Bank of 17 Newtown, so we had the physical documents. 18 recollection is we had the underlying checks to go with 19 those as well. 20 The fact that we had received an initial 21 spreadsheet from Mr. Berkowitz was just preliminary 22 work that he had done. There was no need for us to

re-key the same data, so we utilized that to the extent

that we could in trying to make the process more

25

efficient.

23

```
342
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
 1
        So, basically, what he did, he gave you information
 2
    that he kind of wanted you to follow?
 3
        He gave us information to consider. He had a
4
    certain feeling about the case, a case theory if you
    will, and it's his case to prove. He pointed out
5
6
    certain things to us. We accepted that information for
7
    what it was. We did our own analysis and we came to
    our own conclusions.
8
9
        And you found nothing wrong with the information
10
    that he submitted?
11
        Absolutely, I will not say that. You know, we --
12
    in fact, we had conversations where I said to Mr.
13
    Berkowitz, you know, we don't -- we don't think this is
    sufficient to accomplish what we need to do here, we
14
    need to do additional work, and we did.
16
        Is that -- is that a normal process for the
    attorney to give the expert witness information?
18
        Absolutely.
    Α
19
        It is? Okay.
20
    Α
       Yeah.
21
        I just didn't realize it.
    Q
22
              (Pause in proceedings.)
23
        Did you ever have any communication with Teresa
24
   Hipple about these summaries?
25
        No.
    Α
```

```
343
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
        Did you know that she prepared them?
 1
    Q
 2
        Let's back up. What summaries are we talking
    about?
 3
 4
        I guess the interest summaries, the payment
    summaries, bank statements.
 5
 6
    Α
        As far as I was concerned, it was information that
 7
    came through Mr. Berkowitz. I had no idea whether he
 8
    had -- whether he did it or a paralegal did it or --
 9
    Q
        Okay.
               So --
10
        -- whoever did it. It was really irrelevant as
11
    long as we could rely on it. We determined the
12
    accuracy of the information because we looked at the
13
    bank statements.
              If you look at the supporting documentation
14
15
    that's in this report, you're going to see that we go
    through month-by-month and we tie out beginning
16
17
    balance, pluses, minuses, ending balance, and that we
18
    repeat that process through every one of the bank
    statements so that we know that we were dealing with a
19
20
    complete set of records and we've considered everything
21
    in that universe.
        So, basically, the answer is you didn't know that
22
    Teresa prepared it, right?
23
    Α
        Correct.
24
```

(Pause in proceedings.)

```
FORM 2034 (5) PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com
```

```
344
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
 1
        Accepting that as fact.
    Α
        I think you answered this question, but I'm --
 2
 3
              THE COURT: Well, if he answered it, I don't
 4
    want to hear it again.
 5
              MR. HIPPLE: Your Honor, this document cost
 6
    me about $400,000.
7
              THE COURT: I'm not stopping you. You can
8
    read it.
9
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
10
              THE COURT: You just said you think you asked
11
    it before. I don't want to have you ask the same
12
    question.
13
              MR. HIPPLE: All right.
14
              THE COURT: Now --
15
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
16
              THE COURT: -- go ahead. I'm not inhibiting
17
    you in any way.
18
              MR. HIPPLE: All right.
19
              THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I just -- can we
20
    take a bathroom break?
21
              THE COURT: All right, we'll take a five
    minute break. How much longer do you have? Just tell
22
23
    me.
              MR. HIPPLE: Truthfully, Your Honor, I'm on
24
25
    page 13.
```

```
Mr. Geisser - Recross
                                                          345
 1
              THE COURT: And how many pages is there --
 2
    are there?
 3
              MR. HIPPLE: 39. And the good stuff is
 4
    towards the end I believe.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, look, we're going to
 6
    break then because it sounds like you're going to be at
 7
    least another hour. I'm on a train schedule, so I have
 8
    to -- I'm limited on when I can get home.
 9
              MR. HIPPLE: I can drive you home, Your
10
    Honor.
11
              THE COURT: No, you're not driving me home.
12
    All right, I'll see you tomorrow at 9:00, all right?
13
    We'll just take it from there. I have -- I'm leaving
14
    at 1:00 tomorrow.
15
              MR. HIPPLE: I realize that, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: We're only staying until 1:00.
17
    Okay.
18
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And I've got the three
19
    witnesses under subpoena that have to be through by
20
    1:00 also.
21
              THE COURT: Right. Is one the attorney?
22
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: All right, so we'll need to
24
    discuss that, too, because I haven't ruled on that.
25
    And I got a letter that was copied to you from the law
```

```
346
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
1
    firm objecting.
2
              MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, and they have not
3
    raised a single reason that was not included in the
    motion in limine that they filed with Judge DuBois.
4
              THE COURT: All right, well, we got to have a
5
6
    hearing -- we're going to have to hear a hearing
7
    tomorrow. I want to also hear Mr. Hipple's position as
    to what his position is too, which he hasn't really
8
9
    voiced since he's representing himself now.
10
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: But I'm not going to do that now.
12
    We'll do that tomorrow.
13
              MR. HIPPLE: As far Michael, the attorney?
14
              THE COURT: Yes.
15
              MR. HIPPLE: Yes, I think it's -- oh, you
    don't want to hear it now.
16
17
              THE COURT: No, go ahead. What?
18
              MR. HIPPLE: You don't want to hear it now,
19
    do you?
              THE COURT: No, go ahead.
20
              MR. HIPPLE: I think it -- I think it's
21
22
    outrageous and ridiculous.
              THE COURT: So you oppose him being a
23
    witness?
24
              MR. HIPPLE: Well, because he's just trying
25
```

```
347
                      Mr. Geisser - Recross
    to bring him in because he gave directions to Melissa,
1
    okay, and he spoke with Melissa or somebody gave
2
    direct -- or he gave -- told somebody to give
3
    directions to Melissa to pick up my check, who was the
4
    only person that I could trust.
5
              THE COURT: All right, we're going to have a
6
7
    hearing tomorrow. I'm not going to hear it now.
              MR. HIPPLE: Okay. I just want to say that
8
9
    you told me, Mr. Berkowitz, that you're not going to
10
    elicit attorney/client privilege.
11
              MR. BERKOWITZ:
                               That's correct.
12
              THE COURT: All right. So then all I want to
    know tomorrow is what you're going to ask him and
13
14
    what's the nature or the offer of proof.
15
              MR. HIPPLE:
                            Okay.
              MR. BERKOWITZ: And there was a letter in
16
17
    the --
               THE COURT: Because then we'll hear -- and I
18
    want to hear from the attorney for the law firm too.
19
    Okay, thanks. See you tomorrow at 9:00.
20
21
               (Proceedings adjourned, 5:43 p.m.)
22
23
24
```

# CERTIFICATION

I, Michael Keating, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript from the electronic sound recordings of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter.

10 415 Date

Michael Keating